- 1 SJR83
- 2 176319-1
- 3 By Senator Scofield
- 4 RFD:
- 5 First Read: 07-APR-16

1	176319-1:n:03/28/2016:DSM*/cj LRS2016-1312
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	TO CLARIFY ALABAMA LAW RELATING TO CERTAIN PUBLIC
9	RIGHTS.
10	
11	WHEREAS, broadband deployment benefits the public
12	interest by bringing greater choice and value for voice, data,
13	and Internet access at faster speeds for a myriad of
14	applications to homes, hospitals, schools, businesses, and
15	government agencies; and
16	WHEREAS, the Alabama Legislature recognizes that the
17	encouragement of broadband deployment will bring the benefits
18	of newer technologies to residents and businesses across the
19	state; and
20	WHEREAS, telecommunications providers must access
21	the public rights-of-way (ROW) to deploy new broadband
22	telecommunications network facilities; and
23	WHEREAS, the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996,
24	specifically, 47 U.S.C. §253(a), limits the regulatory
25	authority of local franchising authorities over
26	telecommunications providers and preempts state and local
27	regulations that "prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting"

any company's ability to provide telecommunications services;

and

WHEREAS, the statutory language in Section 253 plainly requires a nexus between any municipal fees for use of public ROW and the cost to the municipality of providing such usage, thus requiring the fees charged by a city for use of the ROW to be directly related to the expenses incurred by the city in allowing the use; and

WHEREAS, a majority of federal courts that have considered the ROW compensation issue have adopted an interpretation of Section 253 to mean that any franchise fees that local governments impose on telecommunications companies must be directly related to the companies' use of the local ROW, otherwise the fees constitute an unlawful economic barrier to entry under Section 253(a); and

WHEREAS, Section 11-43-61, Code of Alabama 1975, only contains a requirement the costs be reasonable so municipalities in Alabama must look to the federal law to ensure that their ROW ordinances and franchises are lawful, specifically with regard to the ROW fees they can recover; and

WHEREAS, a clarification of Alabama law to define fair and reasonable compensation to access the public ROW for telecommunications providers who are investing in and deploying new broadband telecommunications network facilities in the state would encourage accelerated investment in broadband infrastructure by private businesses; now therefore,

Τ	BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF ALABAMA, BOTH
2	HOUSES THEREOF CONCURRING, That the intention of the
3	Legislature in amending Section 11-50B-3 of the Code of
4	Alabama 1975, by Senate Bill of the 2016 Regular Session
5	(Acts 2016) is to ensure that while nothing contained in
6	Chapter 50B of Title 11 affects the authority of state or
7	local governmental agencies to manage the public rights-of-way
8	or to require fair and reasonable compensation from
9	telecommunications providers, on a competitively neutral and
10	nondiscriminatory basis, for use of public rights-of-way, that
11	fair and reasonable compensation from telecommunications
12	providers does not include in-kind fiber or network build-out
13	requirements and that any ROW fees, whether based on a
14	permitting fee, a fee per linear foot, or a percentage of
15	gross revenues originating and terminating in the city limits
16	less wholesale revenues, shall be cost-based.