



Senate Fiscal Agency  
P.O. Box 30036  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536



BILL ANALYSIS

Telephone: (517) 373-5383  
Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 813 (Substitute S-3 as passed by the Senate)  
Sponsor: Senator John Cherry  
Committee: Civil Rights, Judiciary, and Public Safety

Date Completed: 12-1-24

### **INTRODUCTION**

The bill would modify how a videorecorded witness statement from a witness who was under the age of 16 or who had a developmental disability or was considered a vulnerable adult could be used in court. Currently the statements may be admitted for impeachment purposes and cannot be introduced at a preliminary examination instead of live testimony of live witness testimony. The bill would delete those uses, no longer prohibiting use at a preliminary examination instead of live testimony and specifying that a statement could be used at trial for impeachment purposes. The bill also would require statements to adhere to Forensic Interview Protocols under the Child Protection Law. Additionally, the bill would expand protective conditions for the distribution of videorecorded statements and increase penalties for any unauthorized release of a statement.

The bill would take effect 180 days after its enactment.

### **BRIEF RATIONALE**

Generally, certain videorecorded statements may be considered in court proceedings for impeachment purposes and cannot be used at a preliminary examination instead of live witness testimony. According to testimony before the Senate Committee on Civil Rights, Judiciary, and Public Safety, requiring an alleged victim who is under the age of 16, who is developmentally disabled, or who is a vulnerable adult to testify in person during proceedings may distress or retraumatize that individual unnecessarily. It has been suggested to allow a videorecorded statement of those individuals to be used during a trial under certain circumstances.

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

The proposed provisions to increase the incarceration time from a maximum of 93 days to one year and increase the maximum fine amount from \$500 to \$2,500 could have an indeterminate negative fiscal impact and an indeterminate positive fiscal impact on State and local government. The increase in the incarceration time under the bill could increase resource demands on law enforcement, court systems, community supervision, and jails; however, it is unknown how many people would be prosecuted under the bill's provisions. Local jail costs vary by jurisdiction and thus costs for local governments would vary. Local revenue to local libraries could increase under the bill as any additional revenue from imposed fines would go to local libraries. The bill would have no fiscal impact on the Department of Health and Human Services or State or local courts.

MCL 600.2163a

Legislative Analyst: Eleni Lionas  
Fiscal Analyst: Humphrey Akujobi  
Joe Carrasco, Jr.; Michael Siracuse

## **CONTENT**

### **The bill would amend the Revised Judicature Act to do the following:**

- Modify the purposes for which a videorecorded witness statement could be used in court proceedings, including allowing the use for impeachment purposes at trial.**
- Require a court to provide a defendant proceeding pro se with a transcript of the videorecorded statement within a reasonable amount of time before the defendant's pretrial proceedings.**
- Require a court order to give the defense a copy of a videorecorded statement upon request and specify who could view or use the videorecorded witness statement and that the copy would have to be returned upon the completion of the court proceedings.**
- Increase the penalty for unauthorized disclosure of a videorecorded statement.**
- Allow a forensic interviewer or witness to the interview to testify to the statement if a recording were unavailable or failed to play.**

### **General Use of Videorecording in Court**

Section 2163a of the Act allows for special accommodations, such as the use of a courtroom support dog, the use of a videorecording of a witness statement, or the exclusion of the public for a 1) witness who is under 16 years old or 2) who is developmentally disabled or a vulnerable adult and is the alleged victim of certain offenses under the Act.

(Generally a witness described above must be an alleged victim of any of the following offenses: a) a home invasion; b) vulnerable adult abuse; c) a violation of the Adult Foster Care Facility Licensing Act, the Act's rules, or related provisions under the Public Health Code if the violation caused the death of a vulnerable adult; d) certain conduct by a caregiver or person with authority over a vulnerable adult; e) embezzlement by an agent, servant or employee, trustee, bailee, or custodian; f) obtaining or using a vulnerable adult's money or property through fraud; and g) certain assaults.)

Section 2163a allows a custodian of a videorecording to take a witness's videorecorded statement before the normally scheduled date for the defendant's preliminary hearing. The recorded statement must indicate the date and time the statement was taken, identify the persons present in the room, indicate whether the person was present for the entire recording or only a portion of the recording, and show a time clock that is running during the taking of that statement. The bill would delete the requirements that the statement identify the persons present in the room and indicate whether the person was present for the entire recording or only a portion of the recording.

