

118TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

S. 4769

To require the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology to develop voluntary guidelines and specifications for internal and external assurances of artificial intelligence systems, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JULY 24, 2024

Mr. HICKENLOOPER (for himself and Mrs. CAPITO) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

A BILL

To require the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology to develop voluntary guidelines and specifications for internal and external assurances of artificial intelligence systems, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Validation and Evalua-
5 tion for Trustworthy (VET) Artificial Intelligence Act” or
6 the “VET Artificial Intelligence Act”.

7 **SEC. 2. PURPOSES.**

8 The purposes of this Act are—

20 SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

21 In this Act:

1 (2) ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM.—The
2 term “artificial intelligence system” has the meaning
3 given such term in section 7223 of the Advancing
4 American AI Act (40 U.S.C. 11301 note).

5 (3) DEPLOYER.—The term “deployer” means
6 an entity that operates an artificial intelligence sys-
7 tem for internal use or for use by a third party.

8 (4) DEVELOPER.—The term “developer”—
9 (A) means an entity that builds, designs,
10 codes, produces, trains, or owns an artificial in-
11 telligence system for internal use or for use by
12 a third party; and

13 (B) does not include an entity that is sole-
14 ly a deployer of the artificial intelligence sys-
15 tem.

16 (5) DIRECTOR.—The term “Director” means
17 the Director of the National Institute of Standards
18 and Technology.

19 (6) EXTERNAL ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AS-
20 SURANCE.—The term “external artificial intelligence
21 assurance” means an independent and impartial
22 evaluation of an artificial intelligence system con-
23 ducted by a nonaffiliated third party in accordance
24 with the voluntary assurance guidelines and speci-

1 fifications described in section 4 or consensus-driven
2 voluntary standards, for the purpose of—

3 (A) verifying claims with respect to the
4 functionality and testing of the artificial intel-
5 ligence system, including verifying whether it is
6 fit for its intended purpose; or

7 (B) identifying any significant error or in-
8 consistency in the testing, risk management
9 processes, or internal governance, any substan-
10 tial vulnerability, or any negative societal im-
11 pact of the artificial intelligence system.

12 (7) INTERNAL ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AS-
13 SURANCE.—The term “internal artificial intelligence
14 assurance” means an independent evaluation of an
15 artificial intelligence system conducted by the party
16 being evaluated with an internal reporting structure
17 that encourages impartial evaluations and prevents
18 conflicts of interest, for the purpose of—

19 (A) verifying claims with respect to the
20 functionality and testing of the artificial intel-
21 ligence system, including verifying whether it is
22 fit for its intended purpose; or

23 (B) identifying any significant error or in-
24 consistency in the testing, risk management
25 processes, or internal governance, any substan-

1 tial vulnerability, or any negative societal im-
2 pact of the artificial intelligence system.

3 (8) NONAFFILIATED THIRD PARTY.—The term
4 “nonaffiliated third party” with respect to the eval-
5 uation of an artificial intelligence system, means a
6 person who—

7 (A) is not related by common ownership or
8 affiliated by common corporate control with the
9 developer or deployer of the artificial intel-
10 ligence system;

11 (B) can demonstrate financial independ-
12 ence from the developer or deployer of the arti-
13 ficial intelligence system;

14 (C) does not employ any individual, who is
15 also employed by the developer or deployer of
16 the artificial intelligence system; and

17 (D) is a qualified evaluator of artificial in-
18 telligence systems as determined by the vol-
19 untary guidelines and specifications rec-
20 ommended under section 4(b)(6), with—

21 (i) demonstrated expertise in relevant
22 technical domains, including—

23 (I) data privacy and security
24 principles; and

(9) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Commerce.

8 SEC. 4. VOLUNTARY ASSURANCE GUIDELINES AND SPECI-
9 FICATIONS FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
0 SYSTEMS.

11 (a) VOLUNTARY GUIDELINES AND SPECIFICATIONS
12 FOR ASSURANCE.—Not later than 1 year after the date
13 of the enactment of this Act, the Director, in collaboration
14 with public and private sector organizations, including the
15 National Science Foundation and the Department of En-
16 ergy, shall develop and periodically update as the Director
17 considers appropriate, a set of voluntary guidelines and
18 specifications for internal artificial intelligence assurance
19 and external artificial intelligence assurance.

