First Regular Session Seventieth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

PREAMENDED

This Unofficial Version Includes Committee Amendments Not Yet Adopted on Second Reading

LLS NO. 15-0367.01 Brita Darling x2241

SENATE BILL 15-129

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Lundberg,

(None),

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Senate Committees Judiciary Appropriations **House Committees**

A BILL FOR AN ACT

101	CONCERNING PRESERVING THE PARENT-CHILD	RELATIONSHIP IN
102	DOMESTIC RELATIONS <u>ACTIONS, AND,</u>	IN CONNECTION
103	THEREWITH, MAKING AN APPROPRIATION.	

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at <u>http://www.leg.state.co.us/billsummaries</u>.)

The bill amends provisions relating to best interests of a child in domestic relations actions and certain other actions in the juvenile code. With respect to such actions, the bill:

Amends the legislative declaration to emphasize the fundamental liberty interest of both parents and children in

maintaining the parent-child relationship;

- ! With respect to temporary orders hearings, if there has been a temporary or permanent protection order entered against one or both parties either prior to or in conjunction with the domestic relations action, requires the court to grant an expedited hearing at the request of either party for purposes of modifying provisions in the protection order relating to parenting time, communication, and access to a child. The court shall order substantially equal parenting time and access to the child unless it finds that such orders are clearly not in the child's best interest. The court shall also enter any orders necessary for the safety of the protected party relating to the restrained party's parenting time with the child.
- ! Changes the nature of an investigation by a court-appointed child and family investigator (CFI) from evaluation and recommendations to investigation and fact-finding. CFIs will conduct an objective investigation of issues as specifically directed by the court and will provide written factual findings to the court that are supported by credible evidence. A CFI's report will not make recommendations regarding the allocation of parental responsibilities but will provide the court with the factual findings the court deems necessary to make such determinations.
 - Amends language in the legislative declaration regarding the allocation of parental rights and responsibilities relating to the best interests of the child. Also, the bill requires the court to allocate substantially equal parenting time unless the court finds that doing so would endanger a child's physical health or significantly impair the child's emotional development. In addition, the court shall award mutual decision-making responsibilities with respect to the child unless the court finds that such an order is clearly not in the child's best interest.

ļ

- ! For purposes of temporary orders in a domestic relations action, requires the court to award substantially equal parenting time to the parties unless the court finds that doing so would endanger a child's physical health or significantly impair the child's emotional development. In addition, the court shall order mutual decision-making responsibilities unless mutual decision-making is clearly not in the child's best interest.
- ! Changes the nature of an evaluation by a court-appointed parental responsibilities evaluator to an investigation by a mental health professional. The mental health investigation

is limited to mental health diagnoses, assessments of relevant addictions, or other mental health-related issues that are relevant to the court's allocation of parental responsibilities for the child. The investigator's report shall contain findings of fact but shall not contain conclusions or recommendations relating to the allocation of parental rights and responsibilities.

- ! Clarifies that the 2-year restriction on filing motions that request a substantial change in parenting time and that also change the party with whom the child resides the majority of the time do not apply to moderate changes to parenting time when the existing parenting time order awarded substantially equal parenting time to the parties; and
- ! Amends the provisions relating to modification of decision-making responsibility for a child from requiring the court to retain the prior decision-maker unless certain criteria are met to permitting the court to change the decision-maker after considering certain criteria, including whether an award of mutual decision-making responsibilities is now in the child's best interest.
- 1 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
- 2 SECTION 1. In Colorado Revised Statutes, amend 14-10-102(2)
- 3 as follows:
- 4

14-10-102. Purposes - rules of construction. (2) Its underlying

- 5 purposes are:
- 6 (a) To promote the amicable settlement of disputes that have7 arisen between parties to a marriage;
- 8 (b) To mitigate the potential harm to the spouses and their 9 children caused by the process of legal dissolution of marriage; and
- 10 (c) TO PROTECT THE FUNDAMENTAL _____ INTEREST OF BOTH
 11 PARENTS AND CHILD TO THE PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP; AND
- (c) (d) To make the law of legal dissolution of marriage more
 effective for dealing with the realities of matrimonial experience by
 making an irretrievable breakdown of the marriage relationship the sole

1 basis for its dissolution.