Currently, "custodian of the videorecorded statement" means the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), investigating law enforcement agency, prosecuting attorney, or Department of Attorney General or other person designated under the county protocols established under Section 8 of the Child Protection Law. The bill would delete reference to the DHHS. Additionally, the bill requires a videorecorded statement to adhere to the Forensic Interview Protocol under Section 8 of the Child Protection Law and be retained under the county protocols of the Law. The bill specifies that the DHHS would not be responsible for storing or retaining a videorecorded statement made under Section 2163a.

(Section 8 of the Child Protection Law requires the prosecuting attorney in each county and the DHHS to adopt standard child abuse and child neglect investigation and interview

protocols developed by the Governor's Task Force on Children's Justice as a model.<sup>1</sup> The standard child abuse and child neglect investigation and interview protocols such as the forensic interview protocol were most recently updated in 2023 by the Governor's Task Force on Child Abuse and Neglect in coordination with the DHHS. The Protocols specify how to conduct an interview with a child in a developmentally appropriate manner to obtain an accurate statement to be used in criminal justice and child welfare systems.)

Under the bill, if a videorecording of an interview were unavailable or failed to play in its entirety, a forensic interviewer or other witness present during the taking of the statement could testify in court as to the circumstances and content of the individual's statement if the court determined that the testimony was otherwise necessary.

Additionally, Section 2163a allows a videorecorded statement to be considered in court proceedings only for at least one of the following:

- It may be admitted as evidence at all pretrial proceedings, except that it cannot be introduced as preliminary examination instead of the live testimony of the witness.
- It may be admitted for impeachment purposes.
- It may be considered by the court in determining the sentence.
- It may be used as a factual basis for a no contest plea or to supplement a guilty plea.

Instead, under the bill, a videorecorded statement could only be considered in a court proceeding for at least one of the following:

- It could be admitted as evidence at all pretrial proceedings.
- It could be admitted at trial for impeachment purposes.
- It could be considered by the court in determining the sentence.
- It could be used as a factual basis for a no contest plea or to supplement a guilty plea.

#### Protective Conditions for Use of Videorecording

The Revised Judicature Act also allows a videorecorded statement to be released to certain parties, including the defense. Upon request, a prosecuting attorney must provide the defendant and his or her attorney as applicable access to the statement at a reasonable time before the defendant's pretrial or trial. The court may order the release of that statement to the defense in preparation for a court proceeding with certain protective conditions, including a prohibition on copying, releasing, or circulating that statement.

Under the bill, a represented defendant would have to return a copy of the statement to the custody of the prosecuting attorney upon completion of the defendant's court proceedings.

The bill would specify that, subject to protective conditions the court determined necessary, a defendant who was representing him or herself would have to be provided a transcript of the statement at a reasonable time before the defendant's pretrial hearing.

The bill also would require protective conditions to include a prohibition on defense counsel providing a defendant with access to the copy or the defendant's own copy of the videorecorded statement and a prohibition on a defendant who was representing him or herself from receiving or retaining the defendant's own copy of the statement. The court's order would have to specify who could review the videorecorded statement, indicate the time by which the statement was required to be returned, and state a reason for the release of the statement. Additionally, the order could include any other protective conditions the court determined necessary.

---

<sup>1</sup> "A Model Child Abuse and Neglect Protocol Utilizing a Multidisciplinary Team Approach", State of Michigan Governor's Task Force on Child Abuse and Neglect. Retrieved 9-24-24.

## Unauthorized Release of Videorecorded Statement

Currently a person who intentionally releases a videorecorded statement in violation of Section 2163a is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by up to 93 days' imprisonment and a maximum fine of \$500, or both. Under the bill, a person that intentionally released a videorecorded statement in violation of Section 2163a would be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by up to one years' imprisonment and a maximum fine of \$2,500, or both. The bill specifies that Section 2163a's provisions would not affect the ability to investigate, arrest, prosecute, or convict an individual for any other violation of State law.

### **PREVIOUS LEGISLATION**

*(This section does not provide a comprehensive account of previous legislative efforts on this subject matter.)*

The bill is a similar reintroduction to House Bill 6291 of the 2021-2022 Legislative Session. House Bill 6291 was referred to the House Committee on Judiciary but received no further action. The bill is also similar to Senate Bill 451 of the 2019-2020 Legislative Session which was referred to the Senate Committee on Judiciary and Public Safety but received no further action. The bill is a similar reintroduction of House Bill 4299 of the 2017-2018 Legislative Session which passed the House but received no further action.

SAS\S2324\s813sb

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.