1

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AMENDMENTS



Coordination Clause, Laws of Utah 2012, Chapter 360

26

7	
8	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
9	Section 1. Section 19-1-301.5 is amended to read:
0	19-1-301.5. Permit review adjudicative proceedings.
1	(1) As used in this section:
2	(a) "Dispositive action" means a final agency action that:
3	(i) the executive director takes as part of a permit review adjudicative proceeding; and
ļ	(ii) is subject to judicial review, in accordance with Subsection [(14)] (15).
5	(b) "Dispositive motion" means a motion that is equivalent to:
Ó	(i) a motion to dismiss under Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 12(b)(6);
7	(ii) a motion for judgment on the pleadings under Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule
3	12(c); or
)	(iii) a motion for summary judgment under Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 56.
)	(c) "Party" means:
	(i) the director who issued the permit order being challenged in the permit review
2	adjudicative proceeding;
3	(ii) the permittee;
ļ	(iii) the person who applied for the permit, if the permit was denied; or
5	(iv) a person granted intervention by the administrative law judge.
)	(d) "Permit" means any of the following issued under this title:
7	(i) a permit;
3	(ii) a plan;
)	(iii) a license;
)	(iv) an approval order; or
	(v) another administrative authorization made by a director.
)	(e) (i) "Permit order" means an order issued by a director that:
3	(A) approves a permit;
Ļ	(B) renews a permit;
5	(C) denies a permit;
5	(D) modifies or amends a permit; or

37	(E) revokes and reissues a permit.
58	(ii) "Permit order" does not include an order terminating a permit.
59	(f) "Permit review adjudicative proceeding" means a proceeding to resolve a challenge
60	to a permit order.
61	(2) This section governs permit review adjudicative proceedings.
62	(3) Except as expressly provided in this section, the provisions of Title 63G, Chapter 4,
63	Administrative Procedures Act, do not apply to a permit review adjudicative proceeding.
64	(4) If a public comment period was provided during the permit application process, a
65	person who challenges a permit order, including the permit applicant, may only raise an issue
66	or argument during the permit review adjudicative proceeding that:
67	(a) the person raised during the public comment period; and
68	(b) was supported with [sufficient] information or documentation [to enable] that is
69	cited with reasonable specificity and sufficiently enables the director to fully consider the
70	substance and significance of the issue.
71	(5) [The] (a) Upon request by a party, the executive director shall [appoint] issue a
72	notice of appointment appointing an administrative law judge, in accordance with Subsections
73	19-1-301(5) and (6), to conduct a permit review adjudicative proceeding.
74	(b) The executive director shall issue a notice of appointment within 30 days after the
75	day on which a party files a request.
76	(c) A notice of appointment shall include:
77	(i) the agency's file number or other reference number assigned to the permit review
78	adjudicative proceeding;
79	(ii) the name of the permit review adjudicative proceeding; and
80	(iii) the administrative law judge's name, title, mailing address, email address, and
81	telephone number.
82	(6) (a) Only the following may file a [request for agency action seeking] petition for
83	review of a permit order:
84	(i) a party; or
85	(ii) a person who is seeking to intervene under Subsection (7).
86	(b) A person who files a [request for agency action seeking] petition for review of a
87	permit order shall file the [request: (i)] petition for review within 30 days after the day on

88	which the permit order is issued[ <del>; and</del> ].
89	[(ii) in accordance with Subsections 63G-4-201(3)(a) through (c).]
90	(c) The department may, in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah Administrative
91	Rulemaking Act, make rules allowing the extension of the filing deadline described in
92	Subsection (6)(b).
93	(d) A petition for review shall:
94	(i) be served in accordance with department rule;
95	(ii) include the name and address of each person to whom a copy of the petition for
96	review is sent;
97	(iii) if known, include the agency's file number or other reference number assigned to
98	the permit review adjudicative proceeding;
99	(iv) state the date on which the petition for review is served;
100	(v) include a statement of the petitioner's position, including:
101	(A) the legal authority under which the petition for review is requested;
102	(B) the legal authority under which the agency has jurisdiction to review the petition
103	<u>for review;</u>
104	(C) each of the petitioner's arguments in support of the petitioner's requested relief;
105	(D) an explanation of how each argument described in Subsection (6)(d)(v)(C) was
106	preserved;
107	(E) a detailed description of any permit condition to which the petitioner is objecting;
108	(F) any modification or addition to the permit that the petitioner is requesting;
109	(G) a demonstration that the agency's permit decision is based on a finding of fact or
110	conclusion of law that is clearly erroneous;
111	(H) if the agency director addressed a finding of fact or conclusion of law described in
112	Subsection (6)(d)(v)(G) in a response to public comment, a citation to the comment and
113	response that relates to the finding of fact or conclusion of law and an explanation of why the
114	director's response was clearly erroneous or otherwise warrants review; and
115	(I) a claim for relief.
116	[(c)] (e) A person may not raise an issue or argument in a [request for agency action]
117	petition for review unless the issue or argument:
118	(i) was preserved in accordance with Subsection (4); or

