Second Regular Session Seventy-third General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

INTRODUCED

LLS NO. 22-0294.01 Jason Gelender x4330

SENATE BILL 22-074

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Scott, Hisey, Sonnenberg

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

(None), Pico, VanWinkle

Senate Committees

House Committees

Finance

101

A BILL FOR AN ACT CONCERNING MONITORING OF THE USE OF PROCUREMENT METHODS

OTHER THAN TRADITIONAL DESIGN BID BUILD PROCUREMENT

103 FOR TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://leg.colorado.gov.)

The bill requires the transportation commission (commission) to annually contract with a fairness monitor to review and monitor the procurement process for transportation projects that the department of transportation (department) or an agency or enterprise of the department intends to procure using an alternative form of contracting. An alternative form of contracting is any method of procurement used by the department or an agency or enterprise of the department for a transportation project other than design bid build contracting.

Before the department or an agency or enterprise of the department uses an alternative form of contracting to procure a transportation project, the fairness monitor is required to:

- Review the project to determine whether use of the alternative form of contracting will result in successful completion of the project sooner or at a lower cost;
- Review the decision making process by all involved department, agency, or enterprise employees regarding the decision to use an alternative form of contracting for the project;
- Oversee the fairness of the project criteria development, project advertisement, and contractor selection processes for the project; and
- Report to the contracting fairness committee (committee) required to be created by the commission regarding these reviews and oversight and make a recommendation to the committee as to whether the alternative form of contracting proposed for the project should be used.

The committee is required to review the information and recommendations reported to it by the fairness monitor and report to the commission its assessment of any recommendation of the fairness monitor that an alternative form of contracting project should not be used for a project.

For any transportation project for which an alternative form of contracting is used, the fairness monitor is required to designate a third-party team of private persons with expertise in contracting for transportation projects for the purpose of monitoring the fairness of the procurement process for the project. The department or an agency or enterprise of the department is required to pay the costs of the third-party team and to impose an equal fee on all contractors seeking to be selected for the project in an amount calculated to generate 50% of the amount needed to pay those costs.

Upon the completion of construction for any transportation project for which an alternative form of contracting is used, the fairness monitor is required to conduct a review of the project that, at a minimum:

- Compares actual project completion costs and time to the original budget, contract amount, and schedule for the project; and
- Makes a record of any claims, disputes, or pending litigation arising out of the project.

The fairness monitor is required to report the results of the review to the committee.

-2- SB22-074

1	Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
2	SECTION 1. In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 43-1-131 as
3	follows:
4	43-1-131. Monitoring of alternative forms of contracting
5	fairness monitor - contracting fairness committee - legislative
6	declaration - definitions. (1)(a) The General assembly hereby finds
7	AND DECLARES THAT:
8	(I) DESIGN BID BUILD CONTRACTING, ALSO KNOWN AS LOW BID
9	CONTRACTING OR THE TRADITIONAL METHOD OF CONTRACTING, IS OFTEN
10	A MORE COST-EFFECTIVE AND FAIR METHOD OF PROCURING
11	TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS THAN ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF CONTRACTING
12	THAT THE DEPARTMENT USES BECAUSE DESIGN BID BUILD CONTRACTING
13	(A) REQUIRES THE DEPARTMENT TO FIRST DESIGN OR CONTRACT
14	FOR THE DESIGN OF A PROJECT AND THEN SOLICIT COMPETITIVE BIDS FOR
15	THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT;
16	(B) IS WELL UNDERSTOOD BY BOTH THE DEPARTMENT AND
17	CONTRACTORS; AND
18	(C) Ensures that multiple qualified contractors compete
19	ON AN EQUAL BASIS FOR CONTRACTS ON THE BASIS OF PRICE, THAT THE
20	LOW RESPONSIBLE BIDDER FOR EACH PROJECT FOR WHICH THE
21	DEPARTMENT SOLICITS BIDS IS AWARDED THE CONTRACT FOR THE
22	PROJECT, AND THAT THE BASIS FOR EACH CONTRACT AWARD IS APPARENT
23	TO THE PUBLIC;
24	(II) FOR MANY YEARS, THE DEPARTMENT PROCURED
25	TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS SUCH AS ROADS, HIGHWAYS, AND BRIDGES
26	EXCLUSIVELY BY USING DESIGN BID BUILD CONTRACTING BUT OVER THE

