## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH **OVERSIGHT DIVISION**

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 3239H.01I Bill No.: HB 1657

Animals; Cities, Towns, and Villages; Political Subdivisions Subject:

Type: Original

January 10, 2022 Date:

This proposal prohibits villages, towns, and cities from regulating dogs in a Bill Summary:

breed-specific manner.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND |         |         |         |  |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                                | FY 2023 | FY 2024 | FY 2025 |  |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |  |
| <b>Total Estimated Net</b>                   |         |         |         |  |  |
| Effect on General                            |         |         |         |  |  |
| Revenue                                      | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS |         |         |         |  |  |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                             | FY 2023 | FY 2024 | FY 2025 |  |  |
|   |         |         |         |  |  |
|   |         |         |         |  |  |
| <b>Total Estimated Net</b>                |         |         |         |  |  |
| Effect on Other State                     |         |         |         |  |  |
| Funds                                     | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |  |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 3239H.011 Bill No. HB 1657 Page **2** of **4** January 10, 2022

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS |         |         |         |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                         | FY 2023 | FY 2024 | FY 2025 |  |  |
|                                       |         |         |         |  |  |
|                                       |         |         |         |  |  |
| <b>Total Estimated Net</b>            |         |         |         |  |  |
| Effect on All Federal                 |         |         |         |  |  |
| Funds                                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) |         |         |         |  |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                                      | FY 2023 | FY 2024 | FY 2025 |  |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |  |
| <b>Total Estimated Net</b>                         |         |         |         |  |  |
| Effect on FTE                                      | 0       | 0       | 0       |  |  |

| ☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in an    | ıy |
|---|----|
| of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act. |    |
|   |    |

| ☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of |
|---|
| the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS |                                    |                  |                  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                       | TUND AFFECTED FY 2023 FY 2024 FY 2 |                  |                  |  |  |  |
|                                     |                                    |                  |                  |  |  |  |
|                                     | \$0 or (Unknown,                   | \$0 or (Unknown, | \$0 or (Unknown, |  |  |  |
| <b>Local Government</b>             | greater than                       | greater than     | greater than     |  |  |  |
|                                     | \$25,000)                          | \$25,000)        | \$25,000)        |  |  |  |

L.R. No. 3239H.01I Bill No. HB 1657 Page **3** of **4** January 10, 2022

#### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **City of Springfield** assume a negative impact from this proposal. Currently, the City has heightened ownership requirements for pit bulls, including registration, muzzling while not on owner's property, and posting a sign on the property. The City would lose approximately \$25,000 in registration fees annually, and there may be additional unquantifiable costs related to animal control issues.

Officials from the Missouri Department of Agriculture, the City of Kansas City and the City of St. Louis each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses that have been received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities and counties were requested to respond to this proposed legislation, but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions is available upon request.

Oversight notes there are several cities with ordinances in place regarding registration, proper confinement and the posting of signs for certain breeds of dogs. Such cities include Springfield, Liberty, Independence, Florissant, Ferguson and Carthage Missouri. Oversight is unclear on how much the cities charge for registration and how many dogs are registered in those cities. Oversight assumes that should this proposal be enacted, registration fees in those cities and possibly others not listed could be eliminated from the cities revenue. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a \$0 or unknown, greater than \$25,000 negative impact to local political subdivision (LPS) for this proposal.

| FISCAL IMPACT – State Government | FY 2023<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2024    | FY 2025    |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
|                                  | (10 1010.)          |            |            |
|                                  | <u>\$0</u>          | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

| LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS               | greater than <u>\$25,000)</u> | greater than <b>\$25,000</b> ) | greater than <b>\$25,000</b> ) |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON                    | (Unknown,                     | (Unknown,                      | (Unknown,                      |
|  | \$0 or                        | \$0 or                         | \$0 or                         |
|  |                               |                                |                                |
| specific dogs                              | \$25,000)                     | \$25,000)                      | \$25,000)                      |
| generated from registering breed-          | greater than                  | greater than                   | greater than                   |
| <u>Loss</u> – LPS – potential loss of fees | (Unknown,                     | (Unknown,                      | (Unknown,                      |
|  | \$0 or                        | \$0 or                         | \$0 or                         |
|  |                               |                                |                                |
| SUBDIVISIONS                               |                               |                                |                                |
| LOCAL POLITICAL                            | Ì                             |                                |                                |
|  | (10 Mo.)                      |                                |                                |
| FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government           | FY 2023                       | FY 2024                        | FY 2025                        |

## FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal prohibits villages, towns, and cities from regulating dogs in a breed-specific manner.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

City of Springfield Missouri Department of Agriculture City of Kansas City City of St. Louis

uere worlf

Julie Morff Director

January 10, 2022

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 10, 2022