



February 18, 2014

---

---

**ENGROSSED  
SENATE BILL No. 311**

---

DIGEST OF SB 311 (Updated February 13, 2014 10:04 am - DI 84)

**Citations Affected:** IC 15-20.

**Synopsis:** Charges for dogs killing livestock. Repeals the requirement (and related provisions) that counties pay for livestock killed by dogs. Makes conforming changes.

**Effective:** July 1, 2014.

---

---

**Head, Holdman**

(HOUSE SPONSOR — KUBACKI)

---

---

January 14, 2014, read first time and referred to Committee on Local Government.  
January 23, 2014, reported favorably — Do Pass.  
January 27, 2014, read second time, ordered engrossed.  
January 28, 2014, engrossed.  
January 30, 2014, read third time, passed. Yeas 41, nays 5.

HOUSE ACTION

February 10, 2014, read first time and referred to Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development.  
February 17, 2014, reported — Do Pass.

---

---

ES 311—LS 6625/DI 109





February 18, 2014

Second Regular Session 118th General Assembly (2014)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in **this style type**, and deletions will appear in ~~this style type~~.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in **this style type**. Also, the word **NEW** will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in *this style type* or ~~this style type~~ reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2013 Regular Session and 2013 First Regular Technical Session of the General Assembly.

## ENGROSSED SENATE BILL No. 311

---

A BILL FOR AN ACT to repeal a provision of the Indiana Code concerning agriculture and animals.

*Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:*

1           SECTION 1. IC 15-20-2-3 IS REPEALED [EFFECTIVE JULY 1,  
2           2014]. Sec. 3: (a) The following losses and expenses are chargeable to  
3           the county in which an attack or exposure occurs:  
4           (1) Damages, less compensation by insurance or otherwise,  
5           sustained by the owner of the following stock, fowl, or game  
6           killed, maimed, or damaged by a dog:  
7           (A) Sheep.  
8           (B) Cattle.  
9           (C) Horses.  
10          (D) Swine.  
11          (E) Goats.  
12          (F) Mules.  
13          (G) Chickens.  
14          (H) Geese.  
15          (I) Turkeys.  
16          (J) Ducks.

ES 311—LS 6625/DI 109



- 1           (K) Guineas:  
 2           (L) Tame rabbits:  
 3           (M) Game birds and game animals held in captivity under  
 4           authority of a game breeder's license issued by the department  
 5           of natural resources:  
 6           (N) Bison:  
 7           (O) Farm raised cervidae:  
 8           (P) Ratitae:  
 9           (Q) Camelidae:  
 10          (2) The expense of rabies post exposure prophylaxis that is  
 11          incurred by any person who is bitten by or exposed to a dog  
 12          known to have rabies.  
 13          (b) Damages are not chargeable to a county under this section for  
 14          sheep except those claims in which individual damage exists or is  
 15          shown:  
 16          SECTION 2. IC 15-20-2-4 IS REPEALED [EFFECTIVE JULY 1,  
 17          2014]. Sec. 4: (a) A county auditor shall establish procedures in  
 18          accordance with the requirements of sections 3(a) and 6 of this chapter  
 19          by which a claimant may submit a claim to the county auditor or a  
 20          designee of the county auditor:  
 21          (b) A county auditor who:  
 22              (1) receives a verified claim under section 3(a) of this chapter  
 23              from a claimant; and  
 24              (2) is satisfied that the claim meets the requirements of sections  
 25              3(a) and 6 of this chapter;  
 26          shall immediately issue a warrant or check to the claimant for the  
 27          verified amount of the claim. If a county option dog tax adopted under  
 28          IC 6-9-39 is not in effect in the county; a claim under this section may  
 29          be paid out of nonappropriated funds. A county auditor who is not  
 30          satisfied that a claim meets the requirements of sections 3(a) and 6 of  
 31          this chapter shall promptly notify the claimant.  
 32          (c) A person whose claim under section 3(a) of this chapter is  
 33          denied by a county auditor may file an action in a court with  
 34          jurisdiction to determine whether the county auditor acted in  
 35          conformance with the requirements of this section and sections 3 and  
 36          6 of this chapter. If the court determines that the county auditor failed  
 37          to comply with the requirements of this section or sections 3 and 6 of  
 38          this chapter in evaluating the person's claim; the court may fashion an  
 39          appropriate remedy; including an order directed to the county auditor  
 40          to reconsider the person's claim.  
 41          SECTION 3. IC 15-20-2-5 IS REPEALED [EFFECTIVE JULY 1,  
 42          2014]. Sec. 5: A person requiring the treatment described in section