-3- SB22-074

1	LAST THREE DECADES THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY HAS AUTHORIZED THE
2	DEPARTMENT TO USE, AND THE DEPARTMENT HAS INCREASINGLY USED,
3	SEVERAL ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF CONTRACTING SUCH AS COMPETITIVE
4	SEALED BEST VALUE BIDDING, INTEGRATED PRODUCT DELIVERY
5	CONTRACTS, PUBLIC-PRIVATE INITIATIVES, PUBLIC-PRIVATE
6	PARTNERSHIPS, AND DESIGN-BUILD CONTRACTS; AND
7	(III) WHILE THE USE OF ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF CONTRACTING BY
8	THE DEPARTMENT IS SOMETIMES APPROPRIATE, ESPECIALLY FOR LARGE
9	AND COMPLEX PROJECTS THAT FEW CONTRACTORS ARE CAPABLE OF
10	EFFECTIVELY AND EFFICIENTLY COMPLETING, OVERUSE OF ALTERNATIVE
11	FORMS OF CONTRACTING IN LIEU OF DESIGN BID BUILD CONTRACTING FOR
12	TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS FOR WHICH DESIGN BID BUILD CONTRACTING
13	IS FEASIBLE IS UNFAIR BECAUSE IT LIMITS THE ABILITY OF CONTRACTORS
14	THAT ARE SMALL OR MEDIUM-SIZED, RECENTLY ESTABLISHED, OR FOCUSED
15	ON A NARROW RANGE OF TECHNICAL CONTRACTING CAPABILITIES FROM
16	COMPETING FOR THOSE CONTRACTS AGAINST LARGER OR MORE
17	EXPERIENCED CONTRACTORS ON A TRANSPARENT AND OBJECTIVELY
18	EVALUATED LOW-COST BASIS.
19	(b) THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FURTHER FINDS AND DECLARES THAT
20	TO INCREASE FAIRNESS IN DEPARTMENT CONTRACTING, INCLUDING
21	CONTRACTING BY AGENCIES AND ENTERPRISES OF THE DEPARTMENT, BY
22	LIMITING OVERUSE OF ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF CONTRACTING, IT IS
23	NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE TO REQUIRE ADDITIONAL MONITORING OF
24	THE PROCUREMENT PROCESS FOR TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS FOR WHICH
25	ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF CONTRACTING ARE BEING CONSIDERED IN THE
26	MANNER SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION.
27	(2) AS USED IN THIS SECTION, UNLESS THE CONTEXT OTHERWISE

-4- SB22-074

REQUIRES:

2	(a) "ALTERNATIVE FORM OF CONTRACTING" MEANS ANY METHOD
3	OF PROCUREMENT USED BY THE DEPARTMENT OR AN AGENCY OR
4	ENTERPRISE OF THE DEPARTMENT FOR A TRANSPORTATION PROJECT OTHER
5	THAN DESIGN BID BUILD CONTRACTING SUCH AS COMPETITIVE SEALED
6	BEST VALUE BIDDING AUTHORIZED BY SECTION 24-92-103.5, AN
7	INTEGRATED PRODUCT DELIVERY CONTRACT AUTHORIZED BY ARTICLE 93
8	OF TITLE 24, A PUBLIC-PRIVATE INITIATIVE AUTHORIZED BY PART 12 OF
9	THIS ARTICLE 1, A DESIGN-BUILD CONTRACT AUTHORIZED BY PART 14 OF
10	THIS ARTICLE 1, OR A PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP.

