SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1700

State of Washington 69th Legislature 2025 Regular Session

By House Local Government (originally sponsored by Representatives Graham, Schmidt, Low, Schmick, Klicker, Dye, Abell, Chase, Connors, Engell, Ormsby, and Hill)

- AN ACT Relating to the timing of updates for comprehensive plans 1
- 2 and development regulations; amending RCW 36.70A.130; and creating a
- 3 new section.
- BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON: 4
- 5 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature recognizes that it has 6 placed additional requirements to be incorporated into comprehensive 7 plans and development regulation updates due at the end of 2026. It further recognizes there is a shortage of qualified planners to do 8 9 the work and local governments are struggling to accomplish the additional work. Therefore, local governments are being granted a
- 10
- 11 six-month extension for compliance with the upcoming comprehensive
- 12 plan update.
- 13 RCW 36.70A.130 and 2024 c 17 s 1 are each amended to read as follows: 14
- 15 comprehensive land plan (1) (a) Each use and development regulations shall be subject to continuing review and evaluation by 16 17 the county or city that adopted them. Except as otherwise provided, a
- county or city shall take legislative action to review and, if 18
- 19 needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and development
- 20 regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the

SHB 1700 p. 1

requirements of this chapter according to the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section.

- (b)(i) A city or town located within a county planning under RCW 36.70A.040 may opt out of a full review and revisions of its comprehensive plan established in this section if the city or town meets the following criteria:
 - (A) Has a population fewer than 500;

- 8 (B) Is not located within 10 miles of a city with a population 9 over 100,000;
 - (C) Experienced a population growth rate of fewer than 10 percent in the preceding 10 years; and
 - (D) Has provided the department with notice of its intent to participate in a partial review and revision of its comprehensive plan.
 - (ii) The department shall review the population growth rate for a city or town participating in the partial review and revision of its comprehensive plan process at least three years before the periodic update is due as outlined in subsection (4) of this section and notify cities of their eligibility.
 - (iii) A city or town that opts out of a full review and revision of its comprehensive plan must update its critical areas regulations and its capital facilities element and its transportation element.
 - (c) Except as otherwise provided, a county or city not planning under RCW 36.70A.040 shall take action to review and, if needed, revise its policies and development regulations regarding critical areas and natural resource lands adopted according to this chapter to ensure these policies and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section. Legislative action means the adoption of a resolution or ordinance following notice and a public hearing indicating at a minimum, a finding that a review and evaluation has occurred and identifying the revisions made, or that a revision was not needed and the reasons therefor.
 - (d) The review and evaluation required by this subsection shall include, but is not limited to, consideration of critical area ordinances and, if planning under RCW 36.70A.040, an analysis of the population allocated to a city or county from the most recent 10-year population forecast by the office of financial management.
- 39 (e) Any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive land use plan 40 shall conform to this chapter. Any amendment of or revision to

p. 2 SHB 1700

development regulations shall be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan.

- (2) (a) Each county and city shall establish and broadly disseminate to the public a public participation program consistent with RCW 36.70A.035 and 36.70A.140 that identifies procedures and schedules whereby updates, proposed amendments, or revisions of the comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the county or city no more frequently than once every year. "Updates" means to review and revise, if needed, according to subsection (1) of this section, and the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section or in accordance with the provisions of subsection (6) of this section. Amendments may be considered more frequently than once per year under the following circumstances:
- (i) The initial adoption of a subarea plan. Subarea plans adopted under this subsection (2)(a)(i) must clarify, supplement, or implement jurisdiction-wide comprehensive plan policies, and may only be adopted if the cumulative impacts of the proposed plan are addressed by appropriate environmental review under chapter 43.21C RCW;
- (ii) The development of an initial subarea plan for economic development located outside of the 100 year floodplain in a county that has completed a state-funded pilot project that is based on watershed characterization and local habitat assessment;
- (iii) The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program under the procedures set forth in chapter 90.58 RCW;
- (iv) The amendment of the capital facilities element of a comprehensive plan that occurs concurrently with the adoption or amendment of a county or city budget; or
- (v) The adoption of comprehensive plan amendments necessary to enact a planned action under RCW 43.21C.440, provided that amendments are considered in accordance with the public participation program established by the county or city under this subsection (2)(a) and all persons who have requested notice of a comprehensive plan update are given notice of the amendments and an opportunity to comment.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in (a) of this subsection, all proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently so the cumulative effect of the various proposals can be ascertained. However, after appropriate public participation a county or city may adopt amendments or revisions to its comprehensive plan that conform with this chapter whenever an emergency exists or to resolve an

p. 3 SHB 1700

appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with the growth management hearings board or with the court.

