HOUSE No. 3465

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

PRESENTED BY:

Brian M. Ashe

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act relative to patient access.

PETITION OF:

DISTRICT/ADDRESS:
2nd Hampden
6th Middlesex
Second Worcester
7th Norfolk

HOUSE No. 3465

By Mr. Ashe of Longmeadow, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 3465) of Brian M. Ashe and others relative to analyzing the impact on health care costs as relates to the use of co-pay assistance programs. Public Health.

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the One Hundred and Eighty-Ninth General Court (2015-2016)

An Act relative to patient access.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

- SECTION 1. Section 131 of chapter 139 of the acts of 2012 is hereby repealed.
- 2 SECTION 2. Section 226 of said chapter 139 is hereby repealed.
- 3 SECTION 3. Notwithstanding any special or general law to the contrary, the health
- 4 policy commission, in consultation with the department of public health, shall conduct and
- 5 complete an analysis of the impact on health care costs of the use of co-pay assistance programs,
- 6 including without limitation discounts, rebates, product vouchers or other reductions in an
- 7 individual's out of pocket expenses for biological products and prescription drugs authorized
- 8 under section 3 of chapter 175H of the General Laws from August 1, 2012 to July 31, 2017. The
- 9 health policy commission shall file a report of its findings with the clerks of the senate and house
- 10 of representatives, the house and senate committees on ways and means and the joint committee
- on health care financing on or before December 31, 2017. The report shall include, but not be
- 12 limited to: (i) the total number of purchase transactions for biological products and prescription

drugs for which out-of-pocket expenses were reduced by 1 or more co-pay assistance programs,; (ii) the total value of the savings in such out-of-pocket expenses derived by purchasers as a result 14 of co-pay assistance programs; (iii) an analysis of the types of biological products and 15 prescription drugs for which co-pay assistance programs were most frequently utilized; (iv) a 16 comparison of any change in utilization of generic versus brand name prescription drugs 17 18 associated with the utilization of co-pay assistance programs; (v) an analysis of the effect of copay assistance programs on patient adherence to prescribed drugs; and (vi) an analysis of the 19 availability of co-pay assistance programs upon renewals. To conduct its evaluation, the health 20 21 policy commission may: (1) contract with an outside organization with expertise in the analysis of health care financing; and (2) require that manufacturers of biological products and 22 prescription drugs report on the number and types of co-pay assistance programs that such 23

manufacturers have utilized in the commonwealth.