- (b) "DESIGN BID BUILD CONTRACTING" MEANS THE METHOD OF PROCUREMENT THAT CONSISTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OR AN AGENCY OR ENTERPRISE OF THE DEPARTMENT FIRST DESIGNING OR CONTRACTING FOR THE DESIGN OF A TRANSPORTATION PROJECT AND THEN SOLICITING COMPETITIVE BIDS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE TRANSPORTATION PROJECT USING THE INVITATION FOR BIDS PROCESS SET FORTH IN SECTION 24-92-103.
- (3) (a) FOR THE 2022-23 STATE FISCAL YEAR AND FOR EACH STATE FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, THE COMMISSION SHALL CONTRACT ON AN ANNUAL BASIS FOR THE SERVICES OF A FAIRNESS MONITOR TO REVIEW AND MONITOR THE PROCUREMENT PROCESS FOR TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS FOR WHICH THE DEPARTMENT OR AN AGENCY OR ENTERPRISE OF THE DEPARTMENT INTENDS TO USE OR IS USING AN ALTERNATIVE FORM OF CONTRACTING.
- (b) BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OR AN AGENCY OR ENTERPRISE OF THE DEPARTMENT USES AN ALTERNATIVE FORM OF CONTRACTING TO PROCURE A TRANSPORTATION PROJECT, THE FAIRNESS MONITOR SHALL:

-5- SB22-074

1	(I) REVIEW THE PROJECT TO DETERMINE WHETHER, COMPARED TO
2	USING DESIGN BID BUILD CONTRACTING, USE OF THE ALTERNATIVE FORM
3	OF CONTRACTING WILL RESULT IN SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF THE
4	PROJECT SOONER OR AT A LOWER COST;
5	(II) REVIEW THE DECISION MAKING PROCESS BY ALL INVOLVED
6	DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, OR ENTERPRISE EMPLOYEES REGARDING THE
7	DECISION TO USE AN ALTERNATIVE FORM OF CONTRACTING FOR THE
8	PROJECT;
9	(III) OVERSEE THE PROJECT CRITERIA DEVELOPMENT, PROJECT
10	ADVERTISEMENT, AND CONTRACTOR SELECTION PROCESSES FOR THE
11	PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT ANY USE OF AN ALTERNATIVE FORM OF
12	CONTRACTING IS AT EACH STEP CONDUCTED WITHOUT CREATING ANY
13	ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED UNFAIR ADVANTAGE TO ANY PROSPECTIVE
14	CONTRACTOR; AND
15	(IV) REPORT TO THE CONTRACTING FAIRNESS COMMITTEE
16	CREATED IN SUBSECTION (4) OF THIS SECTION REGARDING THE REVIEWS
17	AND OVERSIGHT REQUIRED FOR THE PROJECT BY SUBSECTIONS (3)(b)(I)
18	THROUGH (3)(b)(III) OF THIS SECTION AND MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO
19	THE COMMITTEE AS TO WHETHER THE ALTERNATIVE FORM OF
20	CONTRACTING PROPOSED FOR THE PROJECT SHOULD BE USED.
21	(4) THE COMMISSION SHALL CREATE A CONTRACTING FAIRNESS
22	COMMITTEE. THE COMMITTEE SHALL REVIEW THE INFORMATION AND
23	RECOMMENDATIONS REPORTED TO IT BY THE FAIRNESS MONITOR
24	PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION $(3)(b)(IV)$ OF THIS SECTION AND SHALL REPORT
25	TO THE COMMISSION ITS ASSESSMENT OF ANY RECOMMENDATION OF THE
26	FAIRNESS MONITOR THAT AN ALTERNATIVE FORM OF CONTRACTING
27	PROJECT PROPOSED BY THE DEPARTMENT OR AN AGENCY OR ENTERPRISE