119	(ii) was not reasonably ascertainable before or during the public comment period.
120	[(d) The department may, in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah
121	Administrative Rulemaking Act, make rules allowing the extension of the filing deadline
122	described in Subsection (6)(b)(i).]
123	(f) To demonstrate that an issue or argument was preserved in accordance with
124	Subsection (4), a petitioner shall include the following in the petitioner's petition for review:
125	(i) a citation to where the petitioner raised the issue or argument during the public
126	comment period; and
127	(ii) for each document upon which the petitioner relies in support of an issue or
128	argument, a description that:
129	(A) states why the document is part of the administrative record; and
130	(B) demonstrates that the petitioner cited the document with reasonable specificity in
131	accordance with Subsection (4)(b).
132	(7) (a) A person who is not a party may not participate in a permit review adjudicative
133	proceeding unless the person is granted the right to intervene under this Subsection (7).
134	(b) A person who seeks to intervene in a permit review adjudicative proceeding under
135	this section shall, within 30 days after the day on which the permit order being challenged was
136	issued, file:
137	(i) a petition to intervene that:
138	(A) meets the requirements of Subsection 63G-4-207(1); and
139	(B) demonstrates that the person is entitled to intervention under Subsection (7)(c)(ii);
140	and
141	(ii) a timely [request for agency action] petition for review.
142	(c) The permittee is a party to a permit review adjudicative proceeding regardless of
143	who files the petition for review and does not need to file a petition to intervene under
144	Subsection (7)(b).
145	[(e)] (d) An administrative law judge shall grant a petition to intervene in a permit
146	review adjudicative proceeding, if:
147	(i) the petition to intervene is timely filed; and
148	(ii) the petitioner:
149	(A) demonstrates that the petitioner's legal interests may be substantially affected by

130	the permit review adjudicative proceeding,
151	(B) demonstrates that the interests of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of the
152	permit review adjudicative proceeding will not be materially impaired by allowing the
153	intervention; and
154	(C) in the petitioner's [request for agency action] petition for review, raises issues or
155	arguments that are preserved in accordance with Subsection (4).
156	[ <del>(d)</del> ] <u>(e)</u> An administrative law judge:
157	(i) shall issue an order granting or denying a petition to intervene in accordance with
158	Subsection 63G-4-207(3)(a); and
159	(ii) may impose conditions on intervenors as described in Subsections 63G-4-207(3)(b)
160	and (c).
161	[(e)] (f) The department may, in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah
162	Administrative Rulemaking Act, make rules allowing the extension of the filing deadline
163	described in Subsection (7)(b).
164	(8) (a) Unless the parties otherwise agree, the schedule for a permit review adjudicative
165	proceeding is as follows:
166	(i) the director shall file and serve the administrative record within 40 days after the
167	day on which the executive director issues a notice of appointment, unless otherwise ordered
168	by the administrative law judge;
169	(ii) any dispositive motion shall be filed and served within 15 days after the day on
170	which the administrative record is filed and served;
171	(iii) the petitioner shall file and serve an opening brief of no more than 30 pages:
172	(A) within 30 days after the day on which the director files and serves the
173	administrative record; or
174	(B) if a party files and serves a dispositive motion, within 30 days after the day on
175	which the administrative law judge issues a decision on the dispositive motion, including a
176	decision to defer the motion;
177	(iv) each party shall file and serve a response brief of no more than 15 pages within 15
178	days after the day on which the petitioner files and serves the opening brief;
179	(v) the petitioner may file and serve a reply brief of not more then 15 pages within 15
180	days after the day on which the response brief is filed and served; and

181	(vi) if the petitioner files and serves a reply brief, each party may file and serve a
182	surreply brief of no more than five pages within five business days after the day on which the
183	petitioner files and serves the reply brief.
184	(b) (i) A reply brief may not raise an issue that was not raised in the response brief; and
185	(ii) a surreply brief may not raise an issue that was not raised in the reply brief.
186	[(8)] (9) (a) An administrative law judge shall conduct a permit review adjudicative
187	proceeding based only on the administrative record and not as a trial de novo.
188	(b) To the extent relative to the issues and arguments raised in the [request for agency
189	action] petition for review, the administrative record [shall consist] consists of the following
190	items, if they exist:
191	(i) the permit application, draft permit, and final permit;
192	(ii) each statement of basis, fact sheet, engineering review, or other substantive
193	explanation designated by the director as part of the basis for the decision relating to the permit
194	order;
195	(iii) the notice and record of each public comment period;
196	(iv) the notice and record of each public hearing, including oral comments made during
197	the public hearing;
198	(v) written comments submitted during the public comment period;
199	(vi) responses to comments that are designated by the director as part of the basis for
200	the decision relating to the permit order;
201	(vii) any information that is:
202	(A) requested by and submitted to the director; and
203	(B) designated by the director as part of the basis for the decision relating to the permit
204	order;
205	(viii) any additional information specified by rule;
206	(ix) any additional documents agreed to by the parties; and
207	(x) information supplementing the record under Subsection [ $(8)$ ] $(9)$ (c).
208	(c) (i) There is a rebuttable presumption against supplementing the record.
209	(ii) A party may move to supplement the record described in Subsection [(8)] (9)(b)
210	with technical or factual information.
211	(iii) The administrative law judge may grant a motion to supplement the record

