First Regular Session Seventy-third General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

REVISED

This Version Includes All Amendments Adopted on Second Reading in the Second House

LLS NO. 21-1035.01 Ed DeCecco x4216

SENATE BILL 21-284

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Hansen and Rankin, Buckner, Cooke, Garcia, Kolker, Lundeen, Moreno, Priola, Simpson, Sonnenberg, Winter

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Herod and Larson,

Senate Committees

Appropriations

101102

103104

House Committees

Appropriations

A BILL FOR AN ACT
CONCERNING EVIDENCE-BASED EVALUATIONS TO ASSIST THE GENERAL
ASSEMBLY IN DETERMINING THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF
FUNDING FOR A PROGRAM OR PRACTICE, AND, IN CONNECTION
THEREWITH, MAKING AN APPROPRIATION.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://leg.colorado.gov.)

The bill establishes a set of definitions to be used when analyzing a program or practice. If a state agency or the office of state planning and budgeting includes an evidence-based evaluation of a program or practice HOUSE nd Reading Unamended June 1, 2021

SENATE 3rd Reading Unamended May 25, 2021

SENATE 2nd Reading Unamended May 24, 2021 in a budget request or budget amendment, then the state agency or office is required to describe the program or practice using the definitions. In such case, the state agency or office is also required to provide any research that supports the program or practice or a decrease in funding for a program or practice, along with information concerning how the evidence referenced was used in the development of the budget request or budget amendment request.

Joint budget committee staff is required to independently analyze and describe the program or practice using the definitions and to include any evidence-based information as part of any recommendation it makes regarding a budget request or budget amendment request. The staff director is required to appoint additional staff as necessary to provide the evidence-based analysis, and upon request, joint budget committee staff shall also assist legislators in incorporating evidence-based assessments in legislation for bills that create a new program or practice.

The joint budget committee is required to consider, as one of many factors, any available evidence-based information when determining the appropriate level of funding of a program or practice.

1 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

2 **SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, **add** 2-3-210 as

3 follows:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2-3-210. Evidence-based decision-making - budget requests legislative declaration - definitions. (1) THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

6 HEREBY FINDS AND DECLARES THAT:

(a) When appropriate, the use of data and outcome-related evidence in the analysis of programs implemented and delivered by state agencies is an effective means through which funding decisions concerning program improvement and expansion or redirection of funds can be achieved; and

(b) THE INTEGRATION OF EVIDENCE-BASED EVALUATION WITH THE BUDGET PROCESS WILL PROVIDE MEMBERS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT WILL BE USEFUL IN THE PRIORITIZATION

-2-

1	OF REQUESTS FOR FUNDING FOR NEW OR EXISTING PROGRAMS AND
2	SERVICES IN THE STATE.
3	(2) As used in this article 3, unless the context otherwise
4	REQUIRES:
5	(a) "EVIDENCE-INFORMED PROGRAM OR PRACTICE" MEANS A
6	PROGRAM OR PRACTICE THAT REFLECTS A MODERATE, SUPPORTED, OR
7	PROMISING LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE OF EFFECTIVENESS, INEFFECTIVENESS,
8	OR HARMFULNESS AS DETERMINED BY AN EVALUATION WITH A
9	COMPARISON GROUP, MULTIPLE PRE- AND POST-EVALUATIONS, OR AN
10	EQUIVALENT MEASURE.
11	(b) "Not applicable" means the definitions identified in
12	SUBSECTIONS $(2)(a)$, $(2)(c)$, $(2)(d)$, and $(2)(f)$ of this section are not
13	APPLICABLE.
14	(c) "OPINION-BASED PROGRAM OR PRACTICE" MEANS A PROGRAM
15	OR PRACTICE THAT REFLECTS A LOW LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE OF
16	EFFECTIVENESS, INEFFECTIVENESS, OR HARMFULNESS, AS BASED ON
17	SATISFACTION SURVEYS, PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, OR FOR WHICH THERE IS
18	NO EXISTING EVIDENCE ABOUT THE EFFECTIVENESS, INEFFECTIVENESS, OR
19	HARMFULNESS OF THE PROGRAM OR PRACTICE.
20	(d) "PROVEN PROGRAM OR PRACTICE" MEANS A PROGRAM OR
21	PRACTICE THAT REFLECTS A HIGH OR WELL-SUPPORTED LEVEL OF
22	CONFIDENCE OF EFFECTIVENESS, INEFFECTIVENESS, OR HARMFULNESS AS
23	DETERMINED BY ONE OR MORE HIGH-QUALITY RANDOMIZED CONTROL
24	TRIALS, MULTIPLE EVALUATIONS WITH STRONG COMPARISON GROUPS, OR
25	AN EQUIVALENT MEASURE.
26	(e) "STATE AGENCY" MEANS ANY DEPARTMENT, COMMISSION,
27	COUNCIL, BOARD, BUREAU, COMMITTEE, INSTITUTION OF HIGHER

