## **HOUSE BILL No. 1239**

DIGEST OF INTRODUCED BILL

Citations Affected: IC 9-32.

**Synopsis:** Administrative proceedings for unfair practices. Allows a complaint that alleges the commission of an unfair practice under dealer services law to be filed with the office of administrative law proceedings (instead of with the dealer services division of the secretary of state). Provides that an administrative law judge with relevant experience shall preside over the proceedings and issue a written decision. Provides that the administrative law judge may recommend, and the secretary of state may adopt, remedial measures or other equitable remedies. Provides that the administrative law judge may recommend mediation during the pendency of the action.

Effective: July 1, 2024.

# Steuerwald, Jeter

January 9, 2024, read first time and referred to Committee on Judiciary.



### Introduced

#### Second Regular Session of the 123rd General Assembly (2024)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in this style type. Also, the word NEW will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in this style type or this style type reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2023 Regular Session of the General Assembly.

## **HOUSE BILL No. 1239**

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning motor vehicles.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

1	SECTION 1. IC 9-32-13-31, AS AMENDED BY P.L.174-2016,
2	SECTION 107, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS
3	[EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2024]: Sec. 31. (a) A dealer that alleges the
4	commission of an unfair practice by a manufacturer or distributor in
5	violation of: <del>section 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22,</del>
6	<del>23, 28, 29, or 30 of this chapter</del>
7	(1) IC 9-32-11-20;
8	(2) this chapter;
9	(3) IC 9-32-15; or
10	(4) IC 23-2-2.7, as incorporated under this article;
11	may file a complaint <b>directly</b> with the <del>division under IC 9-32-16-15.</del>
12	office of administrative law proceedings under IC 4-15-10.5.
13	(b) Upon receipt of a complaint under subsection (a), the division
14	may conduct an investigation under IC 9-32-16-14. Pursuant to
15	IC 4-15-10.5-13, an administrative law judge with relevant
16	experience shall be designated to preside over administrative
17	proceedings under subsection (a). The administrative law judge



2024

IN 1239-LS 6704/DI 151

shall conduct the proceedings in accordance with IC 4-21.5. At the conclusion of the administrative proceedings, the administrative law judge shall issue a written decision pursuant to IC 4-15-10.5-14.

(c) If the division determines that a manufacturer or distributor has committed it is determined that a violation including an unfair practice described in occurred under subsection (a), the division may take action against the manufacturer or distributor under IC 9-32-16 and IC 9-32-17. the administrative law judge may recommend, and the secretary of state may adopt, remedial measures or other equitable remedies.

(d) A person that performs an act that is an unfair practice under this chapter commits a Class A infraction.

(e) This section does not limit the ability of a dealer, manufacturer, or distributor to request a hearing under IC 9-32-16-2.

16 SECTION 2. IC 9-32-16-15, AS AMENDED BY P.L.182-2021, 17 SECTION 25, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE 18 JULY 1, 2024]: Sec. 15. (a) A dealer who is injured by alleges the 19 commission of an unfair practice set forth in IC 9-32-11-20, 20 IC 9-32-13, or IC 9-32-15, or IC 23-2-2.7 may file a complaint or 21 petition with the division. office of administrative law proceedings. 22 (b) A dealer who is injured by an unfair practice set forth in 23

IC 9-32-13-27 may file a request for declaratory judgment with the 24 division. 25

(c) A dealer may not file a complaint, request for declaratory 26 judgment under subsection (b) based on an alleged violation of 27 IC 9-32-13-27, or petition with the division under subsection (a) based 28 on an alleged violation of IC 9-32-13 or IC 9-32-15 by a manufacturer 29 or distributor unless the dealer serves a demand for mediation upon the 30 manufacturer or distributor:

(1) before; or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

41

(2) at the same time as;

filing the complaint, request for declaratory judgment, or petition. A demand for mediation must be in writing and served upon the manufacturer or distributor by certified mail at an address designated for the manufacturer or distributor in the licensor's records. The demand for mediation must contain a brief statement of the dispute and the relief sought by the dealer serving the demand.

39 (d) Not later than twenty (20) days after the date the demand for 40mediation is served under subsection (c), the parties shall mutually select an independent mediator and meet with the mediator for the 42 purpose of attempting to resolve the dispute. The meeting place must

2024

1	be within Indiana at a location selected by the mediator. The mediator
2	may extend the period in which the meeting must occur for good cause
3	shown by either party or upon stipulation of the parties.
4	(b) The secretary of state shall retain ultimate authority for
5	actions brought under IC 9-32-13-24 and IC 9-32-13-27.
6	(c) As part of the adjudicatory process set forth in IC 4-15-10.5,
7	the administrative law judge may recommend mediation during
8	the pendency of the action.



IN 1239—LS 6704/DI 151