### Fiscal Estimate - 2023 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	☐ Corrected ☐	Supplemental			
LRB Number <b>23-2534/1</b>	Introduction Number	AB-0248			
<b>Description</b> allowing certain married persons to claim the e	earned income tax credit when filing a	separate return			
Fiscal Effect					
Appropriations Ref	rease Existing venues crease Existing venues  Decrease Co	absorb within dget \textsquare No			
Permissive Mandatory Pe	5.Types of Local Government Affected Towns crease Revenue Counties rmissive Mandatory Mandatory School Districts	Units  Village Cities			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations					
GPR KI FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DOA/ Chris Paul (608) 264-9775	Robin Malicki (608) 264-9576	6/20/2023			

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 6/20/2023

LRB Number <b>23-2534/1</b>	Introduction Number	AB-0248	Estimate Type	Original		
Description						
allowing certain married persons to claim the earned income tax credit when filing a separate return						

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Assembly Bill (AB-248) allows the Wisconsin Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) to be filed separately for a married person if the claimant does not live with their spouse when they are filing their return and are unable to file a joint return due to domestic abuse. Under current law, married claimants must file a joint tax return to claim the Wisconsin EITC and federal EITC. The amount the claimant would receive from the Wisconsin EITC is equal to the amount the claimant would be eligible for if they were unmarried.

The U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury) issued a Final Rule (FR) to implement the Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Fund (SLFRF) under section 602 of the Social Security Act, as amended by the federal American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (ARPA). The State's Recovery Fund amount under the program is \$2,533,160,626.50, and the Department of Administration (Department) is responsible for its administration.

Under ARPA, states are prohibited from utilizing funds under the SLFRF program to either directly or indirectly fund tax reductions (the "tax offset" provision). To enforce the tax offset provision of ARPA, Treasury promulgated a rule that specified the circumstances that would constitute a breach of the statutory prohibition against utilizing SLFRF distributions to fund tax reductions and therefore require repayment of SLFRF funds distributed by the federal government up to the violation amount. The rule created several concepts that govern the analysis of whether a tax reduction would be a violation that would trigger repayment.

The first of these is a concept of "baseline tax revenue" that is defined as Fiscal Year 2018-19 tax revenue, as specified by the U.S. Census Bureau definition of state tax revenue, adjusted in each subsequent fiscal year by the Bureau of Economic Analysis's Implicit Price Deflator for the gross domestic product of the United States. The Census Bureau definition of state tax revenue includes a variety of taxes and fees that are not included in Wisconsin's definition of general fund tax revenue and is therefore meaningfully more expansive. Among other revenue sources included in this definition are motor fuel taxes, motor vehicle registration and title fees, the economic development surcharge, a variety of professional licensing fees and natural resource fees.

The second of these is a concept of a "covered change," that involves reductions in net taxes that result from a reduction in rates, a rebate, deduction, a credit or otherwise enacted after March 3, 2021, that exceed a de minimis threshold of 1 percent of baseline tax revenue.

If a state reports actual revenues that are below the reported baseline tax revenues for a fiscal year, two tests are conducted to determine whether a state must repay SLFRF distributions to the federal government due to a breach of the tax offset provision. The first test is whether there are "covered changes". Since the State of Wisconsin has already enacted more than \$1 billion annually in covered tax changes in tax reductions since March 3, 2021, this condition would be satisfied, and this bill would also constitute a covered change under the Treasury rule. If there are covered changes, the second test is whether the state has (1) sufficient organic revenue growth above the baseline or (2) offsetting revenue increases and spending reductions to fund the covered tax changes.

The Department is responsible for regular reporting to Treasury related to compliance with these provisions.

The organic revenue growth in excess of the inflation-adjusted baseline tax revenues for the current fiscal year and the following two fiscal years are as follows under the most recent estimates of tax revenues and fees subject to the Census Bureau definition of taxes as well as current economic

#### assumptions:

Fiscal Year 2022-23: \$585.1 million Fiscal Year 2023-24: \$256.0 million Fiscal Year 2024-25: \$458.0 million

These figures were updated based on Legislative Fiscal Bureau re-estimates published May 2023; estimates used herein to determine fiscal impact are subject to revision, and as updated revenue forecasts and economic data is published and made available to the Department.

The Wisconsin Department of Revenue (DOR) estimates that the bill's provisions would reduce tax collections by approximately \$66,000 annually due to an increase in State EITC claims. That said, DOR is not able to estimate the number of married individuals who would file separately due to domestic abuse. As such, DOR's estimated impact is based on national statistics of intimate partner violence. Therefore, the precise fiscal impact is unknown and may differ from DOR's estimate. If one assumes the estimated impact DOR developed occurs, there is no anticipated impact to the Department resulting from AB-248, if analyzed independent of any other tax change.

Any additional tax changes adopted by the Legislature for these fiscal years would need to be assessed in sum total with this bill to determine whether and to what extent repayment would be required, such as tax revenue increases that would offset the total State Recovery Fund repayment required herein. If the sum total of this bill as well as additional tax changes implemented during the covered period exceed the estimated remaining organic revenue growth and there is an absence of offsetting covered tax revenue increases or expenditure reductions, this bill and other tax changes would cause the state to breach the statutory prohibition against utilizing SLFRF to fund tax reductions. In the event that sufficient tax reduction legislation is enacted to reduce revenues below the inflation-adjusted baseline, the enactment of this bill and other bills would force the repayment of the deficiency in revenues relative to the baseline up to a maximum cumulative exposure of the \$2.5 billion State Recovery Fund amount.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2023 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated	☐ Corrected	Supplemental		
LRB Number <b>23-2534/1</b>	Introduction Num	nber <b>AB-0248</b>		
<b>Description</b> allowing certain married persons to claim the return	e earned income tax credit wh	nen filing a separate		
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for annualized fiscal effect):	r State and/or Local Govern	ment (do not include in		
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs		
A. State Costs by Category				
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$		
(FTE Position Changes)				
State Operations - Other Costs				
Local Assistance				
Aids to Individuals or Organizations				
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds				
GPR				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
III. State Revenues - Complete this only wrevenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in		or decrease state		
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev		
GPR Taxes	\$	\$		
GPR Earned				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$		
NETANNUA	LIZED FISCAL IMPACT	l i		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	State \$	Loca ¢		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS  NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$ \$		
NET OTANOL IN NEVENUE	<b>D</b>	Ď.		
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
DOA/ Chris Paul (608) 264-9775	Robin Malicki (608) 264-9576	bin Malicki (608) 264-9576 6/20/2023		