



## 2013 ASSEMBLY BILL 615

January 10, 2014 - Introduced by Representatives BILLINGS, DOYLE, NASS, MILROY, KAHL, BERCEAU, DANOU, OHNSTAD and HULSEY, cosponsored by Senator VINEHOUT. Referred to Committee on Corrections.

\*\*\*AUTHORS SUBJECT TO CHANGE\*\*\*

- 1     **AN ACT** *to renumber and amend* 800.095 (1) (b) 3.; and *to create* 800.095 (1)  
2           (b) 3. b. of the statutes; **relating to:** allowing municipal prisoners to be  
3           imprisoned in a bordering county within or outside of the state.

---

### *Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

Currently, if a person fails to pay a monetary judgment ordered by a municipal court, the court may suspend the person's motor vehicle operating privilege for up to two years under certain conditions, order the assignment of up to 25 percent of the person's earnings or other money due to the person to pay the judgment, order that the person be imprisoned for up to 90 days, with each day resulting in a credit of at least \$50 of the unpaid judgment, or a combination of these remedies.

If the court orders that the person be imprisoned, the person is required to be committed to a jail or house of correction in the county in which the cause of action arose. Under this bill, if the court orders that the person be imprisoned, the person may be committed to a jail in a different county within or outside of the state if all of the following requirements are met:

1. The other county borders the county in which the cause of action arose.
2. The monthly expenses charged to the municipality by the other county to imprison the defendant are at least 25 percent less than the monthly expenses charged by the county in which the cause of action arose.
3. The other county agrees to having the defendant committed to a jail in that county.

