C	\cap	2	\sqsubseteq	1	
\sim	U	.)	J		_

SENATE BILL 5412

State of Washington 68th Legislature 2023 Regular Session

By Senators Salomon and Liias

- AN ACT Relating to reducing local governments' land use permitting workloads, by ensuring objective and timely design review for housing and other land use proposals within cities and counties and allowing proposed housing within urban growth boundaries to rely on environmental reviews completed at the comprehensive planning level; amending RCW 36.70B.160 and 43.21C.229; and adding a new section to chapter 36.70A RCW.
- 8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 9 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** A new section is added to chapter 36.70A 10 RCW to read as follows:
- (1) For purposes of this section, "design review" means a formally adopted local government process by which projects are reviewed for compliance with design standards for the type of use adopted through local ordinance.
- (2) Except as provided in subsection (3) of this section, counties and cities planning under RCW 36.70A.040 may apply in any design review process only clear and objective development regulations governing the exterior design of new development. For purposes of this section, a clear and objective development regulation:

p. 1 SB 5412

(a) Must include one or more ascertainable guideline, standard, or criterion by which an applicant can determine whether a given building design is permissible under that development regulation; and

- (b) May not have the effect, either alone or together with other development regulations, of discouraging needed housing through unreasonable cost, delay, or uncertainty.
- (3) The provisions of subsection (2) of this section do not apply to development regulations that apply only to structures listed in the Washington heritage register as described in RCW 27.34.220 or the national register of historic places as defined in the national historic preservation act of 1966 (Title 1, Sec. 101, Public Law 89-665; 80 Stat. 915; 16 U.S.C. Sec. 470) as now or hereafter amended.
- (4) Any design review process must be conducted concurrently, or otherwise logically integrated, with the consolidated review and decision process for project permits set forth in RCW 36.70B.120(3), and no design review process may include more than one public meeting within the meaning of RCW 36.70B.020.
- **Sec. 2.** RCW 36.70B.160 and 1995 c 347 s 420 are each amended to 20 read as follows:
 - (1) Each local government is encouraged to adopt further project review provisions to provide prompt, coordinated <u>and objective</u> review and ensure accountability to applicants and the public, including expedited review for project permit applications for projects that are consistent with adopted development regulations and within the capacity of systemwide infrastructure improvements.
 - (2) Nothing in this chapter is intended or shall be construed to prevent a local government from requiring a preapplication conference or a public meeting by rule, ordinance, or resolution, where otherwise permitted by applicable state law.
- 31 (3) Each local government shall adopt procedures to monitor and 32 enforce permit decisions and conditions.
- 33 (4) Nothing in this chapter modifies any independent statutory 34 authority for a government agency to appeal a project permit issued 35 by a local government.
- **Sec. 3.** RCW 43.21C.229 and 2020 c 87 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

p. 2 SB 5412

- 1 (1) (($\frac{1}{1}$ order)) The purpose of this section is to accommodate 2 infill and housing development and thereby realize the goals and 3 policies of comprehensive plans adopted according to chapter 36.70A 4 RCW(($\frac{1}{1}$ a)).
 - (2) A city or county planning under RCW 36.70A.040 is authorized by this section to establish categorical exemptions from the requirements of this chapter.((—An exemption adopted under this section applies even if it differs from the categorical exemptions adopted by rule of the department under RCW 43.21C.110(1)(a).)) An exemption may be adopted by a city or county under this <u>sub</u>section if it meets the following criteria:
 - (a) It categorically exempts government action related to development proposed to fill in an urban growth area, designated according to RCW 36.70A.110, where current density and intensity of use in the area is roughly equal to or lower than called for in the goals and policies of the applicable comprehensive plan and the development is either:
 - (i) Residential development;

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2223

2425

2627

28

29

30 31

32

33

3435

36

37

3839

40

- (ii) Mixed-use development; or
- 20 (iii) Commercial development up to ((sixty-five thousand)) 65,000 21 square feet, excluding retail development;
 - (b) It does not exempt government action related to development that is inconsistent with the applicable comprehensive plan or would clearly exceed the density or intensity of use called for in the goals and policies of the applicable comprehensive plan;
 - (c) The local government considers the specific probable adverse environmental impacts of the proposed action and determines that these specific impacts are adequately addressed by the development regulations or other applicable requirements of the comprehensive plan, subarea plan element of the comprehensive plan, planned action ordinance, or other local, state, or federal rules or laws; and
 - (d)(i) The city or county's applicable comprehensive plan was previously subjected to environmental analysis through an environmental impact statement under the requirements of this chapter prior to adoption; or
 - (ii) The city or county has prepared an environmental impact statement that considers the proposed use or density and intensity of use in the area proposed for an exemption under this section.
 - (((2) Any)) (3) All project actions that propose to develop one or more residential housing units within the incorporated areas in an

p. 3 SB 5412

- urban growth area designated pursuant to RCW 36.70A.110 or middle housing within the unincorporated areas in an urban growth area designated pursuant to RCW 36.70A.110, and that meet the criteria identified in (a) through (c) of this subsection, are categorically exempt from the requirements of this chapter. For purposes of this subsection, "middle housing" means fourplexes, attached and detached accessory dwelling units, cottage housing, stacked flats, townhouses with more than four units, and courtyard apartments. A project action is eligible for categorical exemption under this subsection only if it meets the following criteria:
 - (a) The proposed development is not inconsistent with an applicable comprehensive plan adopted according to chapter 36.70A RCW by the jurisdiction in which the development is proposed;

- (b) The proposed development would not exceed the density or intensity of use called for in the goals and policies of that applicable comprehensive plan; and
- (c) (i) The city or county's applicable comprehensive plan was previously subjected to environmental analysis through an environmental impact statement under the requirements of this chapter prior to adoption; or
- (ii) The city or county has prepared an environmental impact statement that considers the proposed use or density and intensity of use in the area proposed for an exemption under this section.
- (4) Any categorical exemption adopted by a city or county under this section applies even if it differs from the categorical exemptions adopted by rule of the department under RCW 43.21C.110(1)(a). However, any categorical exemption adopted by a city or county under this section shall be subject to the rules of the department adopted according to RCW 43.21C.110(1)(a) that provide exceptions to the use of categorical exemptions adopted by the department.

--- END ---

p. 4 SB 5412