2 SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, amend 14-10-104.5
3 as follows:

4 14-10-104.5. Legislative declaration. The general assembly 5 recognizes that it is in the best interests of the parties to a marriage in 6 which a dissolution has been granted and in which there are children of 7 the marriage for the parties to be able to resolve disputes that arise 8 subsequent to the dissolution in an amicable and fair manner. The general 9 assembly further recognizes that, in most cases, it is in the best interests 10 of the children of the marriage to have a relationship with both parents 11 and that, in most cases, it is the parents' right to have a relationship with 12 their children. The general assembly emphasizes that one of the 13 underlying purposes of this article is to mitigate the potential harm to the 14 spouses and their children and the relationships between the parents and 15 their children caused by the process of legal dissolution of marriage. The 16 general assembly recognizes that when a marriage in which children are 17 involved is dissolved both parties either agree to or are subject to orders 18 which contain certain obligations and commitments. The general 19 assembly declares that the honoring and enforcing of those obligations 20 and commitments made by both parties is necessary to maintaining a 21 relationship that is in the best interest of the children of the marriage. In 22 recognition thereof the general assembly hereby declares that both parties 23 should honor and fulfill all of the obligations and commitments made 24 between the parties and ordered by the court PROTECTING AND 25 PROMOTING THE PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP OF BOTH PARENTS AND 26 CHILD IS OF PARAMOUNT IMPORTANCE. OUTCOMES FOR CHILDREN ARE 27 INHERENTLY BETTER WHEN A CHILD HAS UNFETTERED RELATIONSHIPS

-4-

1 WITH BOTH PARENTS. THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY AFFIRMS THAT PARENTS 2 HAVE A FUNDAMENTAL __ INTEREST IN THE CARE AND CONTROL OF THEIR 3 CHILDREN AS DEFINED IN THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND 4 REAFFIRMED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. THE GENERAL 5 ASSEMBLY RECOGNIZES THAT DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE CONFLICT AND 6 LITIGATION CAN BE MINIMIZED BY REQUIRING THE STATE TO 7 DEMONSTRATE A COMPELLING INTEREST AND ENDANGERMENT TO THE 8 CHILD BEFORE THE PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP MAY BE INFRINGED 9 UPON. THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FINDS THAT LITIGATION OF ISSUES 10 RELATING TO CHILDREN ARE PRIMARILY HARMFUL TO CHILDREN AND 11 FAMILIES AND SHOULD BE MINIMIZED. THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FURTHER 12 FINDS THAT COURTS HEARING DOMESTIC RELATIONS MATTERS SHOULD 13 ALIGN THEIR PROCESSES, PROCEDURES, AND RULINGS TO BE CONSISTENT 14 WITH THE FUNDAMENTAL LIBERTY INTEREST OF THE PARENT-CHILD 15 RELATIONSHIP. THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY ENCOURAGES PARENTS TO 16 RESOLVE DISAGREEMENTS WITH THE BEST INTERESTS OF THEIR CHILDREN 17 IN MIND AND TO DO SO WITHOUT INVOLVING THE COURTS, IF POSSIBLE. 18 THEREFORE, THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY DECLARES THAT BY TREATING 19 PARENTS EQUALLY, REQUIRING EVIDENCE-BASED RULING AND ADHERENCE 20 TO STANDARDS OF DUE PROCESS, AND FOCUSING ON IMPROVING THE 21 OUTCOMES FOR CHILDREN, DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE LITIGATION WILL 22 DROP SIGNIFICANTLY.

