



Telephone: (517) 373-5383

Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 930 (as introduced 6-13-24)

Sponsor: Senator Jeremy Moss Committee: Regulatory Affairs

Date Completed: 6-19-24

## **CONTENT**

The bill would amend the General Sales Tax Act to do the following:

- -- Specify that, while a delivery network company would have to pay the sales tax imposed on a qualified network delivery sale to a marketplace seller, the marketplace seller would be liable for the sales tax.
- -- Allow a delivery network company to deduct or exclude from its tax liability the amount of sales tax that the delivery network company paid to a marketplace seller in connection with a qualified delivery network sale.

## **Definitions**

Generally, a marketplace facilitator acts as an intermediary between a marketplace seller and a customer. Under the Act, a person must do the following to be considered a marketplace facilitator:

- -- List or advertise for sale tangible personal property being sold by a marketplace seller.
- -- Collect payment from the customer and transmit that payment to the marketplace seller for consideration, either directly or indirectly through agreements or arrangements with third parties.

A person that operates a platform or forum that provides internet, print, electronic, or any other form of advertising services, including listing tangible personal property for sale, is *not* a marketplace facilitator if that person does not fulfill the latter requirement.

Under the bill, "delivery network company" would mean a marketplace facilitator that maintains a website or mobile application used to facilitate delivery services that are performed or otherwise conducted by a delivery network courier. "Delivery network courier" would mean an individual who provides delivery services through a delivery network company by doing any of the following:

- -- Using a personal means of transportation, such as a motor vehicle, bicycle, scooter, or other similar modes of transportation.
- -- Using public transportation.
- -- Walking.

"Delivery network courier" would not include a common carrier or a motor carrier as that term is defined in the Motor Carrier Fuel Tax Act: 1) a person who operates or causes to be operated a qualified commercial motor vehicle on a public road or highway in the State and at least one other state or Canadian province; or 2) a person who operates or causes to be operated

Page 1 of 3 sb930/2324

a qualified commercial motor vehicle on a public road or highway in the State and who is licensed under the International Fuel Tax Agreement.<sup>1</sup>

Under the bill, "delivery services" would mean the pickup and delivery of tangible personal property, by a delivery network courier, from a marketplace seller located in the State to a customer located in the State, which may include the selection, collection, and purchase of the tangible personal property in connection with the delivery. Delivery services would not include a delivery requiring more than 75 miles of travel from the marketplace seller to the customer.

The bill would define "qualified delivery network sale" as a sale that meets all the following requirements:

- -- The sale is made as part of delivery services facilitated by a delivery network company.
- -- The sale is sourced to the State.
- -- The tax imposed by the Act on the sale is paid by the delivery network company to the marketplace seller in connection with the delivery services.

## Qualified Delivery Network Sales

Among other things, the Act requires individuals or businesses that sell tangible personal property to remit a 6% sales tax on the total price of their taxable retail sales to the State.<sup>2</sup> A marketplace seller must fulfill either of the following to be considered engaged in the business of making sales at retail of tangible personal property in the State:

- -- The seller's gross receipts from sales to customers in the State exceeded \$100,000 in the previous calendar year.
- -- The seller had 200 or more separate transactions into the State in the previous calendar year.

Generally, a marketplace facilitator engaged in the business of making sales at retail in the State must remit the sales tax on all taxable sales made by the marketplace facilitator or facilitated for marketplace sellers in the State. The Treasury Department cannot audit a marketplace seller for sales facilitated by a marketplace facilitator required to remit the sales tax. A marketplace seller is not liable for the sales tax imposed on sales made through a marketplace facilitator required to remit tax.

The bill would specify that, for a qualified delivery network sale, the delivery network company would have to pay the sales tax to the marketplace seller in connection with the delivery services performed; however, the *marketplace seller* would be liable for the sales tax imposed by the Act. The Treasury Department *could* audit the marketplace seller for the qualified delivery network sale.

Additionally, the bill would allow a delivery network company to deduct or exclude from its tax liability the amount of tax under the Act that the delivery network company paid to the marketplace seller in connection with the qualified delivery network sale; however, the delivery network company could not claim such a deduction or exclusion if the marketplace seller did not charge the delivery network company for the tax imposed under the Act on the qualified delivery network sale. Additionally, the amount of the deduction or exclusion could not exceed the amount of tax under the Act that was charged by the marketplace seller to the delivery network company in connection with the qualified delivery network sale.

MCL 205.52d Legislative Analyst: Nathan Leaman

Page 2 of 3 sb930/2324

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> MCL 207.211

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> MCL 205.52

## **FISCAL IMPACT**

The bill likely would not have a significant fiscal impact on the Department of Treasury. While the bill would allow additional audits to be performed, it would not require them. The magnitude of the impact would depend upon the number of audits performed. It is likely that existing appropriations would be sufficient to cover expenditures; however, a large increase in audits could result in additional administrative and staffing costs.

The bill would have an indeterminate impact on sales tax revenue. Any impact on sales tax revenue would affect revenue to the General Fund; the School Aid Fund; constitutional revenue sharing; and if any affected sales were determined to involve sales of motor fuel, motor vehicles, or motor vehicle parts and accessories, the Comprehensive Transportation Fund.

Fiscal Analyst: David Zin Cory Savino, PhD Elizabeth Raczkowski

SAS\S2324\s930sa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.