General Assembly

Raised Bill No. 6619

January Session, 2023

LCO No. **3052**

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE AND REAL ESTATE

Introduced by:
(INS)

AN ACT CONCERNING BANNING PAY FOR DELAY.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

Section 1. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2023*) For purposes of this section and section 2 of this act:

(1) "AB-rated generic" means a drug product determined by the federal Food and Drug Administration to be pharmaceutically and therapeutically bioequivalent to a reference drug product.

(2) "ANDA" means abbreviated new drug application.

(3) "ANDA filer" means a party that owns or controls an ANDA filed with the federal Food and Drug Administration or has the exclusive rights under such ANDA to distribute the ANDA product.

(4) "Agreement resolving or settling a patent infringement claim" includes any agreement that is entered into not later than thirty days after the resolution or the settlement of the claim, or any other agreement that is contingent upon, provides a contingent condition for, or is otherwise related to the resolution or settlement of the claim.

"Agreement resolving or settling a patent infringement claim" includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(A) Any agreement required to be provided to the Federal Trade Commission or the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice under the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003; and

(B) Any agreement between a biosimilar or interchangeable biological product applicant and a reference drug product sponsor that resolves patent claims between the applicant and sponsor.

(5) "At-risk launch" means launching a nonreference drug product before the resolution of a nonappealable court decision or patent expiration involving such generic drug product.

(6) "Biosimilar biological product application filer" means a party that owns or controls a biosimilar biological product application filed with the federal Food and Drug Administration under subsection (k) of section 351 of the Public Health Service Act, 42 USC 262, for licensure of a biological product as biosimilar to, or interchangeable with, a reference drug product or that has the exclusive rights under the application to distribute the biosimilar biological product.

(7) "NDA" means new drug application.

(8) "Nonreference drug filer" means (A) an ANDA filer, or (B) a biosimilar biological product application filer.

(9) "Nonreference drug product" means the product to be manufactured under an ANDA that is the subject of the patent infringement claim, a biosimilar biological product that is the product to be manufactured under the biosimilar biological product application that is the subject of the patent infringement claim, or both.

(10) "Patent infringement" means infringement of any patent or of any filed patent application, extension, reissue, renewal, division, continuation, continuation in part, reexamination, patent term

restoration, patents of addition and extensions thereof.

(11) "Patent infringement claim" means any allegation made to a nonreference drug filer, whether or not included in a complaint filed with a court of law, that such nonreference drug filer's nonreference drug product or application infringes any patent held by, or exclusively licensed to, the reference drug holder.

(12) "Procompetitive benefit" means the favorable competitive consequences resulting from the agreement resolving or settling a patent infringement claim.

(13) "Reference drug holder" means:

(A) A brand holder that is any of the following:

(i) The holder of an approved NDA for a drug product application filed under subsection (b) of section 505 of the federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 USC 355;

(ii) A person owning or controlling enforcement of the patent listed in the Approved Drug Products With Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations, commonly known as the "FDA Orange Book" in connection with the NDA; or

(iii) The predecessors, subsidiaries, divisions, groups and affiliates controlled by, controlling or under common control with, any of the entities described in this subparagraph or subparagraph (B) of this subdivision, with control to be presumed by direct or indirect share ownership of fifty per cent or greater, as well as the licensees, licensors, successors and assigns of each such entity; or

(B) A biological product license holder, that includes any of the following:

(i) The holder of an approved biological product license application for a biological drug product under subsection (a) of section 351 of the Public Health Service Act, 42 USC 262;

(ii) A person owning or controlling enforcement of any patents that claim the biological product that is the subject of the approved biological patent license application; or

(iii) The predecessors, subsidiaries, divisions, groups and affiliates controlled by, controlling or under common control with, any of the entities described in this subparagraph or subparagraph (A) of this subdivision, with such control to be presumed by direct or indirect share ownership of fifty per cent or greater, as well as the licensees, licensors, successors and assigns of each such entity.

(14) "Reference drug product" means the product to be manufactured by the reference drug holder and includes branded drugs of the NDA holder and the biological drug product of the biological product license applicant.

(15) "Statutory exclusivity" means prohibitions on the approval of drug applications under subsection (c) of section 505, section 527 or 505A of the federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 USC 355, 360cc and 355a, or on the licensing of biological product applications under subsection (k) or (m) of section 262 of the Public Health Service Act, 42 USC 262.

Sec. 2. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2023*) (a) (1) Except as provided in subdivision (3) of this subsection, an agreement resolving or settling, on a final or interim basis, a patent infringement claim, shall be presumed to have anticompetitive effects and shall be a violation of this section if both of the following apply:

(A) A nonreference drug filer receives anything of value from another company asserting patent infringement, including, but not limited to, an exclusive license or a promise that the brand company will not launch an authorized generic version of such brand company's brand drug; and

(B) The nonreference drug filer agrees to limit or forego research, development, manufacturing, marketing or sales of the nonreference

drug filer's product for any period of time.