23 SECTION 3. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 14-10-108, add (3.5)
24 as follows:

14-10-108. Temporary orders in a dissolution case. (3.5) IF A
TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT PROTECTION ORDER PURSUANT TO THE
PROVISIONS OF PART 1 OF ARTICLE 14 OF TITLE 13, C.R.S., HAS BEEN

-5-

1 ENTERED AGAINST ONE OR BOTH OF THE PARTIES TO THE ACTION FILED 2 PURSUANT TO THIS ARTICLE, EITHER PRIOR TO OR IN CONJUNCTION WITH 3 THE FILING OF THE ACTION UNDER THIS ARTICLE, EITHER PARTY MAY 4 REQUEST THAT THE COURT MODIFY THE PROVISIONS OF THE ORDER 5 CONSISTENT WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 14-10-124. UNLESS THE 6 COURT FINDS, AFTER A HEARING, THAT SUCH ORDERS WOULD ENDANGER 7 THE CHILD'S PHYSICAL HEALTH OR SIGNIFICANTLY IMPAIR THE CHILD'S 8 EMOTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND IF THE COURT DETERMINES THAT SHARED 9 PARENTING IS APPROPRIATE, THE COURT SHALL ORDER SUBSTANTIALLY 10 EQUAL PARENTING TIME WITH ANY CHILD OVER WHOM THE COURT HAS 11 JURISDICTION AND ALLOW FOR NORMAL COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE 12 CHILD, ACCESS TO THE CHILD'S SCHOOL AND EXTRACURRICULAR 13 ACTIVITIES, AND ANY OTHER ORDERS RELATING TO THE RESTRAINED 14 PARTY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH THE CHILD. THE COURT SHALL MAKE ANY 15 ORDERS NECESSARY TO PRESERVE THE SAFETY OF THE PROTECTED PARTY 16 WHILE FACILITATING THE RESTRAINED PARTY'S PARENTING TIME AND 17 CONTACT WITH THE CHILD. IN ADDITION, IF THE SAFETY OF THE 18 PROTECTED PARTY WILL BE PRESERVED, THE COURT SHALL MODIFY A NO 19 CONTACT ORDER TO ALLOW EMAIL AND TEXT MESSAGING BETWEEN THE 20 PARTIES. UPON THE REQUEST OF EITHER PARTY, THE COURT SHALL ORDER 21 AN EXPEDITED HEARING CONCERNING MODIFICATION OF PROVISIONS OF A 22 TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT PROTECTION ORDER RELATING TO THE 23 ALLOCATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES AND 24 COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE PARTIES.

25

26 SECTION <u>4.</u> In Colorado Revised Statutes, amend 14-10-124
27 (1), (1.5) (a) introductory portion, (1.5) (b) introductory portion, and

-6-

1 (1.7); and **repeal** (1.5) (a) (VII) as follows:

2 **14-10-124.** Best interests of child. (1) Legislative declaration. 3 While co-parenting is not appropriate in all circumstances following 4 dissolution of marriage or legal separation, the general assembly finds 5 and declares that, in most circumstances, it is in the best interest of all 6 parties to encourage frequent and continuing contact between each parent 7 and the minor children of the marriage after the parents have separated or 8 dissolved their marriage. In order to effectuate this goal when appropriate, 9 the general assembly urges parents to share the rights and responsibilities 10 of child-rearing and to encourage the love, affection, and contact between 11 the children and the parents THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FINDS AND 12 DECLARES THAT OUTCOMES FOR CHILDREN FOLLOWING DISSOLUTION OF 13 MARRIAGE OR LEGAL SEPARATION ARE SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER WHEN A 14 CHILD'S RELATIONSHIP WITH BOTH PARENTS CAN DEVELOP AND GROW 15 UNHINDERED. RESEARCH DEMONSTRATING THAT CHILDREN NEED, WANT, 16 AND LOVE BOTH PARENTS OVERWHELMINGLY SUPPORTS THE CONCLUSION 17 THAT THE INTERESTS OF CHILDREN ARE BEST SERVED BY SPENDING 18 SUBSTANTIALLY EQUAL TIME WITH EACH PARENT. TREATING PARENTS 19 EQUALLY IN THE ALLOCATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS AND 20 RESPONSIBILITIES IS OF PARAMOUNT IMPORTANCE TO REDUCING 21 DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE CONFLICT AND AVOIDING HARMFUL 22 LITIGATION. PARENTS HAVE A FUNDAMENTAL _____ INTEREST IN 23 MAINTAINING THE PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP, AND COURTS SHOULD 24 REQUIRE COMPELLING EVIDENCE BEFORE DIVERGING FROM A 25 SUBSTANTIALLY EQUAL ALLOCATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS AND 26 **RESPONSIBILITIES.**

