#### 

## The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

#### PRESENTED BY:

## Andres X. Vargas

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act protecting consumers by prohibiting blocking, throttling, or paid prioritization in the provision of Internet service.

### PETITION OF:

Name:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:
Andres X. Vargas	3rd Essex
Jonathan Hecht	29th Middlesex
Brian M. Ashe	2nd Hampden
Cory Atkins	14th Middlesex
Ruth B. Balser	12th Middlesex
Christine P. Barber	34th Middlesex
John Barrett	1st Berkshire
Jennifer E. Benson	37th Middlesex
James M. Cantwell	4th Plymouth
Evandro C. Carvalho	5th Suffolk
Sonia Chang-Diaz	Second Suffolk
Mike Connolly	26th Middlesex
Michael S. Day	31st Middlesex
Diana DiZoglio	14th Essex
William Driscoll	7th Norfolk
Tricia Farley-Bouvier	3rd Berkshire
Dylan Fernandes	Barnstable, Dukes and Nantucket

Sean Garballey	23rd Middlesex
Denise C. Garlick	13th Norfolk
Colleen M. Garry	36th Middlesex
Carmine L. Gentile	13th Middlesex
Solomon Goldstein-Rose	3rd Hampshire
Patricia A. Haddad	5th Bristol
Natalie Higgins	4th Worcester
Russell E. Holmes	6th Suffolk
Patricia D. Jehlen	Second Middlesex
Louis L. Kafka	8th Norfolk
Kay Khan	11th Middlesex
Peter V. Kocot	1st Hampshire
Robert M. Koczera	11th Bristol
Stephen Kulik	1st Franklin
Barbara A. L'Italien	Second Essex and Middlesex
Jack Lewis	7th Middlesex
Jason M. Lewis	Fifth Middlesex
David Paul Linsky	5th Middlesex
Adrian Madaro	1st Suffolk
Elizabeth A. Malia	11th Suffolk
Paul W. Mark	2nd Berkshire
Juana Matias	16th Essex
Paul McMurtry	11th Norfolk
James R. Miceli	19th Middlesex
Frank A. Moran	17th Essex
Mathew Muratore	1st Plymouth
Brian Murray	10th Worcester
Harold P. Naughton, Jr.	12th Worcester
Patrick M. O'Connor	Plymouth and Norfolk
James J. O'Day	14th Worcester
Sarah K. Peake	4th Barnstable
Smitty Pignatelli	4th Berkshire
Denise Provost	27th Middlesex
David M. Rogers	24th Middlesex
Jeffrey N. Roy	10th Norfolk
Byron Rushing	9th Suffolk
Thomas M. Stanley	9th Middlesex
William M. Straus	10th Bristol
Steven Ultrino	33rd Middlesex

Aaron Vega	5th Hampden
RoseLee Vincent	16th Suffolk
Chris Walsh	6th Middlesex
Thomas P. Walsh	12th Essex

#### 

By Mr. Vargas of Haverhill, a petition (subject to Joint Rule 12) of Andres X. Vargas and others for legislation to assure net neutrality by Internet service providers and the equal treatment of data on the Internet, and to prohibit discrimination or charge disparity of user, content, website, platform, application, type of attached equipment or method of communication. Telecommunications, Utilities and Energy.

# The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the One Hundred and Ninetieth General Court (2017-2018)

An Act protecting consumers by prohibiting blocking, throttling, or paid prioritization in the provision of Internet service.

*Whereas,* The deferred operation of this act would tend to defeat its purpose, which is to preserve forthwith and uphold the open foundation of the internet by adhering to certain principles, including: free flow of information over the internet; no blocking of lawful websites; no unreasonable discrimination of lawful network traffic; no paid prioritization; and clear commitment to transparency, therefore it is hereby declared to be an emergency law, necessary for the immediate preservation of the public convenience.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Chapter 25C of the General Laws is hereby amended by adding the

- 2 following section:—
- 3 Section 9. Protecting consumers by prohibiting blocking, throttling, or paid prioritization
- 4 in the provision of internet service
- 5 (1) A person engaged in the provision of broadband internet access service in
- 6 Commonwealth of Massachusetts, insofar as the person is so engaged, may not:

7 (a) Block lawful content, applications, services, or nonharmful devices, subject to
8 reasonable network management;

9 (b) Impair or degrade lawful internet traffic on the basis of internet content, application,
10 or service, or use of a nonharmful device, subject to reasonable network management; or

11 (c) Engage in paid prioritization.

(2) The Department of Telecommunications and Cable may waive the prohibition on paid
prioritization in subsection (1)(c) of this section only if the petitioner demonstrates that the
practice would provide some significant public interest benefit and would not harm the open
nature of the internet in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

16 (3) The definitions in this subsection apply throughout this section unless the context17 clearly requires otherwise.

(a)(i) "Broadband internet access service" means a mass-market retail service by wire or
 radio that provides the capability to transmit data to and receive data from all or substantially all
 internet endpoints, including any capabilities that are incidental to and enable the operation of
 the communications service, but excluding dial-up internet access service.

(ii) "Broadband internet access service" also encompasses any service that the federal
communications commission finds to be providing a functional equivalent of the service
described in (a)(i) of this subsection, or that is used to evade the protections set forth in this
section.

(b) "Paid prioritization" means the management of a broadband provider's network to
directly or indirectly favor some traffic over other traffic, including through the use of techniques

5 of 7

such as traffic shaping, prioritization, resource reservation, or other forms of preferential traffic
 management, either:

30 (i) In exchange for consideration (monetary or otherwise) from a third party; or

31 (ii) To benefit an affiliated entity.

(c) "Reasonable network management" means a practice that has a primarily technical
network management justification, but does not include other business practices. A network
management practice is reasonable if it is primarily used for and tailored to achieving a
legitimate network management purpose, taking into account the particular network architecture
and technology of the broadband internet access service.

37 SECTION 2. Chapter 93 of the General Laws is hereby amended by adding the following38 section:

39 Section 115: Violations, penalties

(1) The legislature finds that the practices covered by this chapter are matters vitally
affecting the public interest for the purpose of applying the Massachusetts Antitrust Act, MGL
93 Section 1. A violation of this chapter is not reasonable in relation to the development and
preservation of business and is an unfair or deceptive act in trade or commerce and an unfair
method of competition for the purpose of applying the Massachusetts Antitrust Act, MGL 93
Section 1.

46 (2) This chapter may be enforced solely by the attorney general under Massachusetts
47 Antitrust Act, MGL 93 Section 2.

- 48 SECTION 3. Chapter 10 of the General Laws is hereby amended by adding the following49 section:
- 50 Section 78: Receipt of funds internet consumer access account
- 51 (1) The internet consumer access account is created in the state treasury and overseen by
- 52 the Department of the State Treasurer. All receipts from recoveries by the office of the attorney
- 53 general for lawsuits related to the Massachusetts Antitrust Act under the provisions of this
- 54 chapter, or otherwise designated to this account, must be deposited into the account.
- 55 (2) Moneys in the account may be spent only after appropriation. Expenditures from the
- 56 account may be used only for costs incurred by the office of the attorney general in the
- 57 administration and enforcement of this chapter.