212	described in Subsection [ $(8)$ ] $(9)$ (b) with technical or factual information if the moving party
213	proves that:
214	(A) good cause exists for supplementing the record;
215	(B) supplementing the record is in the interest of justice; and
216	(C) supplementing the record is necessary for resolution of the issues.
217	[(iv) The administrative law judge may supplement the record with technical or factual
218	information on the administrative law judge's own motion if the administrative law judge
219	determines that adequate grounds exist to supplement the record under Subsections (8)
220	(c)(iii)(A) through (C).
221	[(v) In supplementing the record with testimonial evidence, the administrative law
222	judge may administer an oath or take testimony as necessary.]
223	[(vi)] (iv) The department may, in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah
224	Administrative Rulemaking Act, make rules permitting further supplementation of the record.
225	[(9)] (10) (a) [The] Except as otherwise provided by this section, the administrative
226	law judge shall review and respond to a [request for agency action] petition for review in
227	accordance with Subsections 63G-4-201(3)(d) and (e), following the relevant procedures for
228	formal adjudicative proceedings.
229	(b) The administrative law judge shall require the parties to file responsive [pleadings]
230	briefs in accordance with [Section 63G-4-204] Subsection (8).
231	(c) If an administrative law judge enters an order of default against a party, the
232	administrative law judge shall enter the order of default in accordance with Section
233	63G-4-209[, following the relevant procedures for formal adjudicative proceedings].
234	(d) The administrative law judge, in conducting a permit review adjudicative
235	proceeding:
236	(i) may not participate in an ex parte communication with a party to the permit review
237	adjudicative proceeding regarding the merits of the permit review adjudicative proceeding
238	unless notice and an opportunity to be heard are afforded to all parties; and
239	(ii) shall, upon receiving an ex parte communication, place the communication in the
240	public record of the proceeding and afford all parties an opportunity to comment on the
241	information.
242	(e) In conducting a permit review adjudicative proceeding, the administrative law

243	judge may take judicial notice of matters not in the administrative record, in accordance with
244	Utah Rules of Evidence, Rule 201.
245	(f) An administrative law judge may take any action in a permit review adjudicative
246	proceeding that is not a dispositive action.
247	[(10)] (11) (a) A person who files a [request for agency action] petition for review has
248	the burden of demonstrating that an issue or argument raised in the [request for agency action]
249	petition for review has been preserved in accordance with Subsection (4).
250	(b) The administrative law judge shall dismiss, with prejudice, any issue or argument
251	raised in a [request for agency action] petition for review that has not been preserved in
252	accordance with Subsection (4).
253	[(11)] (12) In response to a dispositive motion, within 45 days after the day on which
254	oral argument takes place, or, if there is no oral argument, within 45 days after the day on
255	which the reply brief on the dispositive motion is due, the administrative law judge [may] shall:
256	(a) submit a proposed dispositive action to the executive director recommending full or
257	partial resolution of the permit review adjudicative proceeding, that includes:
258	[(a)] (i) written findings of fact;
259	[(b)] (ii) written conclusions of law; and
260	[ <del>(c)</del> ] (iii) a recommended order[-]; or
261	(b) if the administrative law judge determines that a full or partial resolution of the
262	permit review adjudicative proceeding is not appropriate, issue an order that explains the basis
263	for the administrative law judge's determination.
264	[(12)] (13) For each issue or argument that is not dismissed or otherwise resolved
265	under Subsection $[(10)]$ $(11)$ (b) or $[(11)]$ $(12)$ , the administrative law judge shall:
266	(a) provide the parties an opportunity for briefing and oral argument in accordance with
267	this section;
268	(b) conduct a review of the director's determination, based on the record described in
269	Subsections [ $\frac{(8)}{(9)}$ (b), [ $\frac{(9)}{(9)}$ (c), and [ $\frac{(9)}{(9)}$ ] (10)(e); and
270	(c) within 60 days after the day on which the reply brief on the dispositive motion is
271	due, submit to the executive director a proposed dispositive action, that includes:
272	(i) written findings of fact;
273	(ii) written conclusions of law; and

304

the permit review adjudicative proceeding.