-6- SB22-074

1	OF THE DEPARTMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR A PROJECT. THE
2	COMMITTEE MUST INCLUDE:
3	(a) FROM THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF STATE GOVERNMENT:
4	(I) TWO MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION DESIGNATED BY THE
5	COMMISSION, ONE OF WHOM MUST ALSO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF
6	DIRECTORS OF THE HIGH-PERFORMANCE TRANSPORTATION ENTERPRISE
7	CREATED IN SECTION 43-4-806 (2)(a)(I);
8	(II) THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OR THE
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S DESIGNEE; AND
10	(III) THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF THE DEPARTMENT OR THE CHIEF
11	ENGINEER'S DESIGNEE;
12	(b) From the legislative branch of state government:
13	$(I)\ One member of the house of representatives designated$
14	BY THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES IN CONSULTATION
15	WITH THE MINORITY LEADER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES; AND
16	(II) ONE MEMBER OF THE SENATE DESIGNATED BY THE PRESIDENT
17	OF THE SENATE IN CONSULTATION WITH THE MINORITY LEADER OF THE
18	SENATE; AND
19	(c) From outside of state government, one representative
20	OF CONTRACTORS DESIGNATED BY THE COLORADO CONTRACTORS
21	ASSOCIATION.
22	(5) FOR ANY TRANSPORTATION PROJECT FOR WHICH AN
23	ALTERNATIVE FORM OF CONTRACTING IS USED, THE FAIRNESS MONITOR
24	SHALL DESIGNATE A THIRD-PARTY TEAM OF PRIVATE PERSONS WITH
25	EXPERTISE IN CONTRACTING FOR TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS FOR THE
26	PURPOSE OF MONITORING THE FAIRNESS OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCESS
27	FOR THE PROJECT. THE THIRD-PARTY TEAM MUST BE PAID ON A PER

-7- SB22-074

2	DEPARTMENT SHALL PAY THE COSTS OF THE THIRD-PARTY TEAM AND
3	SHALL IMPOSE AN EQUAL FEE ON ALL CONTRACTORS SEEKING TO BE
4	SELECTED FOR THE PROJECT IN AN AMOUNT CALCULATED TO GENERATE
5	FIFTY PERCENT OF THE AMOUNT NEEDED TO PAY THOSE COSTS.
6	(6) (a) Upon the completion of construction for any
7	TRANSPORTATION PROJECT FOR WHICH AN ALTERNATIVE FORM OF
8	CONTRACTING IS USED, THE FAIRNESS MONITOR SHALL CONDUCT A REVIEW
9	OF THE PROJECT THAT, AT A MINIMUM:
10	(I) COMPARES THE ACTUAL COST OF COMPLETING THE PROJECT TO
11	THE ORIGINAL BUDGET AND CONTRACT AMOUNT FOR THE PROJECT;
12	(II) COMPARES THE ACTUAL AMOUNT OF TIME THAT IT TOOK TO
13	COMPLETE THE PROJECT TO THE ORIGINAL PROJECT SCHEDULE AS
14	SPECIFIED IN THE PROJECT CONTRACT; AND
15	(III) MAKES A RECORD OF ANY CLAIMS, DISPUTES, OR PENDING
16	LITIGATION ARISING OUT OF THE PROJECT.
17	(b) THE FAIRNESS MONITOR SHALL REPORT THE RESULTS OF THE
18	REVIEW REQUIRED BY SUBSECTION (6)(a) OF THIS SECTION TO THE
19	CONTRACTING FAIRNESS COMMITTEE CREATED IN SUBSECTION (4) OF THIS
20	SECTION.
21	SECTION 2. Act subject to petition - effective date -
22	applicability. (1) This act takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following
23	the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the
24	general assembly; except that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant
25	to section 1 (3) of article V of the state constitution against this act or an
26	item, section, or part of this act within such period, then the act, item,
27	section, or part will not take effect unless approved by the people at the

PROJECT BASIS. THE DEPARTMENT OR AN AGENCY OR ENTERPRISE OF THE

1

-8- SB22-074

- general election to be held in November 2022 and, in such case, will take effect on the date of the official declaration of the vote thereon by the governor.
- 4 (2) This act applies to transportation projects for which the 5 procurement process is initiated on or after the applicable effective date 6 of this act.

-9- SB22-074