- (3) (a) Each county that designates urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110 shall review, according to the schedules established in subsections (4) and (5) of this section, its designated urban growth area or areas, patterns of development occurring within the urban growth area or areas, and the densities permitted within both the incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban growth area. In conjunction with this review by the county, each city located within an urban growth area shall review the densities permitted within its boundaries, and the extent to which the urban growth occurring within the county has located within each city and the unincorporated portions of the urban growth areas.
- (b) The county comprehensive plan designating urban growth areas, and the densities permitted in the urban growth areas by the comprehensive plans of the county and each city located within the urban growth areas, shall be revised to accommodate the urban growth projected to occur in the county for the succeeding 20-year period. The review required by this subsection may be combined with the review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.215.
- (c) If, during the county's review under (a) of this subsection, the county determines revision of the urban growth area is not required to accommodate the urban growth projected to occur in the county for the succeeding 20-year period, but does determine that patterns of development have created pressure in areas that exceed available, developable lands within the urban growth area, the urban growth area or areas may be revised to accommodate identified patterns of development and likely future development pressure for the succeeding 20-year period if the following requirements are met:
- (i) The revised urban growth area may not result in an increase in the total surface areas of the urban growth area or areas;
- (ii) The areas added to the urban growth area are not or have not been designated as agricultural, forest, or mineral resource lands of long-term commercial significance;
- 35 (iii) Less than 15 percent of the areas added to the urban growth 36 area are critical areas;
- 37 (iv) The areas added to the urban growth areas are suitable for 38 urban growth;
- (v) The transportation element and capital facility plan element have identified the transportation facilities, and public facilities

p. 4 SHB 1700

and services needed to serve the urban growth area and the funding to provide the transportation facilities and public facilities and services;

4

5

7

8

12

13

14

1516

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

3435

- (vi) The urban growth area is not larger than needed to accommodate the growth planned for the succeeding 20-year planning period and a reasonable land market supply factor;
- (vii) The areas removed from the urban growth area do not include urban growth or urban densities; and
- 9 (viii) The revised urban growth area is contiguous, does not 10 include holes or gaps, and will not increase pressures to urbanize 11 rural or natural resource lands.
 - (4) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (6) and (8) of this section, counties and cities shall take action to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter as follows:
- 17 (a) On or before June 30, 2015, for King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties and the cities within those counties;
 - (b) On or before June 30, 2016, for Clallam, Clark, Island, Jefferson, Kitsap, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties;
 - (c) On or before June 30, 2017, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, Kittitas, Lewis, Skamania, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within those counties; and
 - (d) On or before June 30, 2018, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grant, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.
 - (5) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (6) and (8) of this section, following the review of comprehensive plans and development regulations required by subsection (4) of this section, counties and cities shall take action to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter as follows:
- 36 (a) Except as provided in subsection (10) of this section, on or 37 before December 31, 2024, with the following review and, if needed, 38 revision on or before June 30, 2034, and then every 10 years 39 thereafter, for King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish counties and the 40 cities within those counties;

p. 5 SHB 1700

(b) On or before December 31, 2025, with the following review and, if needed, revision on or before June 30, 2035, and then every 10 years thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Island, Jefferson, Lewis, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties;

- (c) On or before ((June 30)) <u>December 31</u>, 2026, <u>with the following review and, if needed, revision on or before June 30, 2036, and every 10 years thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, Franklin, Kittitas, Skamania, Spokane, Walla Walla, and Yakima counties and the cities within those counties; and</u>
- (d) On or before June 30, 2027, and every 10 years thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Garfield, Grant, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.
- (6) (a) Nothing in this section precludes a county or city from conducting the review and evaluation required by this section before the deadlines established in subsections (4) and (5) of this section. Counties and cities may begin this process early and may be eligible for grants from the department, subject to available funding, if they elect to do so.
- (b) A county that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (5)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the 24 months following the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section: The county has a population of less than 50,000 and has had its population increase by no more than 17 percent in the 10 years preceding the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section as of that date.
- (c) A city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (5)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the 24 months following the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section: The city has a population of no more than 5,000 and has had its population increase by the greater of either no more than 100 persons or no more than 17 percent in the 10 years preceding the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section as of that date.
- 38 (d) State agencies are encouraged to provide technical assistance 39 to the counties and cities in the review of critical area ordinances, 40 comprehensive plans, and development regulations.