274 (iii) a recommended order. 275 [<del>(13)</del>] (14) (a) When the administrative law judge submits a proposed dispositive 276 action to the executive director, the executive director may: 277 (i) adopt, adopt with modifications, or reject the proposed dispositive action; or 278 (ii) return the proposed dispositive action to the administrative law judge for further 279 action as directed. 280 (b) On review of a proposed dispositive action, the executive director shall uphold all 281 factual, technical, and scientific agency determinations that are [supported by substantial 282 evidence taken from the record as a whole not clearly erroneous based on the petitioner's 283 marshaling of the evidence. 284 (c) (i) The executive director may not participate in an ex parte communication with a 285 party to the permit review adjudicative proceeding regarding the merits of the permit review 286 adjudicative proceeding unless notice and an opportunity to be heard are afforded to all 287 parties.] 288 (ii) Upon receiving an ex parte communication, the executive director shall place the 289 communication in the public record of the proceeding and afford all parties an opportunity to 290 comment on the information. 291 [<del>(d)</del>] (c) In reviewing a proposed dispositive action during a permit review adjudicative 292 proceeding, the executive director may take judicial notice of matters not in the record, in 293 accordance with Utah Rules of Evidence, Rule 201. 294 [<del>(e)</del>] (d) The executive director may use the executive director's technical expertise in 295 making a determination. 296 [(14)] (15) (a) A party may seek judicial review in the Utah Court of Appeals of a 297 dispositive action in a permit review adjudicative proceeding, in accordance with Sections 298 63G-4-401, 63G-4-403, and 63G-4-405. 299 (b) An appellate court shall limit its review of a dispositive action of a permit review 300 adjudicative proceeding to: 301 (i) the record described in Subsections [(8)] (9)(b), [(8)] (9)(c), [(9)] (10)(e), and 302  $[\frac{(13)(d)}{(14)(c)}]$ ; and 303 (ii) the record made by the administrative law judge and the executive director during

305	(c) During judicial review of a dispositive action, the appellate court shall:
306	(i) review all agency determinations in accordance with Subsection 63G-4-403(4),
307	recognizing that the agency has been granted substantial discretion to interpret its governing
308	statutes and rules; and
309	(ii) uphold all factual, technical, and scientific agency determinations that are
310	[supported by substantial evidence viewed in light of the record as a whole] not clearly
311	erroneous based upon the petitioner's marshaling of the evidence.
312	[(15)] (16) (a) The filing of a [request for agency action] petition for review does not
313	stay a permit or delay the effective date of a permit.
314	(b) A permit may not be stayed or delayed unless a stay is granted under this
315	Subsection [ <del>(15)</del> ] <u>(16)</u> .
316	(c) The administrative law judge shall:
317	(i) consider a party's motion to stay a permit during a permit review adjudicative
318	proceeding; and
319	(ii) within 45 days after the day on which the reply brief on the motion to stay is due,
320	submit a proposed determination on the stay to the executive director.
321	(d) The administrative law judge may not recommend to the executive director a stay
322	of a permit, or a portion of a permit, unless:
323	(i) all parties agree to the stay; or
324	(ii) the party seeking the stay demonstrates that:
325	(A) the party seeking the stay will suffer irreparable harm unless the stay is issued;
326	(B) the threatened injury to the party seeking the stay outweighs whatever damage the
327	proposed stay is likely to cause the party restrained or enjoined;
328	(C) the stay, if issued, would not be adverse to the public interest; and
329	(D) there is a substantial likelihood that the party seeking the stay will prevail on the
330	merits of the underlying claim, or the case presents serious issues on the merits, which should
331	be the subject of further adjudication.
332	(e) A party may appeal the executive director's decision regarding a stay of a permit to
333	the Utah Court of Appeals, in accordance with Section 78A-4-103.
334	(17) (a) Subject to Subsection (17)(c), the administrative law judge shall issue a written
335	response to a non-dispositive motion within 45 days after the day on which the reply brief on

336	the non-dispositive motion is due or, if the administrative law judge grants oral argument on
337	the non-dispositive motion, within 45 days after the day on which oral argument takes place.
338	(b) If the administrative law judge determines that the administrative law judge needs
339	more time to issue a response to a non-dispositive motion, the administrative law judge may
340	issue a response after the deadline described in Subsection (17)(a) if, before the deadline
341	expires, the administrative law judge gives notice to the parties that includes:
342	(i) the amount of additional time that the administrative law judge requires; and
343	(ii) the reason the administrative law judge needs the additional time.
344	(c) If the administrative law judge grants oral argument on a non-dispositive motion,
345	the administrative law judge shall hold the oral argument within 30 days after the day on which
346	the reply brief on the non-dispositive motion is due.
347	Section 2. Coordinating S.B. 282 with S.B. 173 Superseding, technical, and
348	substantive amendments.
349	If this S.B. 282 and S.B. 173, Financial Assurance Determination Review Process, both
350	pass and become law, it is the intent of the Legislature that the Office of Legislative Research
351	and General Counsel, in preparing the Utah Code database for publication, modify Section
352	<u>19-1-301.5</u> to read as follows:
353	"19-1-301.5. Permit review and financial assurance determination special
354	adjudicative proceedings.
355	(1) As used in this section:
356	(a) "Dispositive action" means a final agency action that:
357	(i) the executive director takes as part of a [permit review] special adjudicative
358	proceeding; and
359	(ii) is subject to judicial review, in accordance with Subsection [(14)] (15).
360	(b) "Dispositive motion" means a motion that is equivalent to:
361	(i) a motion to dismiss under Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 12(b)(6);
362	(ii) a motion for judgment on the pleadings under Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule
363	12(c); or
364	(iii) a motion for summary judgment under Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 56.
365	(c) "Financial assurance determination" means a decision on whether a facility, site,
366	plan, party, broker, owner, operator, generator, or permittee has met financial assurance or