- 1 3(a)(2) of this chapter may select the person's own physician.  
 2 SECTION 4. IC 15-20-2-6 IS REPEALED [EFFECTIVE JULY 1,  
 3 2014]. Sec. 6: (a) An owner desiring to make a claim under section  
 4 3(a)(1) of this chapter must do the following:  
 5 (1) Not more than seventy-two (72) hours after the time of the  
 6 loss; notify one (1) of the following having jurisdiction in the  
 7 location where the loss occurred:  
 8 (A) A law enforcement officer;  
 9 (B) An officer of a county or municipal animal control center;  
 10 shelter; or similar impounding facility.  
 11 (2) Not more than twenty (20) days after the time of the loss;  
 12 report the loss to the county auditor as follows:  
 13 (A) Under oath; the owner shall state:  
 14 (i) the number; age; and value of the stock; fowl; or game;  
 15 and  
 16 (ii) the damages sustained; less compensation by insurance  
 17 or otherwise.  
 18 (B) In an affidavit; the owner must be joined by two (2)  
 19 disinterested and reputable freeholders residing in the  
 20 township in which the stock; fowl; or game were killed;  
 21 maimed; or damaged. The affidavit must state that the  
 22 freeholders are:  
 23 (i) disinterested; and  
 24 (ii) not related by blood or marriage to the claimant.  
 25 (C) An appraisal of the stock; fowl; or game that were killed;  
 26 maimed; or damaged may not exceed the actual cash value of  
 27 the stock; fowl; or game. As it applies to ratitac; cash value  
 28 may not exceed the slaughter value.  
 29 (D) The owner shall provide verification of the loss by an  
 30 officer described in subdivision (1).  
 31 (E) Payment for a loss for property owned by a claimant on the  
 32 last property tax assessment date may not be paid if the  
 33 property was not reported by the owner for assessment  
 34 purposes at that time.  
 35 (b) In addition to the requirements of subsection (a); the claimant;  
 36 if requested to do so by the county auditor or a person designated by  
 37 the county auditor; must grant the right of subrogation to the county for  
 38 the total amount paid on the claim to the claimant by the county on a  
 39 form prescribed by the county auditor.  
 40 SECTION 5. IC 15-20-2-7 IS REPEALED [EFFECTIVE JULY 1,  
 41 2014]. Sec. 7: (a) An officer who receives notice under section 6(a)(1)  
 42 of this chapter shall visit the scene of the loss; verify the loss in writing;



1 and mark each killed, maimed, or damaged animal so that the animal  
2 can support only one (1) claim under this chapter.

3 (b) A person desiring to make a claim under section 3(a)(2) of this  
4 chapter must provide the county auditor with documentation that the  
5 person, or a person for whom the claimant is financially responsible,  
6 underwent rabies post exposure prophylaxis.



COMMITTEE REPORT

Madam President: The Senate Committee on Local Government, to which was referred Senate Bill No. 311, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the Senate with the recommendation that said bill DO PASS.

(Reference is to SB 311 as introduced.)

Committee Vote: Yeas 9, Nays 0

Senator Head, Chairperson

---

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development, to which was referred Senate Bill 311, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to SB 311 as printed January 24, 2014.)

Committee Vote: Yeas 12, Nays 0

Representative Lehe