p. 6 SHB 1700

- (7) (a) The requirements imposed on counties and cities under this section shall be considered "requirements of this chapter" under the terms of RCW 36.70A.040(1). Only those counties and cities that meet the following criteria may receive grants, loans, pledges, or financial guarantees under chapter 43.155 or 70A.135 RCW:
 - (i) Complying with the deadlines in this section; or

- (ii) Demonstrating substantial progress towards compliance with the schedules in this section for development regulations that protect critical areas.
- (b) A county or city that is fewer than 12 months out of compliance with the schedules in this section for development regulations that protect critical areas is making substantial progress towards compliance. Only those counties and cities in compliance with the schedules in this section may receive preference for grants or loans subject to the provisions of RCW 43.17.250.
- (8) (a) Except as otherwise provided in (c) of this subsection, if a participating watershed is achieving benchmarks and goals for the protection of critical areas functions and values, the county is not required to update development regulations to protect critical areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in that watershed.
- (b) A county that has made the election under RCW 36.70A.710(1) may only adopt or amend development regulations to protect critical areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in a participating watershed if:
- (i) A work plan has been approved for that watershed in accordance with RCW 36.70A.725;
- (ii) The local watershed group for that watershed has requested the county to adopt or amend development regulations as part of a work plan developed under RCW 36.70A.720;
- (iii) The adoption or amendment of the development regulations is necessary to enable the county to respond to an order of the growth management hearings board or court;
- (iv) The adoption or amendment of development regulations is necessary to address a threat to human health or safety; or
- 36 (v) Three or more years have elapsed since the receipt of 37 funding.
- 38 (c) Beginning 10 years from the date of receipt of funding, a 39 county that has made the election under RCW 36.70A.710(1) must review 40 and, if necessary, revise development regulations to protect critical

p. 7 SHB 1700

areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in a participating watershed in accordance with the review and revision requirements and timeline in subsection (5) of this section. This subsection (8)(c) does not apply to a participating watershed that has determined under RCW 36.70A.720(2)(c)(ii) that the watershed's goals and benchmarks for protection have been met.

- (9) (a) Counties subject to planning deadlines established in subsection (5) of this section that are required or that choose to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 and that meet either criteria of (a)(i) or (ii) of this subsection, and cities with a population of more than 6,000 as of April 1, 2021, within those counties, must provide to the department an implementation progress report detailing the progress they have achieved in implementing their comprehensive plan five years after the review and revision of their comprehensive plan. Once a county meets the criteria in (a)(i) or (ii) of this subsection, the implementation progress report requirements remain in effect thereafter for that county and the cities therein with populations greater than 6,000 as of April 1, 2021, even if the county later no longer meets either or both criteria. A county is subject to the implementation progress report requirement if it meets either of the following criteria on or after April 1, 2021:
- (i) The county has a population density of at least 100 people per square mile and a population of at least 200,000; or
 - (ii) The county has a population density of at least 75 people per square mile and an annual growth rate of at least 1.75 percent as determined by the office of financial management.
 - (b) The department shall adopt guidelines for indicators, measures, milestones, and criteria for use by counties and cities in the implementation progress report that must cover:
 - (i) The implementation of previously adopted changes to the housing element and any effect those changes have had on housing affordability and availability within the jurisdiction;
 - (ii) Permit processing timelines; and
 - (iii) Progress toward implementing any actions required to achieve reductions to meet greenhouse gas and vehicle miles traveled requirements as provided for in any element of the comprehensive plan under RCW 36.70A.070.
 - (c) If a city or county required to provide an implementation progress report under this subsection (9) has not implemented any specifically identified regulations, zoning and land use changes, or

p. 8 SHB 1700

1 taken other legislative or administrative action necessary to implement any changes in the most recent periodic update in their 2 3 comprehensive plan by the due date for the implementation progress report, the city or county must identify the need for such action in 4 the implementation progress report. Cities and counties must adopt a 5 6 work plan to implement any necessary regulations, zoning and land use legislative or administrative action 7 changes, or take other identified in the implementation progress report and complete all 8 work necessary for implementation within two years of submission of 9 10 the implementation progress report.

1112

13

1415

16

17

1819

20

(10) Any county or city that is required by RCW 36.70A.095 to include in its comprehensive plan a climate change and resiliency element and that is also required by subsection (5)(a) of this section to review and, if necessary, revise its comprehensive plan on or before December 31, 2024, must update its transportation element and incorporate a climate change and resiliency element into its comprehensive plan as part of the first implementation progress report required by subsection (9) of this section if funds are appropriated and distributed by December 31, 2027, as required under RCW 36.70A.070(10).

--- END ---

p. 9 SHB 1700