367	financial responsibility requirements as determined by the director of the:
368	(i) Division of Radiation Control under Subsection 19-3-104(12); or
369	(ii) Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste under Subsection 19-6-108(9)(c).
370	[ <del>(c)</del> ] (d) "Party" means:
371	(i) the director who issued the permit order or financial assurance determination that is
372	being challenged in the [permit review] special adjudicative proceeding under this section;
373	(ii) the permittee;
374	(iii) the person who applied for the permit, if the permit was denied; [or]
375	(iv) the person who is subject to a financial assurance determination; or
376	[(iv)] $(v)$ a person granted intervention by the administrative law judge.
377	[(d)] (e) "Permit" means any of the following issued under this title:
378	(i) a permit;
379	(ii) a plan;
380	(iii) a license;
381	(iv) an approval order; or
382	(v) another administrative authorization made by a director.
383	[(e)] (f) (i) "Permit order" means an order issued by a director that:
384	(A) approves a permit;
385	(B) renews a permit;
386	(C) denies a permit;
387	(D) modifies or amends a permit; or
388	(E) revokes and reissues a permit.
389	(ii) "Permit order" does not include an order terminating a permit.
390	[(f) "Permit review adjudicative proceeding" means a proceeding to resolve a challenge
391	to a permit order.]
392	(g) "Special adjudicative proceeding" means a proceeding under this section to resolve
393	a challenge to a:
394	(i) permit order; or
395	(ii) financial assurance determination.
396	(2) This section governs permit [review adjudicative] special proceedings.
397	(3) Except as expressly provided in this section, the provisions of Title 63G, Chapter 4,

398	Administrative Procedures Act, do not apply to a [permit review] special adjudicative
399	proceeding under this section.
400	(4) If a public comment period was provided during the permit application process or
401	the financial assurance determination process, a person who challenges [a permit order,
402	including the permit applicant,] an order, application, or determination may only raise an issue
403	or argument during the [permit review] special adjudicative proceeding that:
404	(a) the person raised during the public comment period; and
405	(b) was supported with [sufficient] information or documentation [to enable] that is
406	cited with reasonable specificity and sufficiently enables the director to fully consider the
407	substance and significance of the issue.
408	(5) [The] (a) Upon request by a party, the executive director shall [appoint] issue a
409	notice of appointment appointing an administrative law judge, in accordance with Subsections
410	19-1-301(5) and (6), to conduct a [permit review] special adjudicative proceeding under this
411	section.
412	(b) The executive director shall issue a notice of appointment within 30 days after the
413	day on which a party files a request.
414	(c) A notice of appointment shall include:
415	(i) the agency's file number or other reference number assigned to the special
416	adjudicative proceeding;
417	(ii) the name of the special adjudicative proceeding; and
418	(iii) the administrative law judge's name, title, mailing address, email address, and
419	telephone number.
420	(6) (a) Only the following may file a [request for agency action seeking] petition for
421	review of a permit order or financial assurance determination:
422	(i) a party; or
423	(ii) a person who is seeking to intervene under Subsection (7).
424	(b) A person who files a [request for agency action seeking] petition for review of a
425	permit order or a financial assurance determination shall file the [request: (i)] petition for
426	review within 30 days after the day on which the permit order or the financial assurance
427	<u>determination</u> is issued[; and].
428	[(ii) in accordance with Subsections 63G-4-201(3)(a) through (c).]

429	(c) The department may, in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah Administrative
430	Rulemaking Act, make rules allowing the extension of the filing deadline described in
431	Subsection (6)(b).
432	(d) A petition for review shall:
433	(i) be served in accordance with department rule;
434	(ii) include the name and address of each person to whom a copy of the petition for
435	review is sent;
436	(iii) if known, include the agency's file number or other reference number assigned to
437	the special adjudicative proceeding;
438	(iv) state the date on which the petition for review is served;
439	(v) include a statement of the petitioner's position, including, as applicable:
440	(A) the legal authority under which the petition for review is requested;
441	(B) the legal authority under which the agency has jurisdiction to review the petition
442	for review;
443	(C) each of the petitioner's arguments in support of the petitioner's requested relief;
444	(D) an explanation of how each argument described in Subsection (6)(d)(v)(C) was
445	preserved;
446	(E) a detailed description of any permit condition to which the petitioner is objecting;
447	(F) any modification or addition to a permit that the petitioner is requesting;
448	(G) a demonstration that the agency's permit decision is based on a finding of fact or
449	conclusion of law that is clearly erroneous;
450	(H) if the agency director addressed a finding of fact or conclusion of law described in
451	Subsection (6)(d)(v)(G) in a response to public comment, a citation to the comment and
452	response that relates to the finding of fact or conclusion of law and an explanation of why the
453	director's response was clearly erroneous or otherwise warrants review; and
454	(I) a claim for relief.
455	[(c)] (e) A person may not raise an issue or argument in a [request for agency action]
456	petition for review unless the issue or argument:
457	(i) was preserved in accordance with Subsection (4); or
458	(ii) was not reasonably ascertainable before or during the public comment period.
459	(d) The department may in accordance with Title 63G. Chanter 3. Htah

