112TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. 1211

To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to preserve the effectiveness of medically important antibiotics used in the treatment of human and animal diseases.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

June 15, 2011

Mrs. Feinstein (for herself, Ms. Collins, Mr. Reed, and Mrs. Boxer) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions

A BILL

- To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to preserve the effectiveness of medically important antibiotics used in the treatment of human and animal diseases.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - 4 This Act may be cited as the "Preservation of Anti-
 - 5 biotics for Medical Treatment Act of 2011".
 - 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
 - 7 The Congress finds the following:

1	(1) In January 2001, a Federal interagency
2	task force—
3	(A) released an action plan to address the
4	continuing decline in effectiveness of antibiotics
5	against common bacterial infections, referred to
6	as antibiotic resistance;
7	(B) determined that antibiotic resistance is
8	a growing menace to all people and poses a se-
9	rious threat to public health; and
10	(C) cautioned that if current trends con-
11	tinue, treatments for common infections will be-
12	come increasingly limited and expensive, and, in
13	some cases, nonexistent.
14	(2) Antibiotic resistance, resulting in a reduced
15	number of effective antibiotics, may significantly im-
16	pair the ability of the United States to respond to
17	terrorist attacks involving bacterial infections or a
18	large influx of hospitalized patients.
19	(3)(A) Any overuse or misuse of antibiotics con-
20	tributes to the spread of antibiotic resistance, wheth-
21	er in human medicine or in agriculture.
22	(B) Recognizing the public health threat caused
23	by antibiotic resistance, Congress took several steps
24	to curb antibiotic overuse in human medicine
25	through amendments to the Public Health Service

1	Act (42 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) made by section 102 of
2	the Public Health Threats and Emergencies Act
3	(Public Law 106–505, title I; 114 Stat. 2315), but
4	has not yet addressed antibiotic overuse in agri-
5	culture.
6	(4) In a March 2003 report, the National Acad-
7	emy of Sciences stated that—
8	(A) a decrease in antimicrobial use in
9	human medicine alone will have little effect on
10	the current situation; and
11	(B) substantial efforts must be made to
12	decrease inappropriate overuse in animals and
13	agriculture.
14	(5) In 2010, the FDA determined that—
15	(A) 1,300,000 kilograms of antibacterial
16	drugs were sold for use on food animals in the
17	United States in 2009;
18	(B) 3,300,000 kilograms of antibacterial
19	drugs were used for human health in 2009; and
20	(C) therefore, 80 percent of antibacterial
21	drugs disseminated in the United States in
22	2009 were sold for use on food animals, rather
23	than being used for human health.
24	(6)(A) Large-scale, voluntary surveys by the
25	Department of Agriculture's Animal and Plant

1	Health Inspection Service in 1999, 2001, and 2006
2	revealed that—
3	(i) 84 percent of grower-finisher swine
4	farms, 83 percent of cattle feedlots, and 84 per-
5	cent of sheep farms administer antimicrobials
6	in the feed or water for health or growth pro-
7	motion reasons; and
8	(ii) many of the antimicrobials identified
9	are identical or closely related to drugs used in
10	human medicine, including tetracyclines,
11	macrolides, Bacitracin, penicillins, and
12	sulfonamides; and
13	(B) these drugs are used in people to treat seri-
14	ous diseases such as pneumonia, scarlet fever, rheu-
15	matic fever, venereal disease, skin infections, and
16	even pandemics like malaria and plague, as well as
17	bioterrorism agents like smallpox and anthrax.
18	(7) Many scientific studies confirm that the
19	nontherapeutic use of antibiotics in agricultural ani-
20	mals contributes to the development of antibiotic-re-
21	sistant bacterial infections in people.
22	(8) The periodical entitled "Clinical Infectious
23	Diseases" published a report in June 2002, that—
24	(A) was based on a 2-year review by ex-
25	perts in human and veterinary medicine, public

1	health, microbiology, biostatistics, and risk
2	analysis, of more than 500 scientific studies on
3	the human health impacts of antimicrobial use
4	in agriculture; and
5	(B) recommended that antimicrobial
6	agents should no longer be used in agriculture
7	in the absence of disease, but should be limited
8	to therapy for diseased individual animals and
9	prophylaxis when disease is documented in a
10	herd or flock.
11	(9) The United States Geological Survey re-
12	ported in March 2002 that—
13	(A) antibiotics were present in 48 percent
14	of the streams tested nationwide; and
15	(B) almost half of the tested streams were
16	downstream from agricultural operations.
17	(10) An April 1999 study by the General Ac-
18	counting Office concluded that resistant strains of 3
19	microorganisms that cause food-borne illness or dis-
20	ease in humans (Salmonella, Campylobacter, and E.
21	coli) are linked to the use of antibiotics in animals.
22	(11) Epidemiological research has shown that
23	resistant Salmonella and Campylobacter infections
24	are associated with increased numbers of ill patients

and bloodstream infections, and increased death.

