State of South Dakota

NINETY-FIRST SESSION LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY, 2016

465X0493

SENATE STATE AFFAIRS ENGROSSED NO. $SB\ 106$ - 02/17/2016

This bill has been extensively amended (hoghoused) and may no longer be consistent with the original intention of the sponsor.

Introduced by: Senators Peters, Brown, Cammack, Heinert, Sutton, Tidemann, and White and Representatives Hunt, Bartling, Cronin, Gosch, Hawley, Mickelson, Westra, and Wink

- 1 FOR AN ACT ENTITLED, An Act to provide for the collection of sales taxes from certain
- 2 remote sellers, to establish certain Legislative findings, and to declare an emergency.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA:
- 4 Section 1. That the code be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:
- Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any seller selling tangible personal property,
- 6 products transferred electronically, or services for delivery into South Dakota, who does not
- 7 have a physical presence in the state, is subject to chapters 10-45 and 10-52, shall remit the sales
- 8 tax and shall follow all applicable procedures and requirements of law as if the seller had a
- 9 physical presence in the state, provided the seller meets either of the following criteria in the
- previous calendar year or the current calendar year:
- 11 (1) The seller's gross revenue from the sale of tangible personal property, any product
- transferred electronically, or services delivered into South Dakota exceeds one
- hundred thousand dollars; or



- 2 - SB 106

1 (2) The seller sold tangible personal property, any product transferred electronically, or

2 services for delivery into South Dakota in two hundred or more separate transactions.

- Section 2. That the code be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:
- 4 Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and whether or not the state initiates an audit
- 5 or other tax collection procedure, the state may bring a declaratory judgment action under
- 6 chapter 21-24 in any circuit court against any person the state believes meets the criteria of
- 7 section 1 of this Act to establish that the obligation to remit sales tax is applicable and valid
- 8 under state and federal law. The circuit court shall act on this declaratory judgment action as
- 9 expeditiously as possible and this action shall proceed with priority over any other action
- presenting the same question in any other venue.
- In this action, the court shall presume that the matter may be fully resolved through a motion
- 12 to dismiss or a motion for summary judgment. However, if these motions do not resolve the
- action, any discovery allowed by the court may not exceed the provisions of subdivisions
- 14 15-6-73(2) and (4).

3

- The provisions of § 10-59-34, along with any other provisions authorizing attorney's fees,
- do not apply to any action brought pursuant to this Act or any appeal from any action brought
- pursuant to this Act.
- Section 3. That the code be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:
- The filing of the declaratory judgment action established in this Act by the state operates as
- an injunction during the pendency of the action, applicable to each state entity, prohibiting any
- state entity from enforcing the obligation in section 1 of this Act against any taxpayer who does
- 22 not affirmatively consent or otherwise remit the sales tax on a voluntary basis. The injunction
- 23 does not apply if there is a previous judgment from a court establishing the validity of the
- obligation in section 1 of this Act with respect to the particular taxpayer.

- 3 - SB 106

- 1 Section 4. That the code be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:
- 2 Any appeal from the decision with respect to the cause of action established by this Act may
- 3 only be made to the state Supreme Court. The appeal shall be heard as expeditiously as possible.
- 4 Section 5. That the code be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:
- No obligation to remit the sales tax required by this Act may be applied retroactively.
- 6 Section 6. That the code be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:
- If an injunction provided by this Act is lifted or dissolved, in general or with respect to a
- 8 specific taxpayer, the state shall assess and apply the obligation established in section 1 of this
- 9 Act from that date forward with respect to any taxpayer covered by the injunction.
- Section 7. That the code be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:
- 11 A taxpayer complying with this Act, voluntarily or otherwise, may only seek a recovery of
- taxes, penalties, or interest by following the recovery procedures established pursuant to chapter
- 13 10-59. However, no claim may be granted on the basis that the taxpayer lacked a physical
- presence in the state and complied with this Act voluntarily while covered by the injunction
- provided in section 3 of this Act.
- Nothing in this Act limits the ability of any taxpayer to obtain a refund for any other reason,
- including a mistake of fact or mathematical miscalculation of the applicable tax.
- No seller who remits sales tax voluntarily or otherwise under this Act is liable to a purchaser
- who claims that the sales tax has been over-collected because a provision of this Act is later
- deemed unlawful.
- Nothing in this Act affects the obligation of any purchaser from this state to remit use tax
- as to any applicable transaction in which the seller does not collect and remit or remit an
- 23 offsetting sales tax.
- Section 8. That the code be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:

- 4 - SB 106

The	Legis	lature	finds	that:

- (1) The inability to effectively collect the sales or use tax from remote sellers who deliver tangible personal property, products transferred electronically, or services directly into South Dakota is seriously eroding the sales tax base of this state, causing revenue losses and imminent harm to this state through the loss of critical funding for state and local services;
 - (2) The harm from the loss of revenue is especially serious in South Dakota because the state has no income tax, and sales and use tax revenues are essential in funding state and local services;
 - (3) Despite the fact that a use tax is owed on tangible personal property, any product transferred electronically, or services delivered for use in this state, many remote sellers actively market sales as tax free or no sales tax transactions;
 - (4) The structural advantages of remote sellers, including the absence of point-of-sale tax collection, along with the general growth of online retail, make clear that further erosion of this state's sales tax base is likely in the near future;
 - (5) Remote sellers who make a substantial number of deliveries into or have large gross revenues from South Dakota benefit extensively from this state's market, including the economy generally, as well as state infrastructure;
 - (6) In contrast with the expanding harms caused to the state from this exemption of sales tax collection duties for remote sellers, the costs of that collection have fallen. Given modern computing and software options, it is neither unusually difficult nor burdensome for remote sellers to collect and remit sales taxes associated with sales into South Dakota;
- (7) As Justice Kennedy recently recognized in his concurrence in *Direct Marketing*

- 5 - SB 106

Association v. Brohl, the Supreme Court of the United States should reconsider its doctrine that prevents states from requiring remote sellers to collect sales tax, and as the foregoing findings make clear, this argument has grown stronger, and the cause more urgent, with time;

(8) Given the urgent need for the Supreme Court of the United States to reconsider this

- doctrine, it is necessary for this state to pass this law clarifying its immediate intent to require collection of sales taxes by remote sellers, and permitting the most expeditious possible review of the constitutionality of this law;
- (9) Expeditious review is necessary and appropriate because, while it may be reasonable notwithstanding this law for remote sellers to continue to refuse to collect the sales tax in light of existing federal constitutional doctrine, any such refusal causes imminent harm to this state;
- (10) At the same time, the Legislature recognizes that the enactment of this law places remote sellers in a complicated position, precisely because existing constitutional doctrine calls this law into question. Accordingly, the Legislature intends to clarify that the obligations created by this law would be appropriately stayed by the courts until the constitutionality of this law has been clearly established by a binding judgment, including, for example, a decision from the Supreme Court of the United States abrogating its existing doctrine, or a final judgment applicable to a particular taxpayer; and
- (11) It is the intent of the Legislature to apply South Dakota's sales and use tax obligations to the limit of federal and state constitutional doctrines, and to thereby clarify that South Dakota law permits the state to immediately argue in any litigation that such constitutional doctrine should be changed to permit the collection obligations of this

- 1 Act.
- 2 Section 9. Whereas, this Act is necessary for the support of the state government and its
- 3 existing public institutions, an emergency is hereby declared to exist. This Act shall be in full
- 4 force and effect on the first day of the first month that is at least fifteen calendar days from the
- 5 date this Act is signed by the Governor.