# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4449H.01I Bill No.: HB 1963

Subject: Courts; Civil Procedure; Saint Louis City; Libraries and Archives

Type: Original

Date: February 14, 2022

Bill Summary: This proposal attempts to allow the circuit court in St. Louis City to collect a

fee not to exceed twenty dollars, rather than fifteen, to go toward the law

library.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
<b>Effect on General</b>					
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>				
Effect on Other State				
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 4449H.01I Bill No. HB 1963 Page **2** of **4** February 14, 2022

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>				
Effect on All Federal				
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expe	enditures or reduced revenue	es) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any
of the three fiscal years after	er implementation of the act	or at full implementation of the act.

☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of
the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED FY 2023 FY 2024 FY 202					
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0 to \$141,013 to	\$0 to \$169,215 to	\$0 to \$169,215 to		
	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		

L.R. No. 4449H.01I Bill No. HB 1963 Page **3** of **4** February 14, 2022

#### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

§488.426 - Fee collection for law library in St. Louis City

Based on the provided description of the bill, **Oversight** will assume the intent of the legislation is to allow the circuit court in St. Louis City (22<sup>nd</sup> judicial circuit) to collect a fee not to exceed \$20 (currently limited to \$15) to go toward a law library. Oversight notes the proposal exempts the circuit court in the City of St. Louis from the \$15 limit; however, it fails to specifically add the same circuit court to the \$20 exemption listing. Oversight assumes this may allow the 22<sup>nd</sup> judicial circuit to charge a fee more than \$20. For purposes of this fiscal note, Oversight will reflect a fiscal impact of increasing the fee from \$15 to \$20, to an unknown amount (if exceeds the \$20 limit).

In response to similar legislation from 2021, HB 143, officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** assumed the proposed legislation allows the circuit court in St. Louis City to collect a fee not to exceed twenty dollars, rather than fifteen, to go toward the law library. During the past five years there was an average of 7,585 circuit civil case filings, 7,346 domestic relations civil case filings and 18,314 associate civil and small claims civil case filings, for a total of 33,245. Based upon the increase in the collection fee not to exceed \$20, rather than \$15, to go toward the library, OSCA estimated the increase to be \$0 to \$166,225 (\$5 \* 33,245).

**Oversight** notes OSCA's numbers above are for FY12 thru FY16 according to the Missouri Judicial Report Supplement. Using the same information in the supplement, Oversight gathered information for the past nine years (FY12 thru FY20) and the average was as follows:

Circuit Civil	9,401
Domestic Relations	6,904
Associate Civil and Small Claims	<u>17,538</u>
Civil Circuit Total	33,843

**Oversight** estimates the increase to be \$0 to \$169,215 (\$5 \* 33,843).

In response to similar legislation from 2021, HB 143, officials from the **City of St. Louis** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. The Law Librarian states that some additional programming, database enhancements, increase in print volumes, and potential benefits to pro se litigants may be gained with additional fees but those are undefined at this time. The Law Library is its own entity separate from the Circuit Court. The Court is not in a position to quantify those costs as they pertain to the Circuit's operations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency.

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON ST. LOUIS CITY CIRCUIT COURT	\$0 to \$141,013 to Unknown	\$0 to \$141,013 to Unknown	\$0 to \$141,013 to Unknown
\$20 – to beyond.	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
law library (§488.426) – from \$15 to	\$141,013to	\$141,013to	\$141,013to
Revenue – on filing fees to go towards	\$0 to	\$0 to	\$0 to
ST. LOUIS CITY CIRCUIT COURT			
	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025

## FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Currently, the circuit court in any circuit may collect a fee in civil cases not to exceed \$15, and that fee shall go toward maintenance and upkeep of the law library in the designated county. The bill attempts to add the Circuit Court in the city of St. Louis to the circuits that may charge a fee not to exceed \$20.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Courts Administrator City of St. Louis

Julie Morff Director

February 14, 2022

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 14, 2022