- 1 (12) In 2010, the peer-reviewed journal Molec-2 ular Cell published a study demonstrating that low-3 dosage use of antibiotics causes a dramatic increase 4 in genetic mutation, raising new concerns about the 5 agricultural practice of using low-dosage antibiotics 6 in order to stimulate growth promotion and rou-7 tinely prevent disease in unhealthy conditions.
 - (13)(A) In January 2003, Consumer Reports published test results on poultry products bought in grocery stores nationwide showing disturbingly high levels of Campylobacter and Salmonella bacteria that were resistant to the antibiotics used to treat foodborne illnesses.
 - (B) The Food and Drug Administration's National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System routinely finds that retail meat products are contaminated with bacteria (including the foodborne pathogens Campylobacter and Salmonella) that are resistant to antibiotics important in human medicine.
 - (C) In December 2007, the USDA issued a fact sheet on the recently recognized link between antimicrobial drug use in animals and Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureas (MRSA) infections in humans.

25 mans

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 (14) In October 2001, the New England Jour-2 nal of Medicine published an editorial urging a ban 3 on nontherapeutic use of medically important anti-4 biotics in animals.
 - (15)(A) In 1998, the National Academy of Sciences noted that antibiotic-resistant bacteria generate a minimum of \$4,000,000,000 to \$5,000,000,000 in costs to United States society and individuals yearly.
 - (B) In 2009, Cook County Hospital and the Alliance for Prudent Use of Antibiotics estimated that the total health care cost of antibiotic resistant infections in the United States was between \$16,600,000,000 and \$26,000,000,000 annually.
 - (16) The American Medical Association, the American Public Health Association, the National Association of County and City Health Officials, and the National Campaign for Sustainable Agriculture are among the more than 300 organizations representing health, consumer, agricultural, environmental, humane, and other interests that have supported enactment of legislation to phase out non-therapeutic use in farm animals of medically important antibiotics.

1	(17) In 2010, the Danish Veterinary and Food
2	Administration testified that the Danish ban of the
3	non-therapeutic use of antibiotics in food animal
4	production resulted in a marked reduction in anti-
5	microbial resistance in multiple bacterial species, in-
6	cluding Campylobacter and Enterococci.
7	(18) In 2009, the Congressional Research Serv-
8	ice concluded that restrictions overseas on the use of
9	antimicrobial drugs in the production of livestock
10	could impact U.S. export markets for livestock and
11	poultry.
12	(19) The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic
13	Act (21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.)—
14	(A) requires that all drugs be shown to be
15	safe before the drugs are approved; and
16	(B) places the burden on manufacturers to
17	account for health consequences and prove safe-
18	ty.
19	(20)(A) The Food and Drug Administration re-

(20)(A) The Food and Drug Administration recently modified the drug approval process for antibiotics to recognize the development of resistant bacteria as an important aspect of safety, but most antibiotics currently used in animal production systems for nontherapeutic purposes were approved be-

20

21

22

23

1	fore the Food and Drug Administration began con-
2	sidering resistance during the drug-approval process.
3	(B) The Food and Drug Administration has not
4	established a schedule for reviewing those existing
5	approvals.
6	(21) Certain non-routine uses of antibiotics in
7	animal agriculture are legitimate to prevent animal
8	disease.
9	(22) An April 2004 study by the General Ac-
10	counting Office—
11	(A) concluded that Federal agencies do not
12	collect the critical data on antibiotic use in ani-
13	mals that they need to support research on
14	human health risks; and
15	(B) recommends that the Department of
16	Agriculture and the Department of Health and
17	Human Services develop and implement a plan
18	to collect data on antibiotic use in animals.
19	SEC. 3. PURPOSE.
20	The purpose of this Act is to preserve the effective-
21	ness of medically important antibiotics used in the treat-
22	ment of human and animal diseases by reviewing the safe-
23	ty of certain antibiotics for nontherapeutic purposes in
24	food-producing animals.

1	SEC. 4. PROOF OF SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL
2	ANIMAL DRUGS.
3	(a) Definitions.—Section 201 of the Federal Food,
4	Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321) is amended by
5	adding at the end the following:
6	"(ss) Critical Antimicrobial Animal Drug.—
7	The term 'critical antimicrobial animal drug' means a
8	drug that—
9	"(1) is intended for use in food-producing ani-
10	mals; and
11	"(2) is composed wholly or partly of—
12	"(A) any kind of penicillin, tetracycline,
13	macrolide, lincosamide, streptogramin,
14	aminoglycoside, or sulfonamide; or
15	"(B) any other drug or derivative of a
16	drug that is used in humans or intended for use
17	in humans to treat or prevent disease or infec-
18	tion caused by microorganisms.
19	"(tt) Nontherapeutic Use.—The term 'nonthera-
20	peutic use', with respect to a critical antimicrobial animal
21	drug, means any use of the drug as a feed or water addi-
22	tive for an animal in the absence of any clinical sign of
23	disease in the animal for growth promotion, feed effi-
24	ciency, weight gain, routine disease prevention, or other
25	routine purpose.".