20 (b) CONTENTS.—The guidelines and specifications
21 required by subsection (a) shall—

22 (1) identify consensus-driven, voluntary stand-
23 ards for internal artificial intelligence assurance and
24 external artificial intelligence assurance that ad-
25 dress—

- (A) safeguards for consumer privacy;
- (B) methods to assess and mitigate harms to individuals by artificial intelligence systems;

(C) dataset quality;

(D) documentation, disclosure, and prove-
nance communications to external parties; and

(E) governance and process controls;

(2) provide guidelines, best practices, methodologies, procedures, and processes, as appropriate, for internal artificial intelligence assurance and external artificial intelligence assurance that effectively address the elements listed in paragraph (1);

(3) establish common definitions and characterizations for testing, evaluating, verifying, and validating methods for internal artificial intelligence assurance and external artificial intelligence assurance;

(4) recommend criteria or approaches for a developer or deployer to determine the frequency and circumstances under which internal artificial intelligence assurance and external artificial intelligence assurance activities should be conducted, accounting for the relevant risk and use-case profile of the artificial intelligence system, and any additional circumstance under which an assurance should be conducted;

- 1 (5) recommend criteria or approaches for a de-
2 veloper or deployer to determine the scope of inter-
3 internal artificial intelligence assurance and external arti-
4 ficial intelligence assurance conducted through test-
5 ing and evaluating, accounting for the relevant risk
6 and use-case profile of the artificial intelligence sys-
7 tem, including the minimum information or technical
8 resources that should be provided to the party con-
9 ducting the assurance to enable assurance activities;
- 10 (6) recommend the appropriate qualifications,
11 expertise, professional licensing, and accountability
12 that a party conducting internal artificial intel-
13 ligence assurance or external artificial intelligence
14 assurance should have with respect to—
- 15 (A) the type of artificial intelligence system
16 under evaluation; and
- 17 (B) the internal and external assurance
18 processes;
- 19 (7) provide guidance for the manner in which a
20 developer or deployer may disclose, as appropriate,
21 the results of an internal or external assurance or
22 carry out corrective actions with respect to an artifi-
23 cial intelligence system following the completion of
24 an internal or external assurance of such system,
25 and guidance on the manner in which a developer or

1 deployer may properly document any corrective ac-
2 tion taken;

3 (8) align with the voluntary consensus stand-
4 ards, including international standards, identified
5 pursuant to paragraph (1) to the fullest extent pos-
6 sible;

7 (9) incorporate the relevant voluntary consensus
8 standards identified pursuant to paragraph (1) and
9 industry best practices to the fullest extent possible;

10 (10) not prescribe or otherwise require—

11 (A) the use of any specific solution; or

12 (B) the use of any specific information or
13 any communications technology product or serv-
14 ice; and

15 (11) recommend methods to protect the con-
16 fidentiality of sensitive information, including per-
17 sonal data and proprietary knowledge of an artificial
18 intelligence system, that may be obtained during the
19 assurance process.

20 (c) STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH.—In developing the
21 voluntary guidelines and specifications required by sub-
22 section (a), the Director shall—

23 (1) solicit public comment on at least 1 draft of
24 the guidelines and specifications, and provide a rea-

1 sonable period of not less than 30 days for the sub-
2 mission of comments by interested stakeholders;

3 (2) make each draft of the voluntary guidelines
4 and specifications developed under subsection (a)
5 available to the public on the website of the National
6 Institute of Standards and Technology; and

7 (3) convene workshops, roundtables, and other
8 public forums, as the Director considers appropriate,
9 to consult with relevant stakeholders in industry,
10 academia, civil society, consumer advocacy, work-
11 force development organizations, labor organizations,
12 conformance assessment bodies, and any other sec-
13 tor the Director considers appropriate, on the devel-
14 opment of the voluntary guidelines and specifica-
15 tions.

16 (d) PUBLICATION.—The Director shall publish the
17 voluntary guidelines and specifications required by sub-
18 section (a)—

19 (1) as a standalone framework or document
20 available to the public on the website of the National
21 Institute of Standards and Technology; or

22 (2) as a component of—

23 (A) any successor of the Artificial Intel-
24 ligence Risk Management Framework developed
25 and updated pursuant to section 22A(c) of the

1 National Institute of Standards and Technology
2 Act (15 U.S.C. 278h–1(c)); or
3 (B) any guidance issued by the Artificial
4 Intelligence Safety Institute.