27

(1.5) Allocation of parental responsibilities. The court shall

-7-

determine the allocation of parental responsibilities, including parenting
time and decision-making responsibilities, in accordance with the best
interests of the child giving paramount consideration to the child's safety
and the physical, mental, and emotional conditions and needs of the child
as follows:

6 (a) **Determination of parenting time.** The court, upon the motion 7 of either party or upon its own motion, may make provisions for 8 parenting time that the court finds are in the child's best interests. IF THE 9 COURT FINDS THAT SHARED PARENTING IS APPROPRIATE, THE COURT 10 SHALL ENTER AN ORDER FOR PARENTING TIME THAT AWARDS 11 SUBSTANTIALLY EQUAL PARENTING TIME TO EACH PARTY unless the court 12 finds, after a hearing, that SUBSTANTIALLY EQUAL parenting time by WITH 13 ONE OF the party PARTIES would endanger the child's physical health or 14 significantly impair the child's emotional development. In addition to a 15 finding IF THE COURT FINDS, AFTER A HEARING, that parenting time would 16 endanger the child's physical health or significantly impair the child's 17 emotional development, in any order imposing or continuing a parenting 18 time restriction, the court shall enumerate the specific factual findings 19 supporting the restriction and may enumerate the conditions that the 20 restricted party could fulfill in order to seek modification in the parenting 21 plan. When a claim of child abuse or neglect, domestic violence, or 22 sexual assault where there is also a claim that the child was conceived as 23 a result of the sexual assault has been made to the court, or the court has 24 reason to believe that a party has committed child abuse or neglect, 25 domestic violence, or sexual assault where there is also a claim that the 26 child was conceived as a result of the sexual assault, prior to determining 27 parenting time, the court shall follow the provisions of subsection (4) of

1 this section. In determining the best interests of the child for purposes of 2 parenting time, the court shall consider all relevant factors, including 3 THAT PROTECTING AND FOSTERING THE PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP IS 4 OF PARAMOUNT IMPORTANCE TO ACHIEVING THE BEST OUTCOMES FOR THE 5 CHILD, AND THE IMPORTANCE OF THE PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP 6 OUTWEIGHS MOST OTHER CONSIDERATIONS, INCLUDING THE SUBJECTIVE 7 VIEW OF A PARENT. IN CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE SUBSTANTIALLY EQUAL 8 PARENTING TIME IS NOT IN THE CHILD'S BEST INTERESTS, THE COURT 9 SHALL CONSIDER ALL RELEVANT FACTORS, INCLUDING:

(VII) Whether the past pattern of involvement of the parties with
the child reflects a system of values, time commitment, and mutual
support;

