1 A bill to be entitled

An act for the relief of Brian Pitts; directing the Division of Administrative Hearings to appoint an administrative law judge to determine a basis for equitable relief for the purpose of compensating Mr. Pitts for any wrongful act or omission by the State of Florida or officials thereof; requiring a report to the Legislature; authorizing compensation upon a determination by the administrative law judge; providing an appropriation to compensate Mr. Pitts for injuries and damages sustained; providing a limitation on the payment of fees and costs; directing that certain court orders and judgments be declared null and void; authorizing Mr. Pitts to practice law under certain circumstances; directing the Department of Law Enforcement to investigate certain illegal acts committed by certain persons; authorizing the President of the Senate, Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Governor to sever portions of this act under certain circumstances; providing an effective date.

2223

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

WHEREAS, this state has clearly recognized the practice of law by lay persons since at least 1980 as declared in The Florida Bar v. Moses, 380 So.2d 412, 416-418 (Fla. 1980) and The Florida Bar re Advisory Opinion on Nonlawyer Representation in Securities Arbitration, 696 So.2d 1178, 1180-1181, 1183-1184 (Fla. 1997), the Legislature and judiciary having concurrent

Page 1 of 15

jurisdiction to regulate such, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts has exercised this privilege since 2001 in Pinellas County, and his practice was later confirmed by the Florida Supreme Court in case number SC02-247, in a final order dated November 6, 2003, at clause (1) declaring "unless otherwise authorized by Florida Statutes, court rule, case law, administrative rule, or the rules regulating The Florida Bar," and

WHEREAS, since the inception of Mr. Pitts' practice, the Second District Court of Appeal, the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida serving Pasco and Pinellas Counties, the State Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, and The Florida Bar have, without cause, continued to deprive Mr. Pitts of the privilege of practicing law as prescribed by the Legislature and Florida Supreme Court, subjecting him to civil and criminal proceedings and penalties on an ongoing basis, and

WHEREAS, the Florida Supreme Court, by virtue of the broad, general, and ambiguous language of its 2003 final order in case number SC02-247, has subjected Mr. Pitts to entrapment, and has needlessly and unjustly avoided and failed upon many requests by Mr. Pitts to clarify or amend the final order or to promulgate court rules through The Florida Bar following original proceedings brought or suggested by Mr. Pitts to correct the matter, and

WHEREAS, this course of conduct has been ongoing from 2001 to 2010, and such action has resulted in wrongful and unlawful incarcerations of Mr. Pitts in the Pinellas County jail for a total of nearly 1 year, and

WHEREAS, appearing pro se in many of his cases, Mr. Pitts was complimented by several judges of the Sixth Judicial Circuit for his exceptional degree of technical and performance competence that would be expected of any trained and experienced member of The Florida Bar, yet he was informed by express or implied communication that he would not receive the relief requested in any given proceeding unless represented by a member of The Florida Bar, and

WHEREAS, though appearing pro se in said cases and other actions, Mr. Pitts was at times represented by appointed counsel, however, such proceedings proved to be futile because the proceedings were illusory, and the courts failed to abide by binding precedent and stare decisis, where applicable, as well as Florida Rules of Court, as evidenced by the series of filings in each case by Mr. Pitts, or his court-appointed counsel, hence depriving Mr. Pitts of procedural and substantive due process, equal protection of the law, self-representation, and representation by counsel under the United States Constitution, and

WHEREAS, the Second District Court of Appeal has declared in Denson v. State, 711 So.2d 1225, 1230 (Fla. 2d DCA 1998) that "appellate judges take an oath to uphold the law and the constitution of this state. The citizens of this state properly expect these judges to protect their rights. When reviewing an appeal with a preserved issue, if we discover that a person has been subjected to a patently illegal sentence to which no objection was lodged in the trial court, neither the constitution nor our own consciences will allow us to remain

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

9798

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

silent and hope that the prisoner, untrained in the law, will somehow discover the error and request its correction. If three appellate judges, like a statue of the 'see no evil, hear no evil, speak no evil' monkeys, declined to consider such serious, patent errors, we would jeopardize the public's trust and confidence in the institution of courts of law"; compare, Bedford v. State, 633 So.2d 13, 14 (Fla. 1994), yet they have deliberately and intentionally, failed to abide by said rules of law as to Mr. Pitts' cases on appeal or by original proceedings brought and maintained by him or his counsel, and