(2) As used in subparagraph (A) of subdivision (1) of this subsection, "anything of value" does not include a settlement of a patent infringement claim in which the consideration granted by the brand or reference drug filer to the nonreference drug filer as part of the resolution or settlement consists of one or more of the following:

(A) The right to market the competing product in the United States before the expiration of either:

(i) A patent that is the basis for the patent infringement claim; or

(ii) A patent right or other statutory exclusivity that would prevent the marketing of the drug;

(B) A covenant not to sue on a claim that the nonreference drug product infringes a United States patent;

(C) Compensation for saved reasonable future litigation expenses of the reference drug holder, but only if both of the following are true:

(i) The total compensation for saved litigation expenses is reflected in budgets that the reference drug holder documented and adopted not less than six months before the settlement; and

(ii) The compensation does not exceed the lesser of the following:

(I) Seven million five hundred thousand dollars, or

(II) Five per cent of the revenue that the nonreference drug holder projected or forecasted such nonreference drug holder would receive in the first three years of sales of its version of the reference drug documented not less than twelve months before the settlement. If no such projections or forecasts are available, the compensation shall not exceed two hundred fifty thousand dollars;

(D) An agreement resolving or settling a patent infringement claim that permits a nonreference drug filer to begin selling, offering for sale

or distributing the nonreference drug product if the reference drug holder seeks approval to launch, obtains approval to launch or launches a different dosage, strength or form of the reference drug having the same active ingredient before the date set by the agreement for entry of the nonreference drug filer. A different form of the reference drug does not include an authorized generic version of the reference drug;

(E) An agreement by the reference drug holder not to interfere with the nonreference drug filer's ability to secure and maintain regulatory approval to market the nonreference drug product or an agreement to facilitate the nonreference drug filer's ability to secure and maintain regulatory approval to market the nonreference drug product; or

(F) An agreement resolving a patent infringement claim in which the reference drug holder forgives the potential damages accrued by a nonreference drug holder for an at-risk launch of the nonreference drug product that is the subject of such patent infringement claim.

(3) Parties to an agreement are not in violation of subdivision (1) of this subsection if they can demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that either of the following are met:

(A) The value received by the nonreference drug filer described in subparagraph (A) of subdivision (1) of this subsection is a fair and reasonable compensation solely for other goods or services that the nonreference drug filer has promised to provide; or

(B) The agreement has directly generated procompetitive benefits and the procompetitive benefits of the agreement outweigh the anticompetitive effects of the agreement.

(b) (1) In determining whether the parties to the agreement have met their burden under subdivision (3) of subsection (a) of this section, the factfinder in any action brought by the state to enforce the provisions of this section shall not presume any of the following:

(A) That entry into the marketplace could not have occurred until the

expiration of the relevant patent exclusivity or that the agreement's provision for entry of the nonreference drug product before the expiration of any patent exclusivity means that the agreement is procompetitive within the meaning of subparagraph (B) of subdivision (3) of subsection (a) of this section;

(B) That any patent is enforceable and infringed by the nonreference drug filer in the absence of a final adjudication binding on the filer of such issues;

(C) That the agreement caused no delay in entry of the nonreference drug filer's drug product because of the lack of federal Food and Drug Administration approval of such drug product or of another nonreference drug product; or

(D) That the agreement caused no harm or delay due to the possibility that the nonreference drug filer's drug product may infringe some patent that has not been asserted against the nonreference drug filer or that is not subject to a final and binding adjudication on such nonreference drug filer as to the patent's scope, enforceability and infringement.

(2) This subsection shall not be construed to preclude a party from introducing evidence regarding subparagraphs (A) to (D), inclusive, of this subdivision and shall not be construed to preclude the factfinder from making a determination regarding said subparagraphs based on the full scope of the evidence.

(c) In determining whether the parties to the agreement have met their burden under subdivision (3) of subsection (a) of this section, the factfinder in any action brought by the state to enforce the provisions of this section shall presume that the relevant product market is such product market consisting of the brand or reference drug of the company alleging patent infringement and the drug product of the nonreference company accused of infringement and any other biological product that is licensed as biosimilar or is an AB-rated generic to the reference product.

(d) (1) The provisions of this section shall not modify, impair, limit or supersede the right of any drug company applicant to assert claims or counterclaims against any person under the antitrust laws or other laws relating to unfair competition of the federal antitrust law or state law.

(2) If any provision of this section, an amendment made to this section or the application of any provision or amendment to any person or circumstance is held to be unconstitutional, the remainder of this section, the amendments made to this section and the application of the provisions of this section or amendments to any person or circumstance shall not be affected.

(e) (1) (A) Each person that violates or assists in a violation of this section shall forfeit and pay to the state a civil penalty sufficient to deter violations of this section, as follows:

(i) If the person who violated this section received any value due to such violation, an amount up to three times the value received by the party that is reasonably attributable to the violation of this section, or twenty million dollars, whichever is greater; or

(ii) If the violator has not received anything of value as described in subparagraph (A)(i) of this subdivision, an amount up to three times the value given to other parties to the agreement reasonably attributable to the violation of this section, or twenty million dollars, whichever is greater.

(iii) For purposes of this subparagraph, "reasonably attributable to the violation" shall be determined by the effect on the state's share of the market for the brand drug at issue in the agreement.

(B) Any penalty described in subparagraph (A) of this subdivision shall accrue only to the state and shall be recovered in a civil action brought by the Attorney General against any party to an agreement that violates this section.

(2) Each party that violates or assists in the violation of this section

shall be liable for any damages, penalties, costs, fees, injunctions, or other remedies that may be just and reasonable, as determined by the court.

(3) If the state is awarded penalties under subparagraph (A) of subdivision (1) of this subsection, the state may not recover penalties pursuant to subdivision (2) of this subsection, provided this subdivision shall not be construed to foreclose the state's ability to claim any other relief or damages available in subdivision (2) of this subsection.

(4) An action to enforce a cause of action for a violation of this section shall be commenced not later than four years after the cause of action accrued.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:		
Section 1	*October 1, 2023*	New section
Sec. 2	*October 1, 2023*	New section

Statement of Purpose:

To preserve consumer access to affordable generic drugs.

[Proposed deletions are enclosed in brackets. Proposed additions are indicated by underline, except that when the entire text of a bill or resolution or a section of a bill or resolution is new, it is not underlined.]