5 **SEC. 5. QUALIFICATIONS ADVISORY COMMITTEE.**

6 (a) ADVISORY COMMITTEE.—Not later than 90 days
7 after the date on which the Director publishes the vol-
8 untary guidelines and specifications required under section
9 4(a), the Secretary shall establish the Artificial Intel-
10 ligence Assurance Qualifications Advisory Committee (re-
11 ferred to in this section as the “Advisory Committee”).

12 (b) MEMBERSHIP.—The Secretary shall appoint to
13 the Advisory Committee not more than 15 individuals with
14 expertise relating to artificial intelligence systems, includ-
15 ing at least 1 representative from each of the following:

16 (1) Institutions of higher education.

17 (2) Organizations developing artificial intel-
18 ligence systems.

19 (3) Organizations deploying artificial intel-
20 ligence systems.

21 (4) Organizations assessing artificial intel-
22 ligence systems.

23 (5) Consumers or consumer advocacy groups.

24 (6) Public health organizations.

25 (7) Public safety organizations.

1 (8) Civil rights organizations.

2 (9) Professional accreditation organizations.

3 (10) Workforce development organizations.

4 (11) Labor organizations.

5 (c) DUTIES.—The Advisory Committee shall—

6 (1) review and assess case studies from entities
7 that provide licensure, certification, or accreditation
8 to independent organizations with a primary mission
9 of verifying compliance with applicable statutes, reg-
10 ulations, standards, or guidelines; and

11 (2) determine the applicability of the case stud-
12 ies reviewed and assessed under paragraph (1) to
13 the development, maintenance, and use of artificial
14 intelligence systems for the purpose of developing
15 recommendations under subsection (d).

16 (d) RECOMMENDATIONS.—Not later than 1 year
17 after the date on which the Secretary establishes the Advi-
18 sory Committee under this section, the Advisory Com-
19 mittee shall submit to the Secretary and Congress and
20 make publicly available a report that includes rec-
21 ommendations for the Secretary to consider regarding—

22 (1) the qualifications, expertise, professional li-
23 censing, independence, and accountability that a
24 party conducting an assurance of an artificial intel-
25 ligence system should have, including with respect to

1 the type of artificial intelligence system under eval-
2 uation and the internal and external assurance proc-
3 esses; and

9 (e) TERMINATION.—The Advisory Committee shall
10 terminate not later than 1 year after the date on which
11 the Advisory Committee submits the recommendations re-
12 quired under subsection (d).

13 SEC. 6. STUDY AND REPORT ON ENTITIES THAT CONDUCT
14 ASSURANCES OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
15 SYSTEMS.

16 (a) STUDY.—Not later than 90 days after the date
17 on which the Director publishes the voluntary guidelines
18 and specifications required under section 4(a), the Sec-
19 retary shall commence a study to evaluate the capabilities
20 of the sector of entities that conduct internal artificial in-
21 telligence assurances and external artificial intelligence as-
22 surances.

(b) CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out the study required by subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

- 1 (1) assess the capabilities of the sector of entities described in subsection (a) with respect to personnel, technical tools, evaluation methods, computing infrastructure, and physical infrastructure and whether such capabilities are adequate for providing internal artificial intelligence assurances or external artificial intelligence assurances that comport with the voluntary guidelines and specifications required under section 4(a);
- 10 (2) review the features, best practices, and safeguards employed by such entities to maintain the integrity of confidential or proprietary information of a developer or deployer during an internal artificial intelligence assurance or an external artificial intelligence assurance;
- 16 (3) assess the market demand for internal artificial intelligence assurances and external artificial intelligence assurances and the availability of such assurers; and
- 20 (4) assess the feasibility of leveraging an existing facility accredited by the Director under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program established under section 285 of title 15, Code of Federal Regulations, to conduct external assurances of artificial intelligence systems.

1 (c) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date
2 on which the Secretary commences the study required by
3 subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress and the head of any Federal agency that the Secretary considers relevant, a report
4 that contains the results of the study required by subsection (a), including—

8 (1) recommendations for improving the capabilities and the availability of the entities assessed in
9 the study;

11 (2) descriptions of the features, best practices, and safeguards of the entities studied and the effectiveness of such features, practices, or safeguards at implementing the voluntary guidelines and specifications required under section 4(a) and at maintaining the integrity of confidential and proprietary information, as described under subsection (b)(2); and

18 (3) any conclusions drawn from the assessment of the facilities described in subsection (b)(4).

20 (d) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term the “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

23 (1) the Committee of Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate; and

1 (2) the Committee on Science, Space, and
2 Technology of the House of Representatives.