WHEREAS, the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office further participated in the concerted effort of the courts, The Florida Bar, and the State Attorney's Office by illegally incarcerating Mr. Pitts in the Pinellas County jail during the time periods of January 2003 through April 2004 and March 22, 2010, through July 4, 2010, and by refusing him administrative alternative sentencing without cause, and by subjecting him to living conditions and circumstances in violation of Florida Model Jail Standards (2.15)(c), (9.08), (9.06)(b), (5.08)(a)&(c)(1)-(8), (12.03) (d) - (g) & (i), (12.06), (5.08) (j), (10.01), (6.02), (11.12), (11.16), Appendix A, (4.12), (4.13), (4.15), and (9.10)and in violation of ss. 951.03 and 951.033(3), Florida Statutes, and by extending his sentence an additional 40 and 10 days of detention over the ordered sentences in violation of Inmate Handbook XI. A., Florida Model Jail Standard (4.16), and ss. 951.21(1) and 921.16(1), Florida Statutes, thereby subjecting him to cruel and unusual punishment, subjecting him to false imprisonment, and denying him due process and equal protection

HB 1455 2012

113 of the law. See *Miller v. Carson*, 599 F.2d 742 (5th Cir. 1979); Miller v. Carson, 563 F.2d 757 (5th Cir. 1977); Miller v. 115 Carson, 563 F.2d 741 (5th Cir. 1977); Miller v. Carson, 401 F. Supp. 835 (M.D. Fla. 1975); Miller v. Carson, 392 F. Supp. 515 (M.D. Fla. 1975); Solomos v. Jenne, 776 So.2d 953 (Fla. 4th DCA 2000); Douthit v. Jones, 619 F.2d 527 (5th Cir. 1980), and WHEREAS, such misconduct is a clear abuse of judicial, executive, and administrative authority as to the state court system and local government, including the State Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida and the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office, since said authorities knew there was no basis in fact or law for their unlawful acts against Mr. Pitts, and WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts' good name and reputation have been damaged, he has been deprived of due process, the ability to conduct a lawful business, freedom of speech, property, liberty, and equal protection of the law, he has not benefited from constitutional protections against unlawful trusts by public officers and employees (oath of office) and double jeopardy as to criminal proceedings and sanctions, he has suffered mental

133 anguish and emotional distress as the result of the intentional 134 misconduct and gross negligence of the courts, the State 135 Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, The 136 Florida Bar, and the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office relating to his practice of law as a nonlawyer in this state, and, 137

trust laws (Sherman Act), which were violated in the subject

further, there is no state-action exception to federal anti-

140 cases, and

114

116

117

118 119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

138

139

WHEREAS, the cases involving Mr. Pitts fail to comply with the requirements of s. 20.02(1), Florida Statutes, which states in part: "The judicial branch has the purpose of determining the constitutional propriety of the policies and programs and of adjudicating any conflicts arising from the interpretation or application of the laws," and

WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts has suffered, and continues to suffer, significant monetary damage by virtue of lost income, property, and time, expenses, fees, fines, costs, and restitution resulting from the civil and criminal proceedings relating to his alleged unauthorized or unlicensed practice of law, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts, on many occasions, appears before the Legislature to instruct, advise, inform, and advocate for or against proposed legislation covering a broad spectrum of topics and subject matter in fact and law in a exceptional degree of technical and performance competence that would be expected of any trained and experienced member of The Florida Bar, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that no system of justice is impervious to human error, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature acknowledges that the state's system of justice sometimes yields imperfect results that may have tragic consequences, and

WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and inherent authority to correct a wrong whereby normal or other state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally avoided and denied in an arbitrary and capricious manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the law, and

Page 6 of 15

WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in Circuit Court of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res., 339 So.2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity . . . this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So.2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985), in which the court stated, "we agree with the Department of Transportation's assertion that a judgment in this case was not a prerequisite to Gerard's filing a claims bill in the legislature," and

WHEREAS, the First District Court of Appeal in Jetton v. Jacksonville Elec. Auth., 399 So.2d 396, 397 (Fla. 1st DCA 1981), stated that although the Legislature has placed limits on recovery, "claimants remain free to seek legislative relief bills, as they did during days of complete sovereign immunity," and

WHEREAS, the Florida Supreme Court in *Dickinson v. Bradley*, 298 So.2d 352, 354 (Fla. 1974), held that "any claim bill is restricted to less than the general public and its purpose is to discharge the state's moral obligation to any individual or other entity whom or which the legislature recognizes as being entitled to such . . . The Legislature may enact a claim bill for what would be a tort if a private party was involved just as effectively as for what would constitute a contractual debt," and

WHEREAS, the Legislature intends that any compensation made

Page 7 of 15

pursuant to this act be the sole compensation provided by the state for any and all present and future claims arising out of the facts presented in this act, NOW, THEREFORE,

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. The facts stated in the preamble to this act are found and declared to be true, and all judicial and administrative remedies were exhausted as of March 12, 2010, and July 4, 2010, respectively.