+00	Administrative Rulemaking Act, make rules anowing the extension of the ming deadline
461	described in Subsection (6)(b)(i).]
462	(f) To demonstrate that an issue or argument was preserved in accordance with
463	Subsection (4), a petitioner shall include the following in the petitioner's petition for review:
464	(i) a citation to where the petitioner raised the issue or argument during the public
465	comment period; and
466	(ii) for each document upon which the petitioner relies in support of an issue or
467	argument, a description that:
468	(A) states why the document is part of the administrative record; and
169	(B) demonstrates that the petitioner cited the document with reasonable specificity in
470	accordance with Subsection (4)(b).
471	(7) (a) A person who is not a party may not participate in a [permit review] special
172	adjudicative proceeding <u>under this section</u> unless the person is granted the right to intervene
473	under this Subsection (7).
174	(b) A person who seeks to intervene in a [permit review] special adjudicative
475	proceeding under this section shall, within 30 days after the day on which the permit order $\underline{or}$
476	the financial assurance determination being challenged was issued, file:
177	(i) a petition to intervene that:
478	(A) meets the requirements of Subsection 63G-4-207(1); and
179	(B) demonstrates that the person is entitled to intervention under Subsection (7)(c)(ii)
480	and
481	(ii) a timely [request for agency action] petition for review.
182	(c) In a special adjudicative proceeding to review a permit order, the permittee is a
183	party to the special adjudicative proceeding regardless of who files the petition for review and
184	does not need to file a petition to intervene under Subsection (7)(b).
485	[(e)] (d) An administrative law judge shall grant a petition to intervene in a [permit
486	review] special adjudicative proceeding, if:
187	(i) the petition to intervene is timely filed; and
488	(ii) the petitioner:
189	(A) demonstrates that the petitioner's legal interests may be substantially affected by
190	the [permit review] special adjudicative proceeding;

## 03-09-15 2:45 PM

491	(B) demonstrates that the interests of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of the
492	[permit review] special adjudicative proceeding will not be materially impaired by allowing the
493	intervention; and
494	(C) in the petitioner's [request for agency action] petition for review, raises issues or
495	arguments that are preserved in accordance with Subsection (4).
496	[ <del>(d)</del> ] <u>(e)</u> An administrative law judge:
497	(i) shall issue an order granting or denying a petition to intervene in accordance with
498	Subsection 63G-4-207(3)(a); and
499	(ii) may impose conditions on intervenors as described in Subsections 63G-4-207(3)(b)
500	and (c).
501	[(e)] (f) The department may, in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah
502	Administrative Rulemaking Act, make rules allowing the extension of the filing deadline
503	described in Subsection (7)(b).
504	(8) (a) Unless the parties otherwise agree, the schedule for a special adjudicative
505	proceeding is as follows:
506	(i) the director shall file and serve the administrative record within 40 days after the
507	day on which the executive director issues a notice of appointment, unless otherwise ordered
508	by the administrative law judge;
509	(ii) any dispositive motion shall be filed and served within 15 days after the day on
510	which the administrative record is filed and served;
511	(iii) the petitioner shall file and serve an opening brief of no more than 30 pages:
512	(A) within 30 days after the day on which the director files and serves the
513	administrative record; or
514	(B) if a party files and serves a dispositive motion, within 30 days after the day on
515	which the administrative law judge issues a decision on the dispositive motion, including a
516	decision to defer the motion;
517	(iv) each party shall file and serve a response brief of no more than 15 pages within 15
518	days after the day on which the petitioner files and serves the opening brief;
519	(v) the petitioner may file and serve a reply brief of not more then 15 pages within 15
520	days after the day on which the response brief is filed and served; and
521	(vi) if the petitioner files and serves a reply brief, each party may file and serve a

522	surreply brief of no more than five pages within five business days after the day on which the
523	petitioner files and serves the reply brief.
524	(b) (i) A reply brief may not raise an issue that was not raised in the response brief.
525	(ii) A surreply brief may not raise an issue that was not raised in the reply brief.
526	[(8)] (9) (a) An administrative law judge shall conduct a [permit review] special
527	adjudicative proceeding based only on the administrative record and not as a trial de novo.
528	(b) To the extent relative to the issues and arguments raised in the [request for agency
529	action] petition for review, the administrative record [shall consists] consists of the following
530	items, if they exist:
531	(i) (A) for review of a permit order, the permit application, draft permit, and final
532	permit; or
533	(B) for review of a financial assurance determination, the proposed financial assurance
534	determination from the owner or operator of the facility, the draft financial assurance
535	determination, and the final financial assurance determination;
536	(ii) each statement of basis, fact sheet, engineering review, or other substantive
537	explanation designated by the director as part of the basis for the decision relating to the permit
538	order or the financial assurance determination;
539	(iii) the notice and record of each public comment period;
540	(iv) the notice and record of each public hearing, including oral comments made during
541	the public hearing;
542	(v) written comments submitted during the public comment period;
543	(vi) responses to comments that are designated by the director as part of the basis for
544	the decision relating to the permit order or the financial assurance determination;
545	(vii) any information that is:
546	(A) requested by and submitted to the director; and
547	(B) designated by the director as part of the basis for the decision relating to the permit
548	order or the financial assurance determination;
549	(viii) any additional information specified by rule;
550	(ix) any additional documents agreed to by the parties; and
551	(x) information supplementing the record under Subsection [ $(8)$ ] $(9)$ (c).
552	(c) (i) There is a rebuttable presumption against supplementing the record.