13 (b) Allocation of decision-making responsibility. The court, 14 upon the motion of either party or its own motion, shall allocate the 15 decision-making responsibilities between the parties based upon the best 16 interests of the child, RECOGNIZING THAT, IN MOST CASES, MUTUAL 17 DECISION-MAKING RESPONSIBILITY RESULTS IN THE BEST OUTCOMES FOR 18 THE CHILD, AND SHALL ORDER MUTUAL DECISION-MAKING 19 RESPONSIBILITY UNLESS CREDIBLE EVIDENCE SUPPORTS THE COURT'S 20 FINDING THAT MUTUAL DECISION-MAKING RESPONSIBILITY WITH RESPECT 21 TO ONE OR MORE ISSUES IS CLEARLY NOT IN THE CHILD'S BEST INTEREST. 22 In determining decision-making responsibility, the court may allocate the 23 decision-making responsibility with respect to each issue affecting the 24 child mutually between both parties or individually to one or the other 25 party or any combination thereof. When a claim of child abuse or neglect 26 or domestic violence has been made to the court, or the court has reason 27 to believe that a party has committed child abuse or neglect, domestic

-9-

violence, or sexual assault where there is also a claim that the child in question was conceived as a result of the sexual assault, prior to allocating decision-making responsibility, the court shall follow the provisions of subsection (4) of this section. In determining the best interests of the child for purposes of allocating decision-making responsibilities, the court shall consider, in addition to the factors set forth in paragraph (a) of this subsection (1.5), all relevant factors including:

8 (1.7) Pursuant to section 14-10-123.4, children have the right to 9 have the determination of matters relating to parental responsibilities 10 based upon the best interests of the child. In contested hearings on final 11 orders regarding the allocation of parental responsibilities, the court shall 12 make findings on the record concerning the factors the court considered 13 AND THE SPECIFIC EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THOSE FACTORS and the reasons 14 why the allocation of parental responsibilities is in the best interests of the 15 child.

SECTION <u>5.</u> In Colorado Revised Statutes, amend 14-10-125 (1)
as follows:

18 14-10-125. Temporary orders. (1) A party to a proceeding 19 concerning the allocation of parental responsibilities may move for a 20 temporary order. The court may allocate temporary parental 21 responsibilities, including temporary parenting time and temporary 22 decision-making responsibility, after a hearing. <u>IFTHE COURT FINDS THAT</u> 23 SHARED PARENTING IS APPROPRIATE, THE COURT SHALL ENTER AN ORDER 24 FOR SUBSTANTIALLY EQUAL PARENTING TIME UNLESS THE COURT MAKES 25 FINDINGS, SUPPORTED BY CREDIBLE EVIDENCE, THAT SUCH ORDER WOULD 26 ENDANGER THE CHILD'S PHYSICAL HEALTH OR SIGNIFICANTLY IMPAIR THE 27 CHILD'S EMOTIONAL DEVELOPMENT. THE COURT SHALL ALSO ORDER

MUTUAL DECISION-MAKING RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE CHILD UNLESS
 CREDIBLE EVIDENCE SUPPORTS THE COURT'S FINDING THAT MUTUAL
 DECISION-MAKING RESPONSIBILITY WITH RESPECT TO ONE OR MORE ISSUES
 IS CLEARLY NOT IN THE CHILD'S BEST INTEREST.

5

6 **SECTION 6.** Appropriation. (1) For the 2015-16 state fiscal 7 year, \$459,298 is appropriated to the judicial department. This 8 appropriation is from the general fund. To implement this act, the 9 department may use this appropriation as follows: 10 <u>(a)</u> \$139,854 for courthouse capital and infrastructure 11 maintenance; and 12 (b) \$319,444 for trial court programs, which amount is based on 13 an assumption that the department will require an additional 4.0 FTE. 14 SECTION 7. Act subject to petition - effective date. This act 15 takes effect September 1, 2015; except that, if a referendum petition is 16 filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of the state constitution against 17 this act or an item, section, or part of this act within the ninety-day period 18 after final adjournment of the general assembly, then the act, item, 19 section, or part will not take effect unless approved by the people at the 20 general election to be held in November 2016 and, in such case, will take 21 effect on the date of the official declaration of the vote thereon by the 22 governor.