-3-

1	EDUCATION, AGENCY, OR OTHER GOVERNMENTAL UNIT OF THE EXECUTIVE,
2	LEGISLATIVE, OR JUDICIAL BRANCH OF STATE GOVERNMENT.
3	(f) "THEORY-INFORMED PROGRAM OR PRACTICE" MEANS A
4	PROGRAM OR PRACTICE THAT REFLECTS A MODERATE TO LOW OR
5	PROMISING LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE OF EFFECTIVENESS, INEFFECTIVENESS,
6	OR HARMFULNESS AS DETERMINED BY TRACKING AND EVALUATING
7	PERFORMANCE MEASURES INCLUDING PRE- AND POST-INTERVENTION
8	EVALUATION OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES, EVALUATION OF PROGRAM
9	OUTPUTS, IDENTIFICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A THEORY OF
10	CHANGE, OR EQUIVALENT MEASURES.
11	(3) (a) IF A STATE AGENCY OR THE OFFICE OF STATE PLANNING AND
12	BUDGETING INCLUDES AN EVIDENCE-BASED EVALUATION OF A PROGRAM
13	OR PRACTICE IN A BUDGET REQUEST OR BUDGET AMENDMENT REQUEST
14	SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 2-3-208, THEN THE STATE
15	AGENCY OR OFFICE SHALL DESCRIBE THE PROGRAM OR PRACTICE USING
16	THE DEFINITIONS SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION.
17	(b) If subsection (3)(a) of this section applies, then the
18	STATE AGENCY OR THE OFFICE OF STATE PLANNING AND BUDGETING SHALL
19	ALSO PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:
20	(I) ANY RESEARCH THAT SUPPORTS THE IMPLEMENTATION,
21	CONTINUATION, OR EXPANSION OF THE PROGRAM OR PRACTICE, INCLUDING
22	ANY RESEARCH DEMONSTRATING IMPROVED OR CONSISTENT OUTCOMES
23	ACHIEVED BY THOSE WHO BENEFIT FROM THE PROGRAM OR PRACTICE;
24	(II) ANY RESEARCH THAT SUPPORTS A DECREASE IN FUNDING FOR
25	A PROGRAM OR PRACTICE THAT MAY BE SHOWN TO BE INEFFECTIVE OR
26	HARMFUL TO THOSE RECEIVING SERVICES; AND
27	(III) INFORMATION CONCERNING HOW THE EVIDENCE REFERENCED

-4- 284

2	AMENDMENT REQUEST.
3	(c) IF A STATE AGENCY PROVIDES AN EVIDENCE-BASED
4	EVALUATION OF A PROGRAM OR PRACTICE IN A BUDGET REQUEST OR
5	BUDGET REQUEST AMENDMENT, JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE STAFF SHALL
6	INDEPENDENTLY ANALYZE AND DESCRIBE THE PROGRAM OR PRACTICE
7	USING THE DEFINITIONS SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION.
8	(4) JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE STAFF SHALL INCLUDE ANY
9	INFORMATION SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION AS PART OF
10	ANY RECOMMENDATION IT MAKES REGARDING A BUDGET REQUEST OR
11	BUDGET AMENDMENT REQUEST.
12	(5) WHENEVER A STATE AGENCY IS REQUIRED TO UNDERTAKE AN
13	EVIDENCE-BASED ANALYSIS OF A PROGRAM OR PRACTICE, THE STATE
14	AGENCY SHALL USE THE DEFINITIONS SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION, UNLESS
15	OTHER DEFINITIONS ARE PROVIDED BY LAW.
16	SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 2-3-203, add (4) as
17	follows:
18	2-3-203. Powers and duties of the joint budget committee.
19	(4) The joint budget committee shall consider, as one of many
20	FACTORS, ANY AVAILABLE EVIDENCE-BASED INFORMATION SPECIFIED IN
21	SECTION 2-3-210 WHEN DETERMINING THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF
22	FUNDING OF A PROGRAM OR PRACTICE.
23	SECTION 3. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 2-3-204, add (3) as
24	follows:
25	2-3-204. Staff director, assistants, and consultants. (3) THE
26	STAFF DIRECTOR SHALL APPOINT ADDITIONAL STAFF AS NECESSARY TO
27	PROVIDE THE EVIDENCE-BASED ANALYSIS REQUIRED BY SECTION 2-3-310

WAS USED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUDGET REQUEST OR BUDGET

1

-5- 284

1	(3)(c). UPON REQUEST, JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE STAFF SHALL ALSO
2	ASSIST LEGISLATORS IN INCORPORATING EVIDENCE-BASED ASSESSMENTS
3	INTO LEGISLATION.
4	SECTION 4. Appropriation. For the 2021-22 state fiscal year
5	\$41,245 is appropriated to the legislative department for use by the joint
6	budget committee. This appropriation is from the general fund and is
7	based on an assumption that the joint budget committee will require an
8	additional 0.3 FTE. To implement this act, the joint budget committee
9	may use this appropriation to perform analysis of budget requests.
10	SECTION 5. Act subject to petition - effective date. This act
10 11	SECTION 5. Act subject to petition - effective date. This act takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the
11	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the
11 12	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly; except
11 12 13	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly; except that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V
11 12 13 14	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly; except that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of the state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this
11 12 13 14 15	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly; except that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of the state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this act within such period, then the act, item, section, or part will not take

-6- 284