Section 2. The Division of Administrative Hearings shall appoint an administrative law judge or special master to conduct a hearing and determine a basis for equitable relief for the purpose of compensating Mr. Pitts for any wrongful act or omission of the State of Florida, the State Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, or the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office in proportion to what occurred in the investigations, the civil and criminal proceedings relating to Mr. Pitts' alleged unlicensed or unauthorized practice of law, and his incarcerations totaling nearly 12 months from 2001 to 2010, if not longer.

Section 3. (1) The administrative law judge or special master shall determine by a preponderance of the evidence whether the State of Florida, the State Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, or the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office committed a wrongful act or omission and whether a basis for equitable relief exists, and if it so finds, the administrative law judge or special master shall award Mr.

Page 8 of 15

Pitts an amount of up to \$7 million, but not less than \$1 million, to be paid proportionately by the parties that wronged him and to be paid in lump sum or in payments over a period of no more than 10 years.

- (2) The administrative law judge or special master shall report his or her determination to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by July 1, 2012. The Chief Financial Officer is directed to draw a warrant in satisfaction of the relief awarded by the administrative law judge or special master, as provided in this act, and to pay the warrant out of the Administrative Trust Fund or State Courts Revenue Trust Fund within the state courts system and the State Attorneys Revenue Trust Fund to Brian Pitts. Pinellas County is directed to and shall pay the warrant out of its general revenue fund or by other means it has provided for to pay valid claims against the local government as pertains to the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office and as to its share of the total award to Mr. Pitts.
- compensation for all present and future claims arising out of the factual situation described in this act which resulted in unlawful or unconstitutional acts committed against Mr. Pitts in connection with allegations, judgments, and convictions of the unlicensed or unauthorized practice of law and his incarcerations totaling nearly 12 months, if not longer, from 2001 through 2010. The total amount paid for attorney's fees, lobbying fees, costs, and other similar expenses relating to this claim may not exceed 25 percent of the amount awarded under

253	this	act.

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

(4) All final orders, judgments, decrees, and convictions, and orders or liens pertaining to fees, fines, costs, and restitution, rendered in cases SC06-1279, SC02-247, CRCAB-90407CFANO, CRCAB-65835CFANO, CRC07-12964CFANO, CTC07-03965MMANO, CTC03-09855MMANO, CTC03-01885MMANO, and CTC03-01887MMANO, wherein Mr. Pitts is the respondent or defendant, are null and void and are annulled by this act by virtue of the doctrine of separation of powers because the courts failed to recognize the Legislature's lawful and valid enactments authorizing lay representation as expressed in The Florida Bar v. Moses, 380 So.2d 412, 416-418 (Fla. 1980); by virtue of inherent authority of this Legislature as expressed in Florida House of Representatives v. Crist, 999 So.2d 601, 611 (Fla. 2008), Trianon Park Condo. Ass'n v. City of Hialeah, 468 So.2d 912, 918, 919 (Fla. 1985); and by virtue of checks and balances exercised by this Legislature as expressed in State Ex Rel. Young v. Duval County, 79 So. 692, 697 (Fla. 1918), in which the court found, "A clear violation of the constitutional provisions dividing the powers of government into departments should be checked and remedied." As the court found in State v. City of Stuart, 120 So. 335, 346 (Fla. 1929), "[t]he general rule is that the Legislature is supreme in the legislative field, which is the most powerful branch of government, so long as it does not violate any of the provisions of the organic law. There is to our minds no justifiable exception of any class of legislation from this all-pervasive and fundamental principle." The clerk of the court for the Florida Supreme Court, (5)

Page 10 of 15

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

as to cases SC06-1279 and SC02-247, and the clerk of the court for the Sixth Judicial Circuit, as to cases CRCAB-90407CFANO, CRCAB-65835CFANO, CRC07-12964CFANO, CTC07-03965MMANO, CTC03-09855MMANO, CTC03-01885MMANO, and CTC03-01887MMANO, all pertaining to Mr. Pitts, are hereby directed to remove from public and private access all dockets, records, documents, and recorded orders or liens related to those cases and transmit them to the Department of Law Enforcement to fulfill the duties required under section 6 of this act. The Department of Law Enforcement is hereby directed to remove from public and private access all record history and information of a criminal nature concerning Mr. Pitts. This includes, but is not limited to, fingerprints, felon registration, and all other matters concerning the case numbers cited in this subsection. Said records, information, or documents may not be used by or accessed for any purpose by anyone unless access to those records is required by federal authorities or for investigations conducted under section 6 of this act.

(6) The Department of Law Enforcement is directed to ensure the compliance, execution, and enforcement of subsections (4) and (5) of this section, and shall provide protective services to Mr. Pitts ensuring his rights, privileges, and safety under sections 4, 5, and 6 of this act.