1	(b) Applications Pending or Submitted After
2	ENACTMENT.—Section 512(d)(1) of the Federal Food,
3	Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360b(d)(1)) is amend-
4	ed—
5	(1) in the first sentence—
6	(A) in subparagraph (H), by striking "or"
7	at the end;
8	(B) in subparagraph (I), by inserting "or"
9	at the end; and
10	(C) by inserting after subparagraph (I) the
11	following:
12	"(J) with respect to a critical antimicrobial
13	animal drug or a drug of the same chemical
14	class as a critical antimicrobial animal drug,
15	the applicant has failed to demonstrate that
16	there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to
17	human health due to the development of anti-
18	microbial resistance that is attributable, in
19	whole or in part, to the nontherapeutic use of
20	the drug;"; and
21	(2) in the second sentence, by striking "(A)
22	through (I)" and inserting "(A) through (J)".
23	(c) Phased Elimination of Nontherapeutic
24	USE IN ANIMALS OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL
25	Drugs Important for Human Health.—Section 512

1	of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C.
2	360b) is amended by adding at the end the following:
3	"(q) Phased Elimination of Nontherapeutic
4	USE IN ANIMALS OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL
5	Drugs Important for Human Health.—
6	"(1) Applicability.—This subsection applies
7	to the nontherapeutic use in a food-producing ani-
8	mal of a drug—
9	"(A)(i) that is a critical antimicrobial ani-
10	mal drug; or
11	"(ii) that is of the same chemical class as
12	a critical antimicrobial animal drug; and
13	"(B)(i) for which there is in effect an ap-
14	proval of an application or an exemption under
15	subsection (b), (i), or (j) of section 505; or
16	"(ii) that is otherwise marketed for use.
17	"(2) WITHDRAWAL.—The Secretary shall with-
18	draw the approval of a nontherapeutic use in food-
19	producing animals described in paragraph (1) on the
20	date that is 2 years after the date of enactment of
21	this subsection unless—
22	"(A) before the date that is 2 years after
23	the date of the enactment of this subsection,
24	the Secretary makes a final written determina-
25	tion that the holder of the approved application

has demonstrated that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health due to the development of antimicrobial resistance that is attributable in whole or in part to the non-therapeutic use of the drug; or

"(B) before the date specified in subparagraph (A), the Secretary makes a final written determination, with respect to a risk analysis of the drug conducted by the Secretary and other relevant information, that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health due to the development of antimicrobial resistance that is attributable in whole or in part to the non-therapeutic use of the drug.

"(3) Exemptions.—Except as provided in paragraph (5), if the Secretary grants an exemption under section 505(i) for a drug that is a critical antimicrobial animal drug, the Secretary shall rescind each approval of a nontherapeutic use in a food-producing animal of the critical antimicrobial animal drug, or of a drug in the same chemical class as the critical antimicrobial animal drug, as of the date that is 2 years after the date on which the Secretary grants the exemption.

"(4) APPROVALS.—Except as provided in para-graph (5), if an application for a drug that is a crit-ical antimicrobial animal drug is submitted to the Secretary under section 505(b), the Secretary shall rescind each approval of a nontherapeutic use in a food-producing animal of the critical antimicrobial animal drug, or of a drug in the same chemical class as the critical antimicrobial animal drug, as of the date that is 2 years after the date on which the ap-plication is submitted to the Secretary.

"(5) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (3) or (4), as the case may be, shall not apply if—

"(A) before the date on which approval would be rescinded under that paragraph, the Secretary makes a final written determination that the holder of the application for the approved nontherapeutic use has demonstrated that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health due to the development of antimicrobial resistance that is attributable in whole or in part to the nontherapeutic use in the food-producing animal of the critical antimicrobial animal drug; or

"(B) before the date specified in subparagraph (A), the Secretary makes a final written

determination, with respect to a risk analysis of
the critical antimicrobial animal drug conducted
by the Secretary and any other relevant information, that there is a reasonable certainty of
no harm to human health due to the development of antimicrobial resistance that is attributable in whole or in part to the nontherapeutic
use of the drug.".

9 SEC. 5. COMMITTEE HEARINGS ON IMPLEMENTATION.

- 10 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Committee on Energy and
 11 Commerce of the House of Representatives and the Com12 mittee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the
 13 Senate shall each hold a hearing on the implementation
 14 by the Commissioner of Food and Drugs of section 512(q)
 15 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as added
- 17 (b) Exercise of Rulemaking Authority.—Sub-18 section (a) is enacted—
- (1) as an exercise of the rulemaking power of
 the House of Representatives and Senate, and, as
 such, they shall be considered as part of the rules
 of the House or Senate (as the case may be), and
 such rules shall supersede any other rule of the
 House or Senate only to the extent that rule is inconsistent therewith; and

by section 4 of this Act.

1 (2) with full recognition of the constitutional 2 right of either House to change such rules (so far 3 as relating to the procedure in that House) at any 4 time, in the same manner, and to the same extent 5 as in the case of any other rule of that House.

 \bigcirc