553	(ii) A party may move to supplement the record described in Subsection [(8)] (9)(b)
554	with technical or factual information.
555	(iii) The administrative law judge may grant a motion to supplement the record
556	described in Subsection [(8)] (9)(b) with technical or factual information if the moving party
557	proves that:
558	(A) good cause exists for supplementing the record;
559	(B) supplementing the record is in the interest of justice; and
560	(C) supplementing the record is necessary for resolution of the issues.
561	[(iv) The administrative law judge may supplement the record with technical or factual
562	information on the administrative law judge's own motion if the administrative law judge
563	determines that adequate grounds exist to supplement the record under Subsections
564	(8)(c)(iii)(A) through (C).
565	[(v) In supplementing the record with testimonial evidence, the administrative law
566	judge may administer an oath or take testimony as necessary.]
567	[(vi)] (iv) The department may, in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah
568	Administrative Rulemaking Act, make rules permitting further supplementation of the record.
569	[(9)] (10) (a) [The] Except as otherwise provided by this section, the administrative
570	law judge shall review and respond to a [request for agency action] petition for review in
571	accordance with Subsections 63G-4-201(3)(d) and (e), following the relevant procedures for
572	formal adjudicative proceedings.
573	(b) The administrative law judge shall require the parties to file responsive [pleadings]
574	<u>briefs</u> in accordance with [Section 63G-4-204] Subsection (8).
575	(c) If an administrative law judge enters an order of default against a party, the
576	administrative law judge shall enter the order of default in accordance with Section
577	63G-4-209[, following the relevant procedures for formal adjudicative proceedings].
578	(d) The administrative law judge, in conducting a [permit review] special adjudicative
579	proceeding:
580	(i) may not participate in an ex parte communication with a party to the [permit
581	review] special adjudicative proceeding regarding the merits of the [permit review] special
582	adjudicative proceeding unless notice and an opportunity to be heard are afforded to all parties;
583	and

584	(ii) shall, upon receiving an ex parte communication, place the communication in the
585	public record of the proceeding and afford all parties an opportunity to comment on the
586	information.
587	(e) In conducting a [permit review] special adjudicative proceeding, the administrative
588	law judge may take judicial notice of matters not in the administrative record, in accordance
589	with Utah Rules of Evidence, Rule 201.
590	(f) An administrative law judge may take any action in a [permit review] special
591	adjudicative proceeding that is not a dispositive action.
592	[(10)] (11) (a) A person who files a [request for agency action] petition for review has
593	the burden of demonstrating that an issue or argument raised in the [request for agency action]
594	petition for review has been preserved in accordance with Subsection (4).
595	(b) The administrative law judge shall dismiss, with prejudice, any issue or argument
596	raised in a [request for agency action] petition for review that has not been preserved in
597	accordance with Subsection (4).
598	[(11)] (12) In response to a dispositive motion, within 45 days after the day on which
599	oral argument takes place, or, if there is no oral argument, within 45 days after the day on
600	which the reply brief on the dispositive motion is due, the administrative law judge [may] shall
601	(a) submit a proposed dispositive action to the executive director recommending full or
602	partial resolution of the [permit review] special adjudicative proceeding, that includes:
603	[(a)] (i) written findings of fact;
604	[(b)] (ii) written conclusions of law; and
605	[(c)] (iii) a recommended order[-]; or
606	(b) if the administrative law judge determines that a full or partial resolution of the
607	special adjudicative proceeding is not appropriate, issue an order that explains the basis for the
608	administrative law judge's determination.
609	$[\frac{(12)}{(13)}]$ For each issue or argument that is not dismissed or otherwise resolved
610	under Subsection [ $\frac{(10)}{(11)}$ ] $\frac{(11)}{(b)}$ or [ $\frac{(11)}{(12)}$ , the administrative law judge shall:
611	(a) provide the parties an opportunity for briefing and oral argument in accordance with
612	this section;
613	(b) conduct a review of the director's <u>order or</u> determination, based on the record
614	described in Subsections [ $\frac{(8)}{(9)}$ ] $\frac{(9)}{(b)}$ , [ $\frac{(8)}{(9)}$ ] $\frac{(9)}{(c)}$ , and [ $\frac{(9)}{(9)}$ ] $\frac{(10)}{(e)}$ ; and