Section 4. <u>In accordance with the Florida Supreme Court's</u> final order in case number SC02-247 and the exception contained in clause (1) of that ruling, unless otherwise authorized by Florida Statutes, court rule, case law, administrative rule, or the rules regulating The Florida Bar, thereby authorizing Mr.

Page 11 of 15

Pitts to practice law in this state, the Legislature authorizes
Mr. Pitts to practice law in this state under the following
designations, titles, rules, decisions, or acts in the capacity
as a lay counselor or lay representative:
(1) Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, relating to a qualified
representative.
(2) Chapter 44, Florida Statutes, relating to a designated
representative.
(3) Chapter 709, Florida Statutes, relating to an
attorney-in-fact and durable power of attorney, including when
coupled with an interest in any personal or property claim,
election, right, or interest.
(4) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court
relating to representation by a realty property manager.
(5) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court
relating to a nonlawyer using approved forms.
(6) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court
relating to representation in county or small claims civil
<pre>proceedings.</pre>
(7) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court

- relating to third party standing representation.
- 330 (8) Rule 5-15, Rules Relating to Admission to The Florida
 331 Bar.
 - (9) Judicial discretion under the inherent authority doctrine.
 - (10) Federal law or any other clearly expressed rule, statute, or court or administrative decision or order under other federal, state, or local law and authority.

Page 12 of 15

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

332

333

334

335

336

337 Section 5. Any appearance or public testimony given by Mr. 338 Pitts on bills or matters before the Legislature, wherever held 339 or convened throughout this state, does not constitute the 340 practice of law. In all circumstances Mr. Pitts retains the 341 right to represent himself at any time he has valid standing 342 supported by law, or, if he is the subject of civil, 343 administrative, or criminal proceedings, Mr. Pitts retains the 344 right to represent himself without a lawyer in court and in 345 administrative actions or cases. Section 6. Due to the period of ongoing misconduct against 346 Mr. Pitts as described in this act, the Legislature directs the 347 348 Department of Law Enforcement, assisted by Mr. Pitts, to 349 investigate these acts committed by: The Florida Supreme Court justices involved for 350 (1)violations of ss. 914.22(2)(f) or (4)(f), Florida Statutes, and 351 352 18 U.S.C. 1512, relating to their final ruling rendered on 353 February 22, 2010, in case SC06-1279 resulting in the 354 incarceration of Mr. Pitts on the eve of the 2010 legislative 355 session while proceedings on Senate Bill 58 were pending, and 356 other violations of ss. 775.15(12)(b), 843.0855(2) and (3), 357 839.13(1), 839.24, 918.13, 836.05, 843.03, 876.10, 777.04(2) and 358 (3), and 895.03, Florida Statutes, and 18 U.S.C. 241, 242, 1951, 359 and 1962. 360 (2) The Second District Court of Appeal judges assigned to Mr. Pitts' cases on motions, reviews, and original proceedings; 361 362 the Sixth Judicial Circuit judges; and the state attorneys involved for violations of ss. 775.15(12)(b), 843.0855(2) and 363 364 (3), 839.13(1), 839.24, 918.13, 836.05, 843.03, 876.10 777.04(2)

Page 13 of 15

and (3), and 895.03, Florida Statutes, and 18 U.S.C. 241, 242, 1951, and 1962.

- (3) The Florida Bar and its representatives, who pursued charges of unlicensed practice of law against Mr. Pitts, for violations of ss. 839.13(1), 918.13, 836.05, 777.04(2) and (3), and 895.03, Florida Statutes, and 18 U.S.C. 241, 242, 1951, and 1962, as well as s. 542.21(2), Florida Statutes, and 15 U.S.C. 1, 2, and 3, relating to the practice of law by lawyers and nonlawyers.
- 374 (4) The Pinellas County Sheriff's Office for violations of ss. 775.15(12)(b), 843.0855(2) and (3), 843.03, 839.13(1), 876.10, 950.09, and 951.14, Florida Statutes, and 18 U.S.C. 241 or 242.

The Department of Law Enforcement shall exercise all authority it has under general law to investigate criminal violations under this act and shall refer any evidence of such crimes to the appropriate officials for prosecution. Charges arising out of the criminal investigation shall be brought before a grand jury impaneled in Leon County within 1 year after passage of this act.

Section 7. The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, or Governor may sever in whole or in part any section of this act, excluding this section 7, which remaining parts shall be in full force and effect upon becoming law. Notwithstanding severance, Brian Pitts shall retain the right or privilege during future legislative sessions to request the relief severed in part or whole by virtue of this section

Page 14 of 15

393	until fully remedied.											
394		Section	8.	This	act	shall	take	effect	upon	becoming	a	law.

Page 15 of 15