## 03-09-15 2:45 PM

615	(c) within 60 days after the day on which the reply brief on the dispositive motion is
616	due, submit to the executive director a proposed dispositive action, that includes:
617	(i) written findings of fact;
618	(ii) written conclusions of law; and
619	(iii) a recommended order.
620	[(13)] (14) (a) When the administrative law judge submits a proposed dispositive
621	action to the executive director, the executive director may:
622	(i) adopt, adopt with modifications, or reject the proposed dispositive action; or
623	(ii) return the proposed dispositive action to the administrative law judge for further
624	action as directed.
625	(b) On review of a proposed dispositive action, the executive director shall uphold all
626	factual, technical, and scientific agency determinations that are [supported by substantial
627	evidence taken from the record as a whole] not clearly erroneous based on the petitioner's
628	marshaling of the evidence.
629	[(c) (i) The executive director may not participate in an ex parte communication with a
630	party to the permit review adjudicative proceeding regarding the merits of the permit review
631	adjudicative proceeding unless notice and an opportunity to be heard are afforded to all
632	<del>parties.</del> ]
633	[(ii) Upon receiving an ex parte communication, the executive director shall place the
634	communication in the public record of the proceeding and afford all parties an opportunity to
635	comment on the information.]
636	[(d)] (c) In reviewing a proposed dispositive action during a [permit review] special
637	adjudicative proceeding, the executive director may take judicial notice of matters not in the
638	record, in accordance with Utah Rules of Evidence, Rule 201.
639	[(e)] (d) The executive director may use the executive director's technical expertise in
640	making a determination.
641	[(14)] (15) (a) A party may seek judicial review in the Utah Court of Appeals of a
642	dispositive action in a [permit review] special adjudicative proceeding, in accordance with
643	Sections 63G-4-401, 63G-4-403, and 63G-4-405.
644	(b) An appellate court shall limit its review of a dispositive action of a [permit review]
645	special adjudicative proceeding under this section to:

646	(i) the record described in Subsections $[(8)]$ $(9)$ (b), $[(8)]$ $(9)$ (c), $[(9)]$ $(10)$ (e), and
647	$[\frac{(13)(d)}{(14)(c)};$ and
648	(ii) the record made by the administrative law judge and the executive director during
649	the [permit review] special adjudicative proceeding.
650	(c) During judicial review of a dispositive action, the appellate court shall:
651	(i) review all agency determinations in accordance with Subsection 63G-4-403(4),
652	recognizing that the agency has been granted substantial discretion to interpret its governing
653	statutes and rules; and
654	(ii) uphold all factual, technical, and scientific agency determinations that are
655	[supported by substantial evidence viewed in light of the record as a whole] not clearly
656	erroneous based upon the petitioner's marshaling of the evidence.
657	[(15)] (16) (a) The filing of a [request for agency action] petition for review does not:
658	(i) stay a permit order or a financial assurance determination; or
659	(ii) delay the effective date of a permit order or a portion of a financial assurance
660	determination.
661	(b) A permit order or a financial assurance determination may not be stayed or delayed
662	unless a stay is granted under this Subsection $[\frac{(15)}{(16)}]$ .
663	(c) The administrative law judge shall:
664	(i) consider a party's motion to stay a permit order or a financial assurance
665	determination during a [permit review] special adjudicative proceeding; and
666	(ii) within 45 days after the day on which the reply brief on the motion to stay is due,
667	submit a proposed determination on the stay to the executive director.
668	(d) The administrative law judge may not recommend to the executive director a stay
669	of a permit order or a financial assurance determination, or a portion of a permit order or a
670	portion of a financial assurance determination, unless:
671	(i) all parties agree to the stay; or
672	(ii) the party seeking the stay demonstrates that:
673	(A) the party seeking the stay will suffer irreparable harm unless the stay is issued;
674	(B) the threatened injury to the party seeking the stay outweighs whatever damage the
675	proposed stay is likely to cause the party restrained or enjoined;
676	(C) the stay, if issued, would not be adverse to the public interest; and

## 03-09-15 2:45 PM

(D) there is a substantial likelihood that the party seeking the stay will prevail on the
merits of the underlying claim, or the case presents serious issues on the merits, which should
be the subject of further adjudication.
(e) A party may appeal the executive director's decision regarding a stay of a permit
order or a financial assurance determination to the Utah Court of Appeals, in accordance with
Section 78A-4-103.
(17) (a) Subject to Subsection (17)(c), the administrative law judge shall issue a written
response to a non-dispositive motion within 45 days after the day on which the reply brief on
the non-dispositive motion is due or, if the administrative law judge grants oral argument on
the non-dispositive motion, within 45 days after the day on which oral argument takes place.
(b) If the administrative law judge determines that the administrative law judge needs

- (b) If the administrative law judge determines that the administrative law judge needs more time to issue a response to a non-dispositive motion, the administrative law judge may issue a response after the deadline described in Subsection (17)(a) if, before the deadline expires, the administrative law judge gives notice to the parties that includes:
  - (i) the amount of additional time that the administrative law judge requires; and
  - (ii) the reason the administrative law judge needs the additional time.
- (c) If the administrative law judge grants oral argument on a non-dispositive motion, the administrative law judge shall hold the oral argument within 30 days after the day on which the reply brief on the